6 (Pages 21-24)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

21

- 1 disciplinary coordination office.
- 2 And then the third deputy director
- 3 would be responsible for prison and jail operations.
- 4 Most direct contact dealing with operations on
- 5 facilities within the regional directors, and
- 6 responsible for security systems program and our
- 7 canine program.
 - Q. I'm sure there is a good distinction. I'm
- 9 having to -- with the first director group, you
- 10 mentioned that one of their responsibilities was
- 11 management and operations of the prisons?
- 12 A. Right.

8

- 13 Q. How does that differ from the third
- 14 category, which you described as prison or jail
- 15 operations?
- 16 A. Yeah. Management operations is inclusive of
- 17 those specific areas that I referenced, security
- 18 threat group. So any issues that deal with security
- 19 threat group processing, identification, that
- 20 particular deputy director would be responsible for
- 21 dealing directly with the wardens in that area.
- 22 Again, the training components, training needs, that
- 23 particular deputy director would deal directly with
- 24 any specific training needs that the wardens or our
- 25 regional directors might feel that they need.
- 22
- The last position that identified the
- 2 prison and jails, that would be the individual that
- 3 would be responsible for overseeing all of the other
- 4 basic activities that occurred on the facilities,
- 5 reviewing most reports that are generated from the
- 6 regional office would report directly to that prison
- 7 and jail deputy director.
- 8 Q. Okay. Okay. Does each region have three
- 9 deputy directors?
- 10 A. No, sir. Those three deputy directors are
- 11 located in the central office and support all six
- 12 regional directors. And at that time, it was all 95
- 13 facilities out in the field.
- 14 Q. Gotcha.
- 15 Who were the three deputy directors for
- 16 the time period, let's say, 2009 through your
- 17 retirement?
- 18 A. Okay. Initially, the three deputy directors
- 19 in -- that reported to me were Oscar Mendoza. He was
- 20 in management operations. I want to say he assumed
- 21 that position in 2000 -- October 2009, September or
- 22 October 2009. I'm not specific on that date.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. He held that position until fairly recently.
- 25 Just prior to my retirement, he moved into a --

- 1 another position within the agency.
 - Q. Do you know what position he moved into?
- 3 A. The division director over administrative
- 4 review and risk management.
- Q. Okay.

6

17

21

25

- A. Support operations was Tommy Prasifka.
- 7 Tommy held that position the entire time that I was in
- 8 my position.
- Q. Okay.
- 10 A. And then the third individual in prison and
- 11 jail operations was Bill Stephens. And, again, he
- 12 held that position shortly after -- again, all of
- 13 those were selected in September or October of 2009
- 4 and held their positions as I indicated.
- 15 Q. And it's my understanding that Mr. Stephens
- 16 replaced you upon retirement?
 - A. Yes, sir, he did.
- 18 Q. And Mr. Stephens is in the room listening to
- 19 this deposition. Correct?
- 20 A. Yes, he is.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Now, as we go up the
- 22 regional directors and then the deputy directors, then
- 23 do we get to your position, sir?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And tell me again a little bit about your

1 position, what the true role is?

- A. As the Correctional Institutions Division
- 3 director, my role is to supervise that entire chain of
- 4 command that I just outlined there.
- 5 Q. So you supervise the deputy directors?
- 6 A. I supervise the deputy doctors, who then
- 7 supervise the regional directors, who supervise the
- 8 wardens, who supervise the staff on the facilities,
- 9 yes, sir.
- 10 Q. So you're responsible for all of the
- 11 officers, I assume, in your chain of command, but
- 12 you're most responsible for making sure that the
- 13 deputy directors are doing their job properly?
- 14 A. That's who I have most of my contact with
- 15 and most of the information is disseminated up the
- 16 chain of command through them and back down the chain
- 17 of command through my deputies, yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Do you have any personal dealings one-on-one
- 19 or with others in the organization with the regional
- 20 directors?
- 21 A. Sure. Sure. Each -- each month, normally,
- 22 at a minimum, we would have a Correctional
- 23 Institutions Division directors meeting where we would
- 24 bring in the regional directors, along with the deputy
- 25 directors, along with some department and division

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83



7 (Pages 25-28)

27

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

- 1 heads, to discuss pertinent issues, again, to give
- 2 information to those regional directors to disseminate
- 3 out into the field through their wardens meetings and,
- 4 subsequently, to staff on the facilities through the
- 5 individual administrations holding their meetings.
- Q. Through those meetings you're able to get a
- 7 better sense of what is going on in the regions. Is
- 8 that fair?
- A. In most cases. Those meetings, in most 9
- 10 cases, are an opportunity for the central office to
- 11 disseminate information back down the chain.
- 12 Information from the field, in all cases, doesn't
- 13 necessarily wait for a meeting to flow up the chain.
- 14 Q. Okay. So nothing would have prevented
- 15 Mr. Eason from contacting you directly about a problem
- 16 in his region. Correct?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. He might go through Mr. Stephens or 18
- 19 Mr. Mendoza, but it would eventually get to you. Is
- 20 that fair?
- 21 A. Depending on the level of the problem, it
- 22 surely might get to me, yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Okay. At the regional meetings that you
- 24 just mentioned, are wardens generally included?
- 25 A. No, sir.

1

- 1 meetings, your higher level director meetings.
- 2 Correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. One of those high level issues was the
- 5 dangers of extremely hot temperatures in the prison.
- Right? 6

7

8

13

- We surely discussed that issue.
- Q. You discussed that in 2009, 2010, 2011,
- 2012, 2013. Right?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Did you review any documents in preparation
- 12 for your deposition today, sir?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Okay. Would you show me what documents you
- 15 reviewed?
- 16 A. I don't know if I have that list, but in
- 17 most cases --
- Q. Well, now, let me stop you. I don't care 18
- 19 about most cases.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. I care about what you reviewed in this -- to
- 22 prepare for this particular deposition?
- 23 A. Okay. I reviewed the deposition that was
- 24 taken from Mr. Eason.
- 25 Q. Okay.

26

- Q. Do the regional directors have their own set
- 2 of meetings with the wardens on a monthly basis?
- A. I wouldn't say that there is not a month 3
- 4 that has been missed, but normally there would be at
- 5 least one meeting each month. Historically, regional 6 directors have held those meetings. As a regional
- 7 director, I held those meetings with my wardens
- 8 monthly to, again, discuss current issues and
- 9 disseminate information to the field.
- Q. Do you know if they're as formal as the 10
- 11 director meetings that you held on a monthly basis
- 12 where there would be minutes and notes taken and
- 13 agendas created?
- 14 A. I can't say in all cases there are. In some
- 15 cases there very well might be. As a regional
- 16 director, I surely initiated a formal agenda when I
- 17 held my meetings, but there is no necessarily
- 18 requirement. In most cases, I would assume that the
- regional directors out there in the field would cover
- 20 issues that are pertinent to their particular regions.
- 21 in addition to any information that was given to them
- 22 at our Correctional Institutions Division meeting.
- 23 Q. In any event, you would expect the regional
- 24 directors to disseminate information that you thought
- 25 was important that was discussed at your director

- A. I reviewed the deposition that was taken
 - 2 from Mr. Vian. The deposition that was taken from
 - 3 Warden Pringle. The deposition that was taken by, I
 - 4 believe, Lieutenant Sanders. I reviewed 82.15, which
 - 5 is the EAC summary review. I reviewed -- it's been
 - 6 some time, but AD 10.64, dealing with temperature
 - extremes. I have read the document compelling me to
 - 8 be deposed. The amended complaint on the McCollum
 - 9 case. I have recently been served -- not necessarily
 - 10 in preparation for this deposition, but have recently
 - 11 been served with the Adams, Webb, and Togonidze, I
 - 12 believe, I have reviewed those.
 - 13 Q. You and I will both have trouble going
 - 14 through all of the names, but what I'm really -- I
- 15 assume that you've looked at that complaint. But is
- 16 there anything about that complaint that you prepared
- 17 for this deposition for?
 - A. No. I didn't.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. Again, after being retired from the agency,
- 21 I didn't have access to records or documents that were
- 22 back with the agency. So I have reviewed American
- 23 Correctional Association standards in general.
- 24 Q. Which ones?
 - A. Specifically those that deal with the

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

25

18

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

APPENDIX126

8 (Pages 29-32)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

29

- accreditation process of the Hutchins Unit.
- Q. In preparation for this deposition or just generally through the course of your career?
 - A. In preparation for this deposition.
- Q. Okay. Anything else? 5
 - A. Not that I can -- not that I can think of.
- Q. All right. Let me just roll through them
- 8 and I apologize for being boring. It's just important
- for me to be thorough, at least at this time. 9
- 10 What I heard you say, and if I got
- 11 something wrong, please correct me. This is not --12 I'm not trying to...
 - You read Director Eason's deposition?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. You read Mr. Vian's deposition? 15
- 16 A. Yes, sir.

6

13

- Q. You read Warden Pringle's deposition? 17
- A. Yes, sir. 18
- Q. And he has given a couple of depositions. 19
- Did you read multiple parts of his deposition --20
- 21 A. No. If there is a second, I have not read 22 that one.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. I'm assuming I read the first. 24
- 25 Q. Okay. Lieutenant Sanders?

- 1 to mitigate those heat issues for many years didn't
- 2 stop at the dorm door.
- Q. Okay.
- MR. EDWARDS: Let me just object as
- 5 nonresponsive after "sure."
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And that's just lawyering stuff, so ...
- Do you know why there isn't -- strike 8
- 9 that.
- 10 Do you know why there wasn't an actual
- policy designed and implemented by the Texas
- 12 Department of Criminal Justice concerning extremely
- 13 high temperatures inside the housing areas?
- A. Well, I think in reviewing our efforts over
- 15 the years that I have been with the system,
- 16 particularly in the administrative positions from
- 17 where -- the warden's position and above, those steps
- 18 were initiated at the facility level. For many years,
- 19 as I'm sure we'll get to here eventually, an e-mail
- 20 has been sent out from the central administration
- 21 outlining the need to ensure we're addressing
- 22 heat-related conditions in all areas of our facility.
- 24 A. Very few -- very few issues in our system
- 25 rise to the level that the central office has for many

23

- A. Yes, sir. 1
- 2 Q. Okay. Did you read any of the depositions
- of the other correctional officers?
- 4 A. No, sir.

6

- Q. Okay. 82.015, which is the EAC summary 5 review process?
- A. I believe so, yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Okay. Policy 10.64, which is dealing with
- 9 temperature extrernes --
- 10 A. Extremes --
- 11 Q. -- in the workplace only. Correct?
- 12 A. Yes. That's -- ves.
- 13 Q. It doesn't -- it's not a policy designed for
- assessing indoor housing temperatures. Correct?
- 15 A. The directive currently does not address 16 that issue, yes, sir.
- 17 Q. That is a -- is that a hole in the system
- 18 that you think should be fixed?
- 19 A. Sure. I think that through discussions
- 20 with -- in these cases, to make sure that staff is
- 21 clear on -- extremely clear on their obligations out
- 22 there. And I would feel, from being a warden in the
- 23 field for many years, I was pretty clear that heat
- 24 extremes could occur in any area of the facility,
- 25 inside or outside. So mitigation steps that we took

- 1 years put out basically a public notice to all staff
- 2 as this is a high priority in our system. So that had
- 3 served to be effective for many years to bring focus
- 4 to the subject matter going into each seasonal period.
- 5 And that, in addition to the policies that we have in
- 6 place about appropriately housing the offenders in our
- 7 system as a whole, dealt with those issues.
- Q. Okay. You're talking about this informal
- 9 e-mail that went out every year, every May, about the
- 10 dangers of heat and you've got to take steps to
- 11 protect inmates from the dangers of heat. Right?
- 12 A. Yes. sir.
- 13 Q. Okay. And there has been testimony in this
- 14 case that that served as some sort of de facto
- 15 practice or policy of the agency. Would that be your
- 16 opinion as well?
- 17 A. It surely served as a directive memo to the
- 18 agency for staff to take at a minimum those
- 19 precautionary steps to mitigate the heat.
- Q. Okay. But my question is a little bit
- 21 different. My question is, why did that informal
- 22 e-mail not become a formal agency process, if you 23 know?
- 24 A. I don't know specifically why it didn't
- 25 become a formal process, but that directive is just as

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83







9 (Pages 33-36)

35

36

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

33

- 1 strong as any policy that is put in place. And, in 2 fact, in some cases, emphasizes the subject matter even more so.
- Q. Has anybody in the Texas Department of
- 5 Criminal Justice ever been disciplined for not
- 6 adhering to that informal e-mail, to your knowledge?
- A. I don't know to my knowledge. I don't know 7 8 of anyone to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of anyone not
- 10 adequately protecting inmates from heat, to your
- 11 knowledge?
- 12 A. To my knowledge - can you repeat the
- 13 question?
- 14 Q. Yeah. Are you aware of anyone ever in the
- 15 Texas Department of Criminal Justice system not
- 16 adequately protecting inmates from the dangers of
- 17 extreme heat?
- 18 MS. COOGAN: Objection. Form.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And I assume you would be. 19
- 20 A. Well, again, directly in those -- in those
- 21 cases out there, I am trying to remember of a specific
- 22 incident. But we do have processes and procedures out
- 23 there, and I'm not going to attest that every staff
- 24 member that has ever been in our agency has always
- 25 followed those. But a specific example, I can't name

- Q. Can you elaborate on that, because you've
 - 2 got me at a little bit of a disadvantage. I'm not
 - 3 as -- I'm sure I'm not as familiar with those as you

 - A. Okay. Again, each facility that we have
 - 6 within our agency is required to go through an
 - accreditation process that is performed by the
 - 8 American Correctional Association. That association
 - 9 comes in and evaluates every facility against the
 - 10 national standards of conditions, conduct of staff, 11 access to medical care, appropriate treatment and

 - 12 classification of offenders, and quality of life. So
 - 13 Hutchins is surely one of those facilities that has 14 gone through that process, and I reviewed those
 - 15 documents to see when they had gone through that
 - 16 process.
 - 17 Q. Okay. When did Hutchins go through that
 - 18 process? 19 A. They've actually been through that process,
 - 20 I believe, three times, because they're reaccredited
 - 21 every three years. An institution is required to go
 - 22 through every 36 months. So my recollection says that
 - 23 the last time they went through it was actually this
 - 24 year. I want to say it was January of 2013. And I
 - 25 believe the two subsequent reviews were done in 2010

- 1 one for you.
- 2 Q. Well, if somebody were to violate your
- 3 formal policy, 10.64, temperature extremes in the
- 4 workplace, that would be clearly delineated and there
- 5 would be a discipline process for that. Correct?
- A. Could be a disciplinary process, but could 7 be -- it could be counseling.
- Q. Sure. What the discipline process would be, 9 that's up to you?
- 10 A. Right.

6

- Q. But there would be a clear line that this 11
- 12 doesn't fly because you're violating a policy?
- A. Right. 13
- 14 Q. Fair? Okay. There is a difference between
- 15 kind of an informal e-mail directive and a formal
- agency policy in your mind, isn't there? 16
- 17 A. I would agree.
- Q. Okay. Having a formal agency policy 18
- 19 suggests greater importance. Is that fair?
- 20 A. I could agree with that, yes.
- 21 Q. Okay. We've got the temperature extremes.
- 22 Now, you mentioned the ACA standards generally, and I
- 23 believe you said, in particular, as to the
- 24 accreditation process at Hutchins?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

1 and 2007.

34

- Q. Any talk about the indoor temperatures in
- 3 those documents?
- A. There is talk about the environmental
- 5 conditions in the housing areas. There are standards
- 6 that deal with air flow, there are standards that deal
- with the temperature as a whole, and in those audits,
- 8 Hutchins passed those standards.
- 9 Q. Do you know if any of the audits took place
- 10 in the summer?
- 11 A. I want to say that Hutchins was audited in
- 12 January one year and maybe the spring. I don't know
- 13 that any of them took place in the summer.
- 14 Q. Did any of them take place when it was
- 15 110 degrees outside?
- 16 A. Again, I don't know what the temperature was
- 17 when those audits took place. But, again, as I
- 18 recall, those audits took place during the beginning
- 19 of the year.
- 20 Q. Okay. Did you make available or do you know
- 21 if the internal heat temperature documents which
- 22 register readings in the 130s, 140s, even 150 degrees
- 23 in the period of July 2011 were ever made available to
- 24 any ACA member?

25 MR. GARCIA: Objection.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

37

11

10 (Pages 37-40)

39

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

1 Mischaracterizes the evidence.

A. I do not know what documents the team

3 reviewed when they conducted their audit.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Who would?

A. I would assume the ACA panel might.

Q. Who is that?

A. That's the national accreditation panel that 8 actually performed the audit theirself. They produce

9 a report of their findings. That report goes to a

panel who considers accreditation.

Q. All right. Well, have you ever -- I mean, 11

12 were you aware that there are documents in this

particular case which indicate apparent indoor

14 temperatures -- or excuse me.

19

20

5 seeing it.

9 title sir?

A. Yes, sir.

10

11

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

wardens.

A. Okay.

23 document per se.

15 Are you aware that there are documents 16 in this case which show that the outside temperature,

when you count actual temperature plus humidity,

apparent temperature, exceeded 130 degrees? 18

MS. COOGAN: Objection. Form.

MR. GARCIA: Objection.

21 Mischaracterizes testimony. And evidence.

A. I believe, in the documents that I received, 22

23 I can't remember if that was referenced. I remember a

24 document, I want to say maybe an attachment in

25 Mr. Eason's deposition, that referenced a temperature

1 of -- of somewhere close to the hundred range. So

2 that's a temperature document I remember. I don't

4 not. So if I have reviewed it, I don't remember

7 your job as a -- and was -- is it director of the

8 criminal institution division, is that your formal

3 remember if that document referenced a heat index or

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Well, I mean, in

Q. Okay. In that role, did you ever review the

reviewed temperature documents from every system or

12 temperature readings at the prisons that you were

A. Not personally. I cannot say that I

16 any unit that was out there on the -- I relied on my

Q. Okay. And I just want to be -- I'm not

asking if you reviewed every single document ever.

Q. I'm asking if you reviewed any of them?

25 said that the temperature, heat and humidity, is above

A. I do not remember reviewing an individual

Q. Let's say a document was sent to you which

supervising during the summer periods?

1 130 degrees. Would that cause you concern?

A. It would surely cause me to make sure that

3 those individuals at that unit were taking -- making

4 all mitigation attempts to address issues to ensure

5 that all of the mitigation steps that we have put in

6 place were put in place, to make sure that those unit

7 administrators were discussing any necessary issues

8 with risk management, with health services, to ensure

9 that all actions that were being taken were sufficient

to deal with the issue.

Q. Okay. So if you -- those high temperatures,

12 you would say, look, wardens have to review those

temperature logs. Correct?

A. Yes, sir. I would hope that the wardens

15 were reviewing the temperature logs. Yes, sir.

Q. And your expectation would be that the 17 warden would then take appropriate measures when

you're dealing with extreme temperatures. Correct?

A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. Okay. Are you aware of the chart by the --

21 the weather chart that's in a lot of your training

23 A. I believe it's similar to the one that is in

24 1064, I believe.

Q. Exactly. Where it talks about heat stroke

1 may be possible, or heat stroke might be probable, or

2 heat stroke is imminent? A. Yes. sir.

Q. Okay. What does the word "imminent" mean to

5 you?

25

A. Immediate.

Q. Okay. That's what it means to me. Would it

8 surprise you that that's not what it means to

Warden Pringle?

10 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Calls for

11 this witness to speculate as to what another person

12 might believe or might not believe.

A. Again, imminent, to me, is immediate. I

14 would think that most individuals would interpret it 15

as that.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. It would be 16 17

dangerous to interpret it any other way. Right? 18

MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.

A. Again, that would be my interpretation of it

as being immediate. 20

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) I appreciate that because 21

22 I think we can agree that's what the word actually

23 means. But my question -- and let me withdraw that

24 part of it -- but my question is, if you treat the 25 word "imminent" as meaning just possible, and that's

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

19

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

41

11 (Pages 41-44)

43

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

- 1 in your policies to protect inmates, if you treat it
- 2 that way, wouldn't you be necessarily endangering
- 3 inmates?
- 4 A. Well, and again, I would say that imminent,
- 5 in my definition, would be immediate. So immediate
- 6 would mean that whatever circumstance you happen to be
- 7 talking about would -- would occur in a short period
- 8 of time.
- 9 Q. Of course. If you're about to go over a
- 10 waterfall that would be an imminent danger. Right?
- 11 A. I would agree.
- 12 Q. It's not possible, it's imminent.
- 13 Different. Right?
- 14 A. I would agree.
- 15 Q. Okay. All right. The -- within the three
- 16 deputy director groups, sir, what does the...
- 17 A. Director of manufacturing logistics?
- 18 Q. You've got better hearing than me. Yes.
- 19 What does the director of manufacturing logistics do?
- 20 A. That director position was responsible for
- 21 supervising offender industry within the institutions,
- 22 warehousing for the system, freight transportation for
- 23 the system. Those were the were the major
- 24 components of that position.
- 25 Q. I mean, would that include kind of the

1 A. No, sir.

- 2 Q. Okay. In fact, you wouldn't even be in that
- 3 line of implementation or ability to stop that?
 - A. No, sir.
- 5 Q. We would go Director Livingston, CFO
- 6 McGinty, Mr. Matt Demny. Fair?
 - A. Again, I don't know who is the final approval for that, but Matt Demny, as the division
- 9 director, would be involved in that process.
- 0 Q. Okay. Prior to this year, were you aware
- that TDCJ was spending hundreds of thousands of
- 12 dollars on cooling equipment for pigs?
 - A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. Does that offend you, when they don't spend
- 15 it on inmates?

13

- 16 A. Again, I wouldn't compare my offender
- 17 population to swine, but again --
- 18 Q. Why not?
- 19 A. I can't speak -- I can't speak to what the
- 20 needs are of the agriculture division.
- 21 Q. Would you place the needs of your inmate
- 22 population on at least an equal level to the needs of
- 23 the swine in the division?
- A. Again, I wouldn't -- I wouldn't put them in the same priority level. It's obvious that the

42

6

- 1 management of the agricultural programs relating to
- 2 pigs?
- 3 A. No, sir. At one time that was included, but
- 4 that was separated out years ago.
- 5 Q. Who runs that?
- A. Again, when I left the agency in May,
- 7 Matt Demny was the director of that particular 8 division.
- Q. Where is he on the kind of the structural
- 10 chain? Who is his supervisor and how high does it go?
- 11 A. I believe he would report, in the
- 12 organizational structure, through the chief financial13 officer.
- 14 Q. Who is that?
- 15 A. It would be Jerry McGinty.
- 16 Q. Is the chief financial officer at your
- 17 structural level or is he above you?
- 18 A. He reported directly to the executive
- 19 director, so I mean, he was basically another
- 20 division.
- 21 Q. Is that Director Livingston?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. So any decision to provide cooling
- 24 for pigs, that would not be something that you would
- 25 have been responsible for?

- 1 offender population is our ultimate responsibility and 2 appropriate care of them surely takes priority.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Is Director Livingston at
- 4 your regional director meetings?
- A. No, sir. Not normally, no, sir.
- Q. Normally not?
- 7 A. Normally not.
 - Q. Does he get -- how is he made aware of
- 9 issues in the system that you have identified as
- 10 needing policies or needing changes?
- 11 A. Again, there is a -- the major communication
- 12 and efforts between myself in my role as a division
- 13 director and Mr. Livingston was face-to-face
- 14 communication, and myself delivering information to
- 15 Mr. Livingston on current issues within my division or
- 16 reviewing, in some cases, particular incidents.
- 17 Q. Do you ever send him e-mails?
- 18 A. Not very often. I was right down the
- 19 hallway from him. So if there was an incident where
- 20 he happened to be maybe in Austin during the
- 21 legislative session and I happened to be in Huntsville
- 21 registative session and i happened to be in numbsylling
- 22 and there was an incident, I might have sent him an
- e-mail. But -- but in most cases I either picked up
 the phone and told him directly or went down with a
- 25 face-to-face meeting and briefed him on issues.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

12 (Pages 45-48)

47

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

45

- 1 Q. So you would occasionally send him e-mails 2 about particular issues in the prison system?
- A. Again, very -- very infrequently. I can't remember the last time that I sent him an official
- 5 e-mail briefing him on anything, but I'm not going to 6 sit here and say that I've never sent him an e-mail.
- 7 Q. Were you ever told not to send e-mails to 8 him?
- 9 A. Never.
- 10 Q. Never told that they might be a matter of 11 public record, so communicate directly with me?
- 12 A. Never thought about that at all.
- 13 Q. I appreciate that, but Director Livingston
- 14 never told you that?
- A. Never told -- never told me that at all.
 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Jeff, I don't want to
- break up your train of thought, but we did discussthings that Mr. Thaler reviewed, and one of them was
- 19 the ACA standards. This is a copy for you if you
- 19 the ACA standards. This is a copy for you if you20 would like to use it or just have it for your records.
- 21 MR. EDWARDS: Sure. Thank you very
- 22 much. 23 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Would you like to take a
- 24 short break?
- 25 A. Sure.

1. that doct with autions matter for the anti-

- 1 that dealt with subject matter for the entirety of the2 field out there, quite possibly.
- Q. Robert Eason, is he a personal friend of
- 4 yours or are you more business colleagues?
 - A. Business colleague.
- Q. Okay. And I don't mean to suggest that you
- 7 don't think he is nice or anything like that, I
- 8 just -- what about Director Stephens, are you personal
- 9 friends as well as business colleagues?
- 10 . A. I would consider him a friend, but more so 11 our relationship has been business.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you send e-mails -- did you send
- 13 e-mails to Mr. Stephens in the course of your career
- 14 as director of the criminal institution division?
- 15 A. I'm sure there -- there are some e-mails out 16 there, yes, sir.
 - Q. Did you ever send him an e-mail about the
- 18 high rate of deaths due to extreme heat in the Texas
- 19 prison system?
- 20 A. I don't recall a specific e-mail about that 21 subject matter.
- 22 Q. Did you ever talk to him about it?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. What did you talk to Director Stephens about
- 25 the dangers of extreme heat in the Texas prison system

46

23

- Q. Or do you want to keep going? It's really
- A. How long are you going to keep me here? Ifyou're going to keep me here for three more hours,
- 5 then --
- 6 Q. I'm going to keep you here for probably
- $7\,\,$ three more hours, but not much more than that.
- 8 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Jeff, I would like a
- 9 break. There is additional documents. These are some
- 10 of the ACA documents, but I want to make sure you have
- 11 all of the ACA documents he reviewed.
- 12 MR. EDWARDS: Sure. Okay. Let's take
- 13 a break and why don't you get those for me and that
- 14 would be great.
- 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record 16 at 9:55 a.m.
- 17 (RECESS.)
- 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
- 19 record the time is 10:14 a.m.
- 20 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Did you ever send e-mails
- 21 to Director Eason?
- 22 A. I cannot recall -- I cannot recall any
- 23 incident that I would have sent him an e-mail
- 24 directly, necessarily. If I send directives out, it
- 25 could have been general mainframe e-mails potentially

- 1 and the resulting deaths that happened from them?
- 2 A. Well, as was discussed earlier, we had many
- 3 discussions going into the seasonal periods of each
- 4 year. Mr. Stephens was surely involved in our
- 5 meetings with the regional directors. Any issues that
- 6 were to arise, again, Mr. Stephens in most cases was
- 7 the first contact point from the regional director
- 8 level. So if it was a particular issue that needed to
- 9 be discussed, he would normally make contact with me.
- 10 Again, in most cases, we made a practice of meeting to
- 11 discuss most issues at the end of each business day,
- 12 and in some cases that is the time when we would
- 13 discuss any matters that arose on the unit that he
- 14 thought that I needed to be aware of.
- 15 Q. Some of those conversations at the end of
- 16 business days included the problems associated with
- 17 heat inside the prisons?
- 18 A. Some of those discussions during summer
- 19 months would have included what steps were being taken
- 20 out on the facilities to deal with heat-related
- 21 issues, yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Did you ever talk -- well, okay.
- 23 Tell me about the actual process
- 24 that -- of how policies are made at TDCJ, how exactly
- 25 are they made, if you know?

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

13 (Pages 49-52)

51

52

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

49

A. Well, as I can speak from a division 2 director's level. If there is an identified need for

3 policy development, then the proponents in that 4 particular division would initiate that policy. There

5 surely would be input from the divisional leadership

6 and those elements within that division that that 7 policy would affect. That policy would be put out in

8 draft form. If that policy affected any other

9 division, there would be an opportunity to process

10 that for review from all those divisions through our

11 Executive Services Division.

12 If the policy crossed divisional lines, 13 then it would fall in the category of an 14 administrative directive. And that administrative 15 directive, after review, modification, and processing,

16 would be finalized and then forwarded for signature to 17 the appropriate entity.

Q. Okay. I think you threw a lot out at me, 19 and I just want to -- anyone can identify a need and

20 draft a policy. Is that correct?

18

21 A. Not anyone. But a -- an issue that is --22 requires a policy direction, depending on what that

23 need happens to be. The agency has, currently,

24 hundreds of policies, so there -- it's not very

25 frequently that new policies are adopted. In many

1 of individuals, yes, sir.

Q. Okay. Don't you think you need such a

3 policy?

A. Well, again, as we spoke earlier, the issue

5 that you're referencing is the issue of ensuring that

6 we're dealing with the needs of offenders and offender

7 housing area. The agency has historically dealt with 8 that process, in my opinion, through that notification

9 to staff of requirements every year, in addition to

10 ensuring that we're meeting the necessary fire and

11 safety and health services requirements as we house

12 our offenders day in, day out in our institutions. 13 And there are surely policies that cover those

14 portions. An additional policy that deals with

15 specific temperature or specific initiatives or

16 mitigation efforts for offender housing areas would be

17 something that would look similar to information that

18 has been disseminated for many years, it would just be

19 codified in a policy -- more than likely, an existing 20 policy.

21 Q. Even after 2000 -- even after the summer of

22 2011 when at least ten people died of hyperthermia,

23 you're not aware of anyone circulating a draft policy

24 relating to indoor housing temperatures?

25 A. I have left the agency. There was

1 cases, policies are modified in our current system to

2 cover the subject matter that would address most

3 issues, but there might be an occurrence where a new

policy for a new program or a new initiative would be

5 developed.

Q. Okay. Correct me if I've misunderstood 6

7 anything you said. Look, anybody can identify a need.

8 The proponents in the division, if they do so, they

9 can try to initiate a formal policy by drafting

10 something and then sending it on to the appropriate

11 levels. Is that fair?

12 A. That's fair.

13 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that that

14 hasn't happened with regards to indoor housing

15 temperatures at TDCJ in any of its facilities?

16 A. As far as a specific policy referencing 17 indoor temperatures, the agency has relied on that

18 e-mail that we referenced earlier. There is not a

19 separate policy to address that issue.

Q. Okay. There easily could have been drafts 20

21 circulating around by any of the regional directors or 22 anyone up the food chain up through Director

23 Livingston if they wanted to. Right?

24 A. If a need was identified to develop that 25 policy, that surely could be initiated by a multitude

5

10

12

19

21

1 discussion about the drafting of such policy, but I

can't speak to that since -- since I left in May.

Q. There was discussion in this year before you

4 left or prior to that?

A. This year before I left.

6 Q. Okay. Prior to this lawsuit being filed,

7 are you aware of any discussion relating to changing

policies with regards to indoor temperatures in the

9 housing areas?

A. Adopting a policy -- a -- modifying a policy

11 or changing a policy? You said, changing a policy.

Q. Either. Creating one?

13 A. I don't know of any conversation that took

14 place as it related to changing the method by which we

put out instructions for mitigating steps to take

during this seasonal period, so...

17 Q. You mentioned that if this were to occur, it

18 would go to the Executive Services Division?

A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. What is that?

A. That is a -- a division within the agency

22 that deals with the Emergency Action Center process.

it deals with policy development from the agency

perspective. Again, as I mentioned before, it deals

25 with dissemination of policies that -- across

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

14 (Pages 53-56)

55

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

53

- 1 divisional lines, so they would be the ultimate
- 2 drafters and producers of those policies. And also
- 3 serve as a function of research and collection of
- 4 statistical data for the agency.
- Q. Would you be on that Executive Services
- 6 Division, or is that somebody else?
 - A. That's a different division.
- Q. Who ran that division, do you know? And
- 9 I'm -- basically, '09 to the present, if you know?
 - A. That division -- the individuals in that
- division would have reported to Jeff Baldwin. 11
- Q. Jeff Baldwin. And who is Jeff Baldwin? I'm 12
- 13 sure I should know, but --
- A. Jeff Baldwin is chief of staff in the 15 agency.
- Q. Chief of staff to Director Livingston? 16
- 17 A. Yes, sir.

7

- 18 Q. So would he be the best person to ask about
- 19 changing policies, modifying policies, creating
- 20 policies relating to indoor temperatures in the
- 21 housing areas?
- 22 A. As it relates to agency policy and ADs, that 23 falls under his purview, yes, sir.
- 24 Q. Okay. And he, again, reports directly to
- 25 Director Livingston?

- 1 ever -- I mean, did you have personal conversations
- 2 with Director Livingston about the extreme heat inside
- 3 the Texas prison system, generally?
- A. In general, particularly in -- as we went
- 5 into each seasonal year, we surely generated the
- 6 initial notification to all staff. There, I'm sure,
- were conversations that we had as we were going into
- 8 each seasonal period where I would assure
- 9 Mr. Livingston that directions were put out to the
- field and training was being conducted. As we had the
- incidents occur in 2011, I would have surely discussed
- with Mr. Livingston the subject matter of the
- incidents that were occurring and steps that were
- being taken to address the issue.
- Q. Okay. So the individuals that died in --
- 16 well, when did you take over your job as --
- 17 A. 2009.
- 18 Q. 2009. Okay. So any offender death that was
- 19 linked to hyperthermia, you would have discussed that
- with Director Livingston?
- A. I can't say that I discussed specific
- 22 individual incidents with Mr. Livingston in all cases.
- In some cases, the cause of death wasn't identified
- until sometime after after the incident. But the
- 25 general subject matter about, as Health Services

54

A. Yes, sir.

3

- 2 Q. They work hand in hand, to your knowledge?
 - A. As all division directors do, yes, sir.
- Q. Did Mr. Stephens function kind of as your
- 5 chief of staff in a way?
- 6 A. I wouldn't say that. All three deputy
- 7 directors carried on different responsibilities and
- 8 covered different components of the Correctional
- 9 Institutions Division, so Mr. Stephens surely had his
- 10 portion of that.
- 11 Q. Each of them collectively functioned as
- 12 essentially a chief of staff for you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Fair?
- 15 A. They reported -- I relied on them to -- to
- 16 bring issues to my attention that needed to be
- 17 addressed, yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Okay. When a policy is finally made, is
- 19 Director Livingston -- does it require his approval?
- 20 A. In -- there is different signatures, but in
- 21 most cases an administrative directive would be signed
- 22 by Mr. Livingston. There are some administrative
- 23 directives that would be signed by the executive
- 24 deputy director.
- 25 Q. Would he be involved at all in - did you

- 1 Division provided information that we were dealing
 - 2 with deaths as a result of hyperthermia, the general
 - 3 subject matter surely would have been discussed with
 - 4 Mr. Livingston.
 - Q. Okay. And even before you discussed deaths
 - 6 due to hyperthermia with Mr. Livingston, do you know
 - if he was knowledgeable about the dangers extreme heat
 - posed to inmates in the Texas prison system?
 - A. I can't speak for Mr. Livingston.
 - Q. Would you expect him to be knowledgeable
 - 11 about the dangers of extreme heat in the Texas prison
 - 12 system?
 - 13 A. I would expect that Mr. Livingston is
 - 14 knowledgeable about many things, but I can't speak to
 - what his knowledge level was in any particular area.
 - 16 Q. Well, you train all of your officers, from
 - 17 the lowest correctional officer up until yourself,
 - 18 heading the Correctional Institutional Division --
 - 19 A. Right.
 - 20 Q. -- about the dangers of extreme heat in the
 - 21 prison system. Right?
 - 22 A. Yes, sir.
 - 23 Q. Wouldn't you expect the executive director
 - 24 of the prison system to be equally knowledgeable about
 - 25 those policies and problems?

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES





57

15 (Pages 57-60)

59

60

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

A. I would assume that Mr. Livingston is

3 sir.

Q. Well, specifically, would you expect him to

5 be knowledgeable about the particular danger that

6 heat, extreme heat inside the prison system poses to 7 inmates?

8 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Asked and 9 answered, and also calls for speculation.

10 A. Again, I think I answered your question. I

11 can't speak to his knowledge. Again, generally, I

12 would say that Mr. Livingston is aware of most issues

13 within the agency and policies that are written to

14 address those issues.

15 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Well, when you talk

16 to him about people dying due to -- of hyperthermia

17 due to indoor heat, was he surprised?

18 A. I surely don't think that -- particularly,

19 when we had the first discussion in 2011, that any of

20 us were anticipating or expecting any deaths to occur.

21 So I don't know if "surprise" is the right word, but

22 it surely was information that we had discussed that

23 we never had discussed before.

24 Q. Prior to 2011, you never talked about deaths

25 in the Texas prison system with -- with Director

1 coming from staff in the field.

Q. Would it surprise you to learn that

3 correctional officers have, in fact, complained about

4 the intense heat at the Hutchins Unit?

A. It wouldn't surprise me that there are some

6 staff members that would complain about many
7 conditions, to include the environment they work in.

Q. Well, does the environment you work in, is

9 it 100 degrees on a consistent basis during the

10 summer?

12

20

21

25

3

11 A. The environment that I worked in?

Q. Yes.

A. For the most part, no, not during theposition that I held as director for the agency.

15 Q. Right. Your office was air conditioned.

16 Right?

17 A. Yes, it was.

18 Q. All of your senior staff's offices were air

19 conditioned. Right?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. All of your wardens' offices, including

22 Warden Pringle at the Hutchins Unit, their office is

23 air conditioned. Right?

24 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Your correctional officers and the inmates

58

1 Livingston relating to heat?

A. No. sir. I did not.

Q. Okay. Were you aware that other people had

4 died in the Texas prison system due to heat-related

5 illness prior to 2009?

6 A. I cannot say that -- that I had direct

7 knowledge relating to any specific incident of a

8 heat-related illness death in the system prior to

9 2009.

3

Q. Well, were you aware that correctional

11 officers would routinely complain about the high heat

12 inside the prison?

13 A. I don't know that I would use the word

14 "routinely." I worked inside those systems for many

15 years myself, and surely conditions were uncomfortable

16 but not unbearable.

17 Q. Okay. Let me change it. Were you aware

18 that correctional officers throughout the prisons in

19 TDCJ system would complain about the heat and how hard

20 it was for them to work in that heat?

21 A. Again, I might have not answered your

22 question directly, but as it relates to formal

23 complaints rising to my level, individuals bringing

24 complaints from staff to my level, I cannot say that I

25 recall having specific conversations about complaints

1 in the housing areas, they don't have that benefit.

2 Isn't that correct?

A. That is correct. For the most part, yes.

Q. Okay. And that's a choice that the agency

5 has made not to air condition those areas. Right?

A. There are some facilities that were not

7 constructed with air conditioning, yes, sir.

Q. And that's a choice that TDCJ is continuing

9 to make now. Isn't that correct?

A. TDCJ has not made the determination that it

11 is necessary to air condition all of the facilities in

12 our agency, yes, sir.

13 Q. In fact, TDCJ has done absolutely nothing

4 from 2009 to the present to cool the temperatures in

15 the housing areas that aren't air conditioned. Isn't

16 that correct?

18

17 A. That is -- I would disagree with that.

Q. Tell me why.

19 A. I disagree in the sense that to say that

20 TDCJ has done nothing to cool the housing area

21 environments, as we go into every year, we continue to

22 look at the environment as a whole. Facilities

23 Division is responsible for ensuring that ventilation

24 systems, exhaust systems, fans are operating

25 appropriately. Unit administrations are responsible

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

APPENDIX134

16 (Pages 61-64)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

- 1 for evaluating housing areas, multi-purpose areas, for
- 2 the request of any additional fans that could be
- 3 placed in those areas to help with air flow. Again,
- 4 we take the steps that are outlined in the e-mail to
- 5 mitigate conditions within the housing areas. But,
- 6 again, to say that nothing has been done, I think, is
- 7 an inaccurate statement.
- Q. Okay. And I understand that you have put
- 9 out this e-mail and that steps are taken to mitigate
- 10 the effects of this intense heat that prisoners and,
- 11 frankly, guards experience. Right?
- 12 A. We put out that e-mail to mitigate the 13 extreme temperatures, yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Well, now, that's what I want to drill down
- 15 on. Okay?
- 16 A. Okay.
- Q. I am interested in you telling -- there is a 17
- 18 difference between mitigating the effects of super hot
- 19 conditions and actually eliminating the heat and
- 20 taking the temperature down. You would agree with me
- 21 on that. Right?
- 22 A. I would agree.
- Q. You can bring a lot of ice water to someone 23
- 24 in a 115-degree environment. Right?
- 25 A. Sure.

1 in those precautions that actually reduce the

- 2 temperature inside the housing areas.
- A. Well, again, as I referenced earlier, the
- 4 only specific item covered in here is the appropriate
- 5 use of your air flow systems, your exhaust flow
- 6 systems to move any buildup of heat within those
- housing areas outside those housing areas.
 - Q. And I want to ask you about that.
- A. Okay. 9
- Q. We'll talk about the ventilation systems or 10
- 11 whatever. Anything other than ventilation systems in
- 12 this precaution which you would contend actually would
- 13 lower the temperature?
- A. No, sir. 14
- 15 Q. Okay. Now, I want to make sure that we're
- 16 on the same page because my understanding is that
- those ventilation systems effectively blow hot air.
- Is that correct?
- A. Well, in some cases those exhaust systems 20 are blowing hot air out of the housing area, yes.
 - Q. If it's a hundred degrees, the air they're
- 22 blowing in and out is effectively a hundred degrees.
- 23 Right?
- 24 A. I don't know what the temperature would be,
- 25 but you could make that assumption.

- Q. But it doesn't change doesn't take the
- 2 temperature down to a safe level, does it?
- A. It would not -- ice water would not reduce 3
- 4 the temperature of the dormitory.
- Q. It might help a person be able to live in 5
- 6 that type of condition, but it doesn't do anything to
- fix the actual condition of extreme heat. Fair? 7
- A. It -- ice water would not reduce the 9 temperature in dorms, I agree.
- Q. All right. Now, along those lines, I'm 10
- 11 handing you -- it's been previously marked, but we'll
- 12 mark it again as Exhibit 50. And that is, I believe,
- 13 the e-mail that is sent out consistently by you.
- (Deposition Exhibit No. 50 marked.) 14
- MR. EDWARDS: There you go Demetri. 15
- 16 Sorry.
- MR. ANASTASIDIS: Thank you. 17
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And take as long as you'd 18
- 19 like, but would you agree with me that this is the
- 20 e-mail that has been basically sent out, at least from
- 21 '09 to the present, concerning these heat precautions?
- A. With some minor modifications in the 22 23 present, yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. Well, we'll talk about those. But 24 25 what I'm very interested in is, point me to anything

10

- Q. It's kind of like the difference between air 2 conditioning your car and a vent system in your car.
- 3 Right?
- 4 A. Somewhat, yes.
 - Q. Okay. Like, for instance, you're driving
- 6 from Huntsville to Austin in the dead of summer, there
- is quite a difference between using air conditioning
- 8 in your automobile and not having air conditioning but
- 9 just putting the vent on. Right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. One is -- cools the environment and lets you 11
 - live in the temperature that you can live in. Right?
- A. One is -- one would cool the environment, 13
- 14 the other would move the air.
- Q. Okay. Tell me what medically beneficial
- effect you're aware of with regards to moving
- extremely hot air around to your prison population, in
- particular in the Hutchins Unit?
- MR. GARCIA: Objection, compound. 19
- 20 Objection, speculation.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Let me withdraw that. 21
- 22 Tell me any benefit that you're aware
- 23 of that would take place at the Hutchins Unit from
- 24 moving hot air around through a ventilation system? MR. GARCIA: Objection, vague. 25

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83





17 (Pages 65-68)

67

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

65 1 Objection, speculation. 1 Correctional Institutions Division from '09 to 2012, 2 A. Well, again, the effects of the exhaust 2 isn't it your responsibility to know whether or not 3 systems in all our housing areas and the fans that are 3 these fans are helping or hurting the inmates? available there in some cases, as I say, are there to A. I surely rely on the expertise of 5 move that hot air out of the particular housing area. 5 individuals in the field. And as we move into each 6 But in other cases, those fans are there for the seasonal period, we rely on the Facilities Division to 7 effects of the offender population. And, again, 7 help us address that issue. The Facilities Division 8 although it might not reduce the temperature, it 8 puts extreme emphasis on ensuring that our ventilation 9 and exhaust systems are functioning appropriately. 9 allows for some comfort for those individuals that 10 have the ability to access those fans. 10 From the CID's perspective, additional fans are 11 Q. Now, are you aware that the fans actually, purchased for the comfort of offenders in those 12 because of the energy they use, actually increase the particular areas each year and distributed as 13 temperature? requested by unit administrations due to need. 14 MR. GARCIA: Objection, speculation. 14 Q. Is it your understanding, based on talking 15 MS. COOGAN: Join. to these people, that the hot air is blown out of the 16 A. I'm not aware of that. 16 housing area? 17 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) If that were the case. 17 A. Yes, sir. 18 would that trouble from a policy making standpoint? 18 Q. If that's incorrect, where -- well, where 19 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Rule --19 did you get that belief? go ahead. 20 20 A. Again, that's --21 MR. GARCIA: Speculation. 21 Q. Facilities Division? 22 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection, Rule 602. 22 A. -- in general conversations with the 23 This witness has no personal knowledge. 23 Facilities Division. MS. COOGAN: Join. 24 24 Q. Okay. Who in particular? 25 A. Again, I would hope that nothing would 25 A. Specifically, I - I can't say. That has 66

1 increase the temperature in those dorms, but I have no 2 knowledge of whether that would happen or not. Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Well, have you asked 3 4 anybody if the fans actually reduce the temperature or 5 provide some benefit? A. I specifically have -- from the Facilities 7 Division guidance and consulting with the 8 Facilities Division as we go into every year, it's 9 their, I would assume in this case, expert opinion 10 that they serve that purpose of dispensing that hot 11 air from the dormitories. Specifically have I asked 12 that question? I can't say that I have. 13 Q. Okay. But as the former head of the 14 criminal institution division, at least from '09 until 15 early this year, I mean, did anyone ever talk to you about why these fans are there -- well, strike that. 16 17 As the head of the Criminal 18 Institutions Division from '09 to at least the -almost the present, or slightly -- whenever you 19 20 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Correctional 21 22 Institution, not Criminal Institution. MR. EDWARDS: I apologize. Let me 23

24 restate that. That's a Freudian slip, I suppose.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) As the head of the

25

1 been a -- a recommendation by the Facilities Division 2 as long as I have been at our institutions. 3 Q. Is that Mr. Vian? A. Mr. Vian is currently deputy director over 5 that area and he plays some role in oversight. But of 6 the facilities staff that is on at every facility that's responsible for maintenance functioning and ventilation systems in our housing areas. Q. Anyone with any medical background advising 10 you about whether or not these fans are -- are 11 beneficial in moving hot air out of the dorm? 12 A. I don't know of anybody from the Health 13 Services Division that has given me input relating to 14 exhaust systems and their functioning in a housing 15 area. 16 Q. Well, is the purpose of these fans to --17 well, so you've never in a conversation with anyone 18 from the Health Services Division of TDCJ as to 19 whether or not these fans are actually beneficial from 20 a medical standpoint?

A. I think as it -- and, again, depending on --22 are we talking about the exhausting fans or are we 23 talking about the floor fans that are put in, or either?

25 Q. Either?

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (800) 375-4363 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

21

18 (Pages 69-72)

71

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

69

- A. Again, floor fans help circulate the air.
- 2 Again, more for comfort level. Exhaust fans, it would
- 3 be my premise from Facilities Division instruction
- 4 that they help expel hot air from the dormitories.
- 5 Health services staff discussions have -- have, to my
- 6 recollection, not involved discussions about fans
- 7 themselves, more so the appropriate steps to take to
- 8 address any offender issues.
- Q. Okay. This expelling of hot air, it gets
- 10 replaced with what kind of air?
- 11 A. Again, as was mentioned earlier, there is --
- 12 the intake fans bring in air from the outside. It's
- 13 not cooled, so there is not cool air flowing into the
- 14 housing areas.
- Q. Now, if one of the problems is that people 15
- 16 with certain medical conditions cannot cool their
- 17 bodies, would you agree with me that fans are
- worthless as a means to protect them from this?
- 19 A. I don't know that I have the medical
- 20 expertise to say that they're worthless.
- 21 Q. Well, if they were worthless, that would be
- 22 a problem. Right?
- 23 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Calls for
- 24 the witness to speculate.
- 25 A. Again, it's not my belief that they are

- 1 those answers. And, again, as it relates to fans.
- 2 most of my information has flowed from the
- 3 Facilities Division, not from the Medical Division.
 - Q. Ever had a conversation with anyone from
- 5 UTMB about these exhaust fans?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
 - Q. Ever had a conversation with anyone from
- 8 UTMB about, how can we lower the temperature to make
- 9 it safe for these prisoners?
- A. There have surely been conversations with
- 11 Health Division staff relating to conditions within
- the housing areas and steps that -- steps that should
- be taken to help mitigate that heat.
- 14 Q. Okay. When you say Health Services
- 15 Division, just so I'm clear, you're talking about the
- 16 Texas Department of Criminal Justice Health Services
- Division. Correct?
- 18 A. Yes, sir, I am.
 - Q. Okay. Is Doctor Linsicum involved in that?
- A. Doctor Linthicum. Yes, sir. 20
- 21 Q. Linthicum. Excuse me.
- 22 Did she give you any suggestions about
- 23 how to lower these temperatures which are dangerous?
- 24 A. Again, Doctor Linthicum has discussed this
- 25 issue, and extensively, as we go into every seasonal

70

19

- 1 worthless.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Based on what?
- A. Based on, again, the ability to generate air
- 4 flow within the dorms and provide for some comfort
- level for the offenders.
- Q. Okay. Is that just based on your personal
- 7 experience?
- A. Personal experience and -- and, again, those
- conversations that I've had with facilities staff.
- Q. Can you tell me any particular individual in 10
- 11 the facilities staff who has told you that these fans
- 12 can somehow help a body cool?
- 13 A. Not -- no.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever had a conversation with 14
- 15 anyone with any medical background as to whether or
- 16 not these fans can help a body cool or a person
- 17 acclimate to an extremely high temperature?
- 18 A. Again, there might have been a conversation, 19 but I do not recall.
- 20 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that it's
- 21 pretty important for the person in charge of the
- 22 overall prison system, at least the Correctional
- 23 Institutions Division, for them to know these answers?
- A. I think it would be important to talk to the
- 25 experts in the field that -- that are -- that can give

- 1 period, she works closely with contracted health
- 2 service staff to ensure that appropriate measures are
- 3 being taken on the facilities to deal with any issues
- 4 and appropriately house offenders on our facilities.
- 5 Doctor Linthicum and I have had a multitude of
- 6 discussions about ensuring that water is available for
- 7 these offenders. I cannot say that -- I would be
- assuming there might have been a conversation about
- fans, but I don't want to assume that we had that
- 10 conversation.
- 11 Q. Okay. As you testify here today, other than
- 12 making sure that water is available to inmates, can
- 13 you think of any other conversations you had with
- Doctor Linthicum or anybody from the Health Services
- 15 Division about lowering the temperatures or mitigating
- 16 the heat in particular units?
- A. Sure. As it relates to the overall factor
- 18 of mitigating the heat, again, those conversations
- 19 have been more comprehensive about how we deal with
- 20 the individuals that in some cases might be more
- 21 susceptible to heat-related illness.
- 22 Q. Like who?
- 23 A. As some of those factors that were named
- 24 earlier, if there is a medical condition or an
- 25 individual is on medication that the Health Services

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (800) 375-4363 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

19 (Pages 73-76)

75

76

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

73

- 1 Division as identified might be more susceptible to
- 2 heat-related illness, there is a variety of that
- 3 criteria that they use.
- 4 Q. Sure.
- A. Then we've talked about ensuring that staff
- 6 in the field is aware of those individuals so that we
- 7 can ensure that those mitigation efforts that we have
- 8 put out there are taking place, and that if an
- 9 individual is suffering from any heat-related illness
- 10 that is identified appropriately so that individual
- 11 can get immediate health service care.
- 12 Q. Heat stroke is a medical emergency. Right?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. It requires immediate care. Correct?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. From a doctor or a medical provider, not
- 17 from like a supervisor or a lieutenant or sergeant.
- 18 Correct?
- 19 A. I would say that that's surely a situation
- 20 that requires attention by a health service
- 21 professional.
- 22 Q. You would expect all of your correctional
- 23 officers to know that, certainly, during the period
- 24 2009 until the present. Correct?
- 25 A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. Yeah.
- 2 A. Okay. I think it runs approximately 3 \$3 billion.
- 4 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that, like,
- 5 one way you could just remedy this problem of people
- with certain medical conditions experiencing heat
- 7 stroke due to really high temperatures is to just get
- 8 the temperature to a safe level through using cooling?
- 9 A. Well, again, there are -- if -- taking your
- 10 presumptive question, if you were to reduce the
- 11 temperature in all housing areas in the Texas
- 12 Department of Criminal Justice, then the temperature
- 13 in the dormitory would surely be more livable, more
- 14 comfortable for the offender population.
 - Q. Well, I'm not really concerned about comfort
- 16 right now. I'm really concerned about the livable
- 17 part. So let me ask you, of the employees that are
- 18 working in the air conditioned environments of all of
- 19 the facilities in the Texas Department of Criminal
- 20 Justice, are you aware of anybody dying from heat
- 21 stroke?

15

- 22 A. Not to my knowledge, no, sir.
- Q. Okay. Now, but you are aware of a lot of
- 24 people dying of heat stroke who are living in the
- 25 inside housing areas, inmates. Right?

74

- Q. You would expect any human being to know
- 2 that. Right?
- 3 A. I don't know if I would go that broad on any
- 4 human being, but --
- 5 Q. Fair enough. Any human being with any job
- 6 where they're responsible for taking care of people,
- 7 you would expect them to know that when they come upon
- 8 someone suffering a heat stroke, that that's a medical
- 9 emergency and they've got to get immediate medical 10 care. Fair?
- 11 A. I would say, if they have identified an
- 12 individual suffering from heat stroke, they should
- 13 know to get him medical care -- immediate medical
- 14 care.

25

- 15 Q. Well, even if they don't know it's
- 16 absolutely a heat stroke, if they see somebody
- 17 convulsing and nonresponsive and unable to have an
- 18 conversation for an extended period of time, then
- 19 that's an emergency that needs care. Right?
- 20 A. I would surely say that should involve
- 21 contact with health service professionals, yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Okay. What is the budget for the Texas
- 23 Department of Criminal Justice? At least, let's say,
- 24 give or take, in 2013?

(800) 375-4363

A. The entire budget?

- 1 A. I'm aware of some incidents, particularly in
- 2 2011, where hypothermia was determined to be the cause
- 3 of death, yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Not just 2011, 2012. Right?
- 5 A. There are a couple of instances in 2012,
- 6 yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And I assume you would agree with me that a
- 8 couple of incidents in 2012 is a couple of incidents
- 9 too many. Right?
- 10 A. I would agree.
- 11 Q. And I assume you would agree with me that
- 12 ten incidents in 2011 is off the charts too many.
- 13 Right?
- 14 A. I would agree that any number is too many.
- 15 Q. Okay. Because this is not a problem that
- 16 can't be fixed. Right? This is a problem that can be
- 17 fixed?

18

- MR. GARCIA: Objection. Is there a
- 19 question in there?
- 20 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Isn't this a problem that
- 21 can be fixed by lowering the temperature and
- 22 eliminating the potential for extreme heat to cause
- 23 heat stroke?
- 24 MR. GARCIA: Objection, compound
- 25 question. Objection, speculation.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

20 (Pages 77-80)

79

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

77 MS. COOGAN: And vague. By whom? 1 broad question about, was he properly housed at --A. Again, we have safely housed individuals in Q. Let me stop you. I didn't ask you if he was 3 our institutions for many years. There surely is a --3 properly housed. I asked you if he was safely housed. 4 an environment where temperatures are not controlled, A. Oh. 5 and portions of our populations do live in those Q. Does that change your answer? 6 environments. It's been our practice to ensure that A. Well, again, I don't know if it -- if it 7 appropriate steps are taken to mitigate the heat in 7 changes my answer, but, again, I feel that in his 8 those particular circumstances, and that offenders are 8 particular case, the environmental conditions caused 9 appropriately housed in accordance with their health 9 his death, so -- or were a contributing factor to his 10 service needs, so... death, so he should have been housed otherwise. Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) I appreciate that, sir, 11 11 Q. In an air conditioned environment. Right? 12 and I don't mean to be rude --12 A. I can't make that determination. It would MR. EDWARDS: But I'm going to object 13 13 be Health Services. 14 as nonresponsive. 14 Q. Okay. A \$120,000 expenditure, do you Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Did you safe -- did the 15 consider that a significant and expensive expenditure 16 Texas Department of Criminal Justice safely house 16 in the context of a \$3 billion budget? 17 Larry Gene McCollum? 17 MR. GARCIA: Objection, speculation. MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection, vague and 18 18 A. Again, a \$120,000 expenditure is -- in 19 calls for speculation. comparison to \$3 billion is fairly small, but within a 20 A. I don't know what you mean by safely house, particular degree of that \$3 billion could be a 21 but I will respond to that question of, Mr. McCollum 21 substantial portion. 22 suffered from a heat-related illness. The autopsy 22 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Sure. And I asked you a 23 indicated that it was from hyperthermia, so the living 23 pretty broad question. 24 conditions were a partial causal factor of his death. 24 A. Right. 25 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Did you review his 25 Q. In order to effectuate a change that would

7

78

1 cost \$120,000, what would need to happen? Could that

2 be done by the warden level, would that require 3 regional director's approval, would that require your

4 approval, sir, or would it require even more sets of

approval? A facilities expense of that kind?

A. Facility --

MR. GARCIA: Objection, compound.

8 MS. COOGAN: And vague.

A. There are specific work requests that can be

amount that determines the approval level for that

generated within our agency contingent on the dollar

particular expenditure. So a major work request at

13 \$120,000 level, I believe, would -- would surely go

14 through whatever divisional component was responsible

for it, up through that division director to the

Facilities Division for -- for approval. Ultimately,

if it was a long term or a -- a major work request, it

ultimately could go to the facilities review board for

approval.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. So what I'm trying

21 to get - I mean, and I am going to have to reask it

because there were some objections, and I apologize. 23 But major work requests, would putting

24 in air conditioning be considered a major work

25 request?

1 autopsy?

2 A. Yes, sir.

Q. His autopsy actually said it was due to lack

4 of air conditioning in the prison. Correct?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. So let me ask you again. In your 7 opinion, as the director of the Correctional

8 Institutions Division, did the Texas Department of

9 Criminal Justice and the Hutchins facility, in

10 particular, safely house Larry Gene McCollum?

A. Again, those housing criteria are based on

12 the evaluation of that individual's medical condition

13 upon arrival. In this particular case, because he 14 suffered from heat-related illness, that again is a

15 causal factor of his death, so ...

16 Q. So did you safely house him or not?

17 A. Well, again, in this particular case, I

18 believe I -- I believe I answered the question. He

19 was housed in that time in accordance with his known

20 medical criteria. We surely -- surely did not

21 identify, in my opinion, an opportunity to -- to deal

22 with his medical issue.

Q. What does that mean? What do you mean when 23

24 you say that?

25 A. Well, again, I -- I would again rely on the

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83





21 (Pages 81-84)

83

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

81

1 A. Yes, it would.

- Q. Okay. A major work request that costs,
- 3 let's say, for instance, \$120,000, what level of
- 4 approval would be necessary to make that happen?
- 5 MS. COOGAN: Objection, incomplete
- 6 hypothetical.
- 7 A. Again, I believe I answered the question.
- 8 It would go through the division director level, it
- 9 would go through Facilities Division and on to the
- 10 facilities review board.
- 11 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And, again, just so I
- 12 understand. Mr. Vian would be the Facilities Division
- 13 director?
- 14 A. Mr. Inmon, Frank Inmon is the
- 15 Facilities Division director. Mr. Vian is the deputy
- 16 director.
- 17 Q. Okay. The division director, is that the --
- 18 is that the six regional director positions?
- 19 A. No. That would be -- if it dealt with a
- 20 particular facility, it would be generated on the unit
- 21 level, pass up through your regional director level,
- 22 your regional Facilities Division level, it would come
- 23 through the deputy director level within CID and to
- 24 me. If it dealt with a particular program area on the
- 25 facility, then it would go through that particular

- 1 the information, sign off on it. It would go to
- 2 the -- a regional director in that particular region.
- 3 From there it would go to, in most cases,
- 4 Mr. Stephens, who would review it. And subsequently
- 5 it would come to me, and then it would be forwarded to
- 6 the Facilities Division for final processing. And
- 7 they would make the determination as to whether or not
- 8 it's a something that would have to be designed.
 - And then, ultimately, if the project
- 10 was to move forward, it would go to a facilities
- 11 review board process where that review board would get
- 12 together and approve the funding for it.
 - Q. And is there okay. Let's say it cost
- 14 \$250,000, would the process be different?
 - A. The process would still be the same.
- Q. Let's say it cost a million dollars, would
- 17 the process be different?
 - A. It would be different as it relates to the
- 19 approval level. At some point in time that approval
- 20 level would ratchet up and ultimately would have to go
- 21 to the Board of Corrections for approval before that
- 22 project could move forward.
- 23 Q. Tell me at what level you need the Board of
- 24 Corrections to be involved, what monetary level? I
- 25 hope I'm making myself clear.

82

15

18

1 divisional director.

- Q. All right. Well, let me ask it this way.
- 3 Let's say that there was a decision made to air
- 4 condition, you know, a housing -- one of the housing
- 5 areas at the Hutchins Unit.
 - A. Okay.
- 7 Q. And let's say hypothetically that it cost
- 8 \$120,000.

6

- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. Okay. Who would need to be involved in that
- 11 decision in order to make that happen? Could you make
- 12 that happen, or would it take more than that?
- 13 A. It would take more than that for the
- 14 expenditures to be expended, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. I'm trying to -- who exactly would have to
- 16 be involved? How would this process have to start?
- 17 A. Just as I mentioned, the process would start
- 18 out on the unit level. The warden, the unit
- 19 administrator would identify that request and initiate
- 20 it. It would go to the unit maintenance supervisor.
- 21 It would basically include the data relating to a cost
- 22 estimate, equipment necessary, in some cases,
- 23 materials required. It would then go to the regional
- 24 maintenance supervisor who would, again, review the
- 25 facilities, maintenance supervisor on the unit levels.

- 1 A. I believe it's a million dollars. I believe 2 it's a million dollars.
 - Q. As -- anything under a million dollars,
 - 4 Director Livingston, yourself, the
 - 5 Facilities Division, you guys have the power to make
 - 6 that happen without Board approval?
 - 7 MS. COOGAN: Objection. Incomplete
 - 8 hypothetical.
 - 9 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Is that true?
 - MS. COOGAN: Objection. Incomplete
 - 11 hypothetical.

10

- 12 A. I believe so.
- 3 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) All right. And somewhat
- 14 above a million dollars, you believe the board -- the
- 15 Board of Corrections would need to approve that.
- 16 Correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. Other than the extra step of Board of
- 19 Corrections approval, assuming that we're above the
- 20 million dollar mark, are there any other steps that
- 21 need to happen at the -- at the below-board approval
- 22 for a million dollar-plus expenditure?
- A. When you say "other steps," are you talking about steps within the divisions or approval --
 - Q. You rolled through kind of like how the

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

25

22 (Pages 85-88)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

process normally would go.

A. Right.

2

6

Q. And what I'm trying to think -- and you've 3

4 told me that for expenditures under a million dollars.

A. Right.

Q. And I've got that, I think.

A. Right.

Q. And now you've added an extra step, look, if

9 it's greater than a million dollars, we've got to get

the Board of Corrections to approval it. 10

11 A. Right.

Q. And what I'm trying to think -- is it just, 12

13 you go through the same steps, and then you have an

14 extra step, which is, you take it to the board and

15 Director Livingston would present it to the board and

16 they would say yea or nay. Is that your

understanding? 17

A. Basically, it's presented to the board, yes, 18

19 sir.

Q. Okay. Now, are you aware, during your time 20

21 as the head of the Correctional Institutions Division,

of anybody saying, look, we need to air condition the

Hutchins Unit? 23

A. No, sir. 24

25 Q. Anybody ever say, look, we need to air 1 Right?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. Because I've read the newspaper

4 articles where the spokesperson for the Texas

5 Department of Criminal Justice is talking about that

6 it might cost \$50 million. Are you aware of any study

7 anywhere that the Texas Department of Criminal Justice

8 commissioned or even is aware of supporting that

9 number?

10

11

18

A. I don't know of any study, no, sir.

Q. Okay. Assuming that the newspapers are

12 correct and that the expenditure relating to cooling

for the pigs was \$750,000, as I understand your

testimony, that would not have required board -- the

Board of Corrections approval, that would have been

16 below that. Correct?

17 A. Again, that's -- that's to my knowledge.

Q. Okay. I mean, if it turned out that you

could air condition a substantial portion of the

20 Hutchins facility housing area for under a million

dollars, would you support doing that?

22 A. Well, again, as I stated earlier, in

23 discussions with the individuals responsible for

overseeing the institutions, along with me the other

25 division directors, particularly after the incidents

86

1 condition just a couple of housing dorms at the

2 Hutchins Unit. It's too hot for these people with

3 heat-sensitive illnesses. Anybody ever say that to

4 you?

6

16

5 A. No, sir.

Q. Did anything prevent you from saying that to

other people? 7

A. No, sir. 8

Q. Are you aware of any cost study being done

about the cost of air conditioning -- let's start with

the Hutchins Unit? 11

A. No, sir. 12

Q. Are you aware of any studies being done with 13

regards to air conditioning any of the prison units,

15 the housing areas?

A. Not to my knowledge, no, sir.

Q. And you're, you know, pretty high up in the 17

food chain with the Texas Department of Criminal 18

Justice. Right? 19

A. Not any longer, no, sir.

20 Q. Fair enough. You certainly were, though, 21

22 weren't you?

23 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. You would expect to be made aware of 24 25 if there are these discussions going on internally.

1 that we had in 2011, those discussions with the

2 Administrative Risk Management Division, the

3 Facilities Division, and the Health Services Division

4 director, our discussions focused on what efforts do

5 we need to initiate to ensure that we don't have any

6 future incidents and mitigate the opportunities for

7 future incidents related to heat-related illnesses and

8 heat-related deaths.

And as we focused our efforts on that

10 and reviewed those incidents, we focused on what we

11 thought would continue to address that issue, and look

12 at any areas where we felt that there was room for

13 improvement. And so, through that determination, we

14 felt that we could continue to safely house offenders

15 in our populations, short of air conditioning all of

16 our facilities, by, again, ensuring that we were

17 reconcentrating our efforts on mitigating the

18 situations in the dorms and ensuring that individuals

19 that were housed in our facilities were housed in

20 accordance with their needs, security and health

21 service needs, ensuring that we were not just relying

22 any longer on individual offenders to bring forth an

23 issue, particularly those offenders that we felt were

24 more susceptible potentially to any heat-related

25 illness. And that is when the discussion between

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

APPENDIX141

4

23 (Pages 89-92)

91

92

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

89 1 health services and myself determined that we would 2 create our wellness checklist system. So that we also 3 just did not rely on staff routinely walking through 4 the dorms making a general observation of all 5 offenders, that they dedicate their time and effort to 6 ensuring that we were checking on these individuals 7 that might be more susceptible to heat-related 8 illnesses. And that we also reemphasized our focus on 9 the job that we were responsible for, and any time 10 that you send out a list or you label particular 11 individuals and say, look at these, we want to be 12 careful to make sure that staff didn't assume they 13 didn't have to look at anybody else. So we also 14 ensured that as we move forward and discuss those 15 issues, we left in place the need to ensure we were 16 checking on the entirety of the population, but 17 focused our effort on ensuring that, should any 18 individual be in a situation that required health

22 Again, with that being said, it was 23 through those discussions that we felt that we could continue to do that, as we have done for many years, 24 25 short of air conditioning our facilities.

20 immediately and getting those individuals appropriate

19 service care, that we were identifying that

1 mean -- well, what if it only cost \$150,000, would you 2 change your mind?

3 MS. COOGAN: Same objection.

A. Again, I mean, I don't know that cost is --5 cost is a driving force here. I mean, if -- if the

6 determination was made through consensus of staff at

7 the Texas Department of Criminal Justice that the only 8 way we felt we could safely house our offenders was

9 through air conditioning our facilities, then that's

10 the decision that would have been made and that's the

11 direction we would have moved forward no matter what

12 the funding cost was.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) I just want to make 14 certain I understand you. Whether it costs \$100,000

15 or \$25 million, the position that you would advocate

16 is, if it's necessary to protect our offenders from

17 'death by heat stroke, we ought to do it, if it's

18 necessary?

19

90

A. If it's necessary.

20 Q. Okay. Now, do you think that the Texas

21 Department of Criminal Justice and, in particular, the

22 region in which Director Eason supervised during

23 the -- let's say, 2010, 2011, 2012 time period, did a

24 wonderful job protecting inmates from the dangers of

25 extreme heat?

MR. EDWARDS: Okay. Let me object as 2 nonresponsive.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) But I appreciate your 3 answer. 4

Again, let me ask you again, if it --5 if there were data to say that you could air condition 7 a significant portion of the housing units at the

8 Hutchins Unit for under a million dollars, would you

9 be in favor of doing that and air conditioning those 10 areas?

11 A. Again --

12

25

21 care.

Q. That's a yes or no.

13 A. Okay. If that's my only options, with no other information, then I would have to say, no. 14

15 Q. Even though that's the only way you can be sure to eliminate the risk of heat stroke. Correct? 16

17 MS. COOGAN: Objection. Incomplete

18 hypothetical question. 19

MR. ANASTASIDIS: Calls for

20 speculation.

21 A. And, again, I -- I would be making that 22 assumption, but I believe that there is a -- an

opportunity to safely house offenders short of air

24 conditioning facilities.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. So then you're -- 1

A. Well, again, as was mentioned earlier, any

2 time we have one incident or one death relating to any

3 subject matter, in this case, heat-related illness, I

4 would say as an agency as a whole, we need to evaluate

5 those circumstances and, again, make sure we're

6 meeting the needs of the offenders in our population.

7 So to select a region, any time we had a -- a death in

8 our facilities, I consider that a -- an issue and, in

9 some cases, a failure of -- of our -- some system that

10 surely has to be evaluated and ensure that we're doing

11 everything we can. In some cases, those deaths are

12 unforeseen and in some cases, unpreventable. In other 13 cases, there are surely issues through our processes

14 as we review our policies that we didn't -- did or did

15 not follow that would have allowed us to do a better

16 job of meeting our responsibilities.

17 Q. Mr. McCollum's death was preventable, wasn't

18 it?

19 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.

20 MS. COOGAN: Join.

21 A. Again, I'm not -- that does call for

22 speculation, but I -- I...

23 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) He died of hyperthermia,

24 at least according to the autopsy, due to a lack of

25 air conditioning.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

24 (Pages 93-96)

95

96

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

93

A. Right.

Q. Do you think that TDCJ could have preventedthat by placing him in an environment that had air

4 conditioning?

5 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. This

witness is not qualified to give a medical opinion.
 MR. EDWARDS: I'm not asking for a

8 medical opinion.

9 A. Again, I would say that he was housed in 10 accordance with -- with his health service criteria at

11 the time. In hindsight, I can't tell you what health

12 service would have -- would have -- how health

13 services would have evaluated his condition and

14 whether or not he needed to be relocated to another

15 facility or a different institution due to his16 condition.

17 Q. As I understand your testimony, one death

18 from heat stroke is too many in the entire prison;

19 system. Is that correct?

A. Any death in our system is -- is something that we take seriously and surely work to make it preventable.

23 Q. Okay. That's your goal. Right? That you

24 take these deaths seriously and you work to prevent

25 them. Right? That's the goal. That's what you

1 Department of Criminal Justice, at least was it your

2 position, that it required a death before you examined

3 whether or not the extreme heat was posing a danger to

4 inmates?

6

15

5 A. No. We address that issue going into it.

Q. Of course not. Right?

7 A. We address that issue going into every

8 season.

Q. Well, okay. But you had a number of

10 heat-related illnesses before Mr. McCollum died in the

11 summer of 2011, didn't you?

12 A. There were heat-related illnesses, yes, sir.

13 Q. Employee heat-related illnesses and inmate

14 heat-related illnesses. Right?

A. There were some, yes, sir.

Q. Okay. Does it require a death before you

17 take precautions and change these measures that you

18 allege mitigate the heat?

19 A. No.

20 Q. It certainly shouldn't. Right?

21 A. No, sir.

22 Q. If people are suffering heat exhaustion,

23 complaining of heat, fainting, whatever, you ought to

24 examine that right away. Right?

25 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Compound.

94

1 should be doing. Fair?

2 A. Right.

15

3 Q. Did you do that in 2011, in the summer of

4 2011, did you do everything possible to stop these

5 deaths by heat stroke in the summer of 2011?

A. I think that moving into the summer of 2011,

7 every reasonable step was taken to address those

8 issues. Those reasonable steps had been in place for

9 our -- for many years, and provide for that safe

10 environment for the offender population. In 2011,

11 there surely was a need to re-evaluate our processes 12 and make appropriate adjustments.

13 Q. Did it require ten people to die before you 14 started re-evaluating?

MR. GARCIA: Objection. Argumentative.

16 A. Again, any time we had a death, once the 17 issue arose in 2011, we immediately began looking at

18 the issue. Those deaths occurred in a very short

19 period of time over a -- a course of less than a

20 month. And our actions that were taken surely were

21 implemented as we moved forward to identify those

22 individuals and any individuals that additional needs

23 were required to avoid similar incidents.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. I want to make sureI understand. I mean, is it the position of the Texas

77

1 A. And we did.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And you did. Okay. And

3 you did and, to your knowledge, Director Stephens did.

4 Correct?

5

A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. And to your knowledge, Director Eason would

7 have examined that. Right?

8 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. And you certainly would hope that

10 Warden Pringle would exam those that occurred at his

11 facility. Right?

12 A. Reviewed the fact -- circumstances, yes,

13 **sir**.

14 Q. Okay. And certainly your directors meetings

5 you would be updating Regional Director Eason and

16 making sure that he tells his wardens that he's

17 supervising, look, this summer is extremely hot.

8 We've got to take extra precautions. Right?

24 time period before Mr. McCollum died. Right?

A. Surely -- surely was addressed at every
 regional directors meeting that we had, the need to

take precautions relating to heat-related illnesses.
 Q. And you recall specifically doing that and

23 addressing that at these regional meetings in the 2011

A. I surely had it on my agenda, so I am sure I

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

25 (Pages 97-100)

99

100

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

97

1 addressed it.

- Q. Okay. And this is my question. To notaddress it would have been unacceptable. Correct?
- 4 A. Well, again, to bring attention to it and
- 5 take appropriate action is --
 - Q. And I appreciate --
- 7 A. -- is appropriate.
- 8 Q. And that's what you did. That's what you're
- 9 telling this jury you personally did. Correct, sir?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now, my question, though, is a little
- 12 bit different. You would agree with me that to not do
- 13 that would be dangerous to the inmate population.
- 14 Right?

15

6

- MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.
- A. To not review incidents and make a determination as to anything that could be done to avoid future incidents?
- 19 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Of course.
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. Look, you're at the top of the food chain.
- 22 You and Director Stephens, Director Eason, you guys
- 23 are responsible for making sure these policies, even
- 24 if they're informal e-mails, actually get implemented.
- 25 Right?

1

16

A. Extreme heat could cause heat-related

- 3 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And you provide a chart
- 4 where you say, look, it's possible, it's probable,

2 illness which ultimately could relate in death.

- 5 it's imminent. Right?
- 6 A. Right.

9

10

11

15

- Q. And north of 130 degrees, heat stroke is
- 8 imminent, Right?
 - MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.
 - A. I believe that's what the chart reflects.
 - Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And that's a better way —
- 12 the chart reflects that it's imminent north of 130
- 13 degrees. Right?
- 14 A. I believe ---
 - MR. GARCIA: Objection.
- 16 Mischaracterizes the evidence --
- 17 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. So --
- 18 MR. GARCIA: Mischaracterizes the
- 19 evidence.
- 20 Let me get my objection in, please.
- 21 Thank you.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) So would you expect any
- 23 administrator running a prison, if temperatures hit
- 24 those imminent levels, to take immediate steps to deal
- 25 with it?

98

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. And to not raise the awareness of the warden at a particular unit, if you didn't do that, if
- 4 you just said, hey, not my responsibility, somebody
- 5 else will take care of it, you would tell this jury,
- 6 look, that's unacceptable; then I wouldn't be doing my 7 job. Is that fair?
- 8 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Compound.
- 9 A. Again, surely as -- as was mentioned, that 10 was a subject matter that was covered at every 11 meeting.
- 12 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And there was an
- 13 obligation to cover it at every meeting because to not
- 14 cover it would endanger the lives of inmates housed in
- 15 your facilities. Right?
 - MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.
- A. Again, it was surely a subject matter that should be covered for the appropriate housing and treatment of individuals in the facility.
- 20 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And it's not speculation,
- 21 is it? You teach all of your officers and provide
- 22 training that extreme heat endangers the lives of
- 23 inmates. Right?
- 24 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Calls for
- 25 cumulative evidence to be presented.

- MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.
- 2 Mischaracterizes the evidence.
- 3 A. Well, again, I would expect individuals
- 4 overseeing the institutions to take continuous steps,
- 5 that they elevate the level of those steps as the -- a
- 6 rise in temperature continued, to increase the
- 7 vigilance of ensuring that the steps were being
- 8 followed to -- to increase the vigilance of staff that
- 9 was supervising those offenders and to increase the
- 10 supervision of those offenders to ensure that we were
- 1 providing appropriate care.
- 12 Q. Is there a temperature that you're aware of
- 13 that just, look, that's too hot to safely run a
- 14 prison?

16

17

- 15 A. No, sir, I'm not.
 - Q. 150 degrees?
 - MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.
- 18 A. Again, I don't know of a specific
- 19 temperature.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Would you agree with me
- 21 that if the chart you're using suggests that heat
- stroke is imminent at a certain level that that mightbe a temperature that you might say, look, if it gets
- 24 this high, we have to take special steps?
 - MR. GARCIA: Objection, compound.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

25

26 (Pages 101-104)

103

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

101 1 Objection, speculation. Objection, mischaracterizes 1 being taken to assist in the mitigation. 2 the testimony --Q. Well, what is your understanding of how much A. Well, again, there are steps out there water in these jugs ought to be provided to 48 inmates 3 THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. who are living in a housing area? 4 5 MR. GARCIA: Go ahead. A. I can't determine how much water should be 6 provided. They should be checked on routinely, and if 6 A. There are steps out there. As I said, you 7 it's needed to be refilled, it should be refilled. 7 surely would increase your vigilance, you sure would Q. So it should -- there should be constant 8 increase your supervision. That chart, again, is one 9 access to ice cold water. Fair? 9 specifically in our policy requires that if you're in 10 a work environment that you slow your work pace down, A. I believe that -- yeah. I believe that the 10 11 that you increase your water breaks, that -- so there, 11 direction that we give was that we would encourage at 12 again, are increased actions as the threat level of 12 a minimum of each shift that that cooler is refilled. But our intent is to, again, continue that process so 13 excessive temperatures gets higher. 14 that it is available to the offenders in the housing Q. Now, there is a temperature at which you 15 don't let people work outside. Right? 15 area. 16 Q. Okay. Well, those are different standards. A. Ultimately. I couldn't recall what that 16 17 Right? 17 temperature level is. 18 A. Right. Q. But there is a specific temperature? Q. Okay. I mean, have you been in the 19 19 A. Again, I'm not sure. 20 Hutchins Unit in the summer? Q. Okay. These measures right here on 20 21 A. Yes, sir. 21 Exhibit 50, if they weren't being followed at the 22 Q. It's brutally hot, right, in the housing 22 Hutchins Unit, I assume you would be extremely 23 areas in the summer months? Based on your experience? 23 critical of the officers or warden who wasn't 24 A. It's surely warm. It's like most of our 24 following them? 25 prison environments, yes, sir. 25 MS. COOGAN: Objection. Vague.

102

7 ves. sir. 104

A. I would surely -- surely be wanting to 2 determine why they weren't being followed. Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Because they're really 4 important to be provided. Right? A. There surely are some important mitigating 6 steps there, yes, sir. Q. Discuss the importance of making water 8 available to the inmates. A. Again, in all our housing areas, of course, 10 water is available year round through water fountains 11 or water portals. During the summer months we bring 12 in additional water coolers. Again, in most cases, 13 those coolers should be replaced multiple times 14 throughout the day to allow for additional opportunity 15 for offenders to drink cool water in their housing 16 environment.

17 Q. And if that wasn't happening, you would be 18 critical. Correct?

19 A. I surely would want to know why it was not 20 happening, yes, sir.

21 Q. Well, regardless, whether it was not 22 happening because someone is just a mean, rotten

23 person, or someone is absolutely incompetent, you

24 would be critical. Right? 25 A. It surely would be a step that should be Q. I'm not suggesting that it's any hotter, the

2 temperature depends. But there are internal documents

3 that suggest it's routinely above a hundred degrees in

4 there. Would that be consistent with your experience?

A. I don't know if I would say consistently 6 above a hundred, but it is hot in the housing areas,

Q. It certainly would meet your standard for 9 extreme heat. Right?

10 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Vague.

11 A. It surely is -- surely would be high heat

12 and would, again, require that increased vigilance 13 that I talked about earlier.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) All right. And so I

15 believe you told me, look, you need to be -- you need 16 to provide constant access to iced water. Is that

17 fair? Is that a fair characterization of your

18 testimony?

A. In most cases. And in some cases, again, 20 during summer months, we -- we work hard to put out

21 ice water in all of the housing areas and ensure that

22 we have iced substances for each meal for the offender 23 population. So we -- we surely make all efforts to do

24 that, and the warden should be making all efforts to 25 do that.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (800) 375-4363 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

27 (Pages 105-108)

107

108

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

105

3

1 Q. It is your intent for there to be constant 2 access to ice cold water for the inmates in these

3 extremely hot indoor temperatures. Correct?

A. As much as possible, yes, sir.

5 Q. And what would prevent someone from bringing

6 in ice water to the inmates? What would make it

7 impossible to do that?

A. I don't know that anything would make it

9 impossible to do that. There are occasions where --

10 particularly during the summer months on larger

11 facilities, where the ice makers struggle to keep up

12 in some cases with the distribution of the ice to the

housing areas. But other than -- short of that.
 Q. Sure. Short of there being an ice shortage,

15 which I think you would tell the jury, we ought to go

16 buy extra ice if our ice makers break. Right?

A. And, again --

18 Q. Nothing --

17

19 A. I'm talking about continuing to distribute

20 it -- to distribute ice water to the dormitories.

21 There might be a -- a time period where that ice maker

22 is catching up.

23 Q. You might miss a time or two, but that

24 shouldn't be, we dropped the bucket off, we come back

25 in eight hours, we do it again. It should be

1 method by which offenders receive fluids in their

2 housing area. There are --

Q. Sinks you're talking about. Right?

A. There are water fountain spigots on the

5 sinks that are used as water fountains, similar to

6 water fountains that you would find in other -- in

7 other housing areas on other facilities.

Q. Is that warm water or ice cold water?

A. It's not ice cold water, no, sir.

10 Q. It's not like a water fountain in a little

11 elementary school. Right?

12 A. It's not chilled water, it is not. But it

13 is water, and hydration is -- the most important issue

14 here. That cool water surely preferred, but hydration

15 is important.

16 Q. Okay. Now, is hydration, in your opinion,

17 the most important issue or is lowering the

8 temperature an equally important issue?

MS. COOGAN: Objection. Calls for an

20 expert opinion.

19

21 A. Well, again, as it relates to providing

22 mitigating circumstances and from discussions with

23 health service staff, the most important thing that

24 you can do particularly to help reduce your body

25 temperature is to intake plenty of fluids during those

106

1 constantly monitored because, otherwise, you place

2 inmates in danger. Right?

3 A. Particularly during -- as the heat continues

4 to increase, that would be something that I would

5 surely think is reasonable and should be done.

6 Q. Okay. And these jugs of water we're talking

7 about, are they ten-gallon jugs that you might see at 8 a soccer game? The kind that you dump on the coach at

9 the Super Bowl?

10 A. Similar to that. Similar to those size,

11 yes, sir.

18

19

12 Q. Okay. Are you aware of how many cups of

13 water are in those jugs?

14 A. I don't know.

15 Q. Are you aware of what the recommended amount

16 of water a human being should drink in periods of heat

17 where it's greater than 90 degrees?

A. I can't say that I know, no, sir.

Q. As a policy maker for the Texas Department

20 of Criminal Justice, with actual authority make this

21 happen, don't you think you should be aware of that?

22 A. Well, again -- again, that -- that ice jug

23 there is to supplement the water supply that's

24 currently already in the dormitories. So I don't want

25 to make the assumption here that that is the only

1 time periods. So, again, I --

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) You've been specifically

3 told that that's the most important thing you can do

4 for most people is to increase your water intake --

A. Well, again --

Q. -- to cool your body. Right?

7 A. -- in dealing with health service staff,

8 that's --

5

6

9

17

Q. That's what they've told you?

10 A. That's surely something that they want us to

11 ensure that the offender population does to stay

12 hydrated.

3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me,

14 Mr. Edwards, I need to change tapes.

MR. EDWARDS: Okay, Let's take a

16 break. Thank you.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record

18 at 11:34 a.m.

19 (RECESS.)

20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the

21 record. The time is 11:52 a.m.

22 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Did you have any

23 involvement in the construction or designs of prisons

24 built in the 1990s, sir?

A. No. sir.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7cc7f83

28 (Pages 109-112)

111

112

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

109

- Q. Do you know who would have?
- A. In general, I'm sure the Facilities Division 2
- 3 or Construction Division would have played an integral
- 4 role in that, but as far as individuals that were
- 5 involved in that process, no, sir, I couldn't -- at
- 6 that time I couldn't know who would have been the
- 7 decision makers.
- Q. Would the executive director of the agency
- 9 have been one person involved, in all likelihood?
- A. As it relates to the design of the facility, 10
- 11 again, I would be speculating, and I wouldn't know if
- 12 they would have final say as it related to the number
- 13 of institutions --
- 14 Q. Sure.
- 15 A. -- the size of institutions, probably. As
- 16 it related to the design, I would not know.
- Q. Would you agree with me that air 17
- 18 conditioning was a common attribute of housing in the
- 19
- 20 A. For the most part, yes, sir.
- Q. Actually, speaking of that, have you ever 21
- 22 been in a public building in the last 25 years that
- 23 didn't have air conditioning? Other than your
- 24 prisons?
- 25 A. Not that I can think of, no, sir.

1 temperature inside, outside?

- A. I don't know of any that I could produce,
- 3 no. sir.
 - Q. Okay. Well, I'll represent to you that
- 5 there are documents in this case in which the Risk
- 6 Management Division -- that indicate that the
- 7 temperature inside or outside are plus or minus two or
- three degrees. Is that consistent with your
- experience?
- 10 A. It differs in areas of the state, but I have seen at least one document that indicated that.
- Q. And did you see that in your position as --13 as director?
- A. I believe I saw it in reviewing the 14
- 15 documents for this deposition.
 - Q. Okay. So you also reviewed the -- the
- 17 documents from Mr. Story relating to the temperatures
- inside the prison?
- 19 A. I believe that was one of the attachments 20 that was in one of the depositions.
- 21 Q. That's a good way to say it. You reviewed
- 22 all of the attachments to all of the depositions which
- you mentioned earlier? 23
- 24 A. I believe I did.
- Q. Okay. Well, one of the attachments I think 25

110

- Q. Any library, any school, any -- I don't
- 2 know -- anything you can think of?
- MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Asked and 3
- 4 answered.
- 5 A. Again, no, sir, I can't.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Do you know if
- 7 Guantanamo Bay is air conditioned?
- A. No, sir, I do not. 8
- Q. I apologize if I asked you this specific,
- 10 but have you ever had any specific conversations about
- 11 lowering the indoor temperature inside the housing
- 12 areas of the TDCJ units that aren't air conditioned?
- 13 A. Again, those considerations that we
- 14 referenced earlier, conversations with the
- 15 Facilities Division about what could be done to ensure
- 16 proper ventilation was working in those particular
- 17 facilities.
- 18 Q. Let me ask you about that, because I
- 19 remember you telling me about the ventilation and
- 20 stuff, but like did you specifically ask about that to
- 21 get the temperature lower?
- 22 A. Sure.
- 23 Q. Okay. Are there any documents that -- you
- 24 know, where there is -- where you're finding out if
- 25 the ventilation systems are actually lowering the

- 1 we talked about earlier reflected temperatures outside 2 of 149 degrees. Do you recall that?
- MS. COOGAN: Objection. Vague, to the
- 4 continued use of the word "temperature" as opposed to
- "ambient air temperature" or "apparent temperature"
- because I think it's misleading.
 - A. Again, as I mentioned earlier --
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Let me ask that again.
- Q. The temperature logs that your correctional 10
- officers fill out, they reflect the apparent
- temperature. Correct?
- 13 A. The temperature -- the dorm temperature,
- 14 yes, sir.
- Q. The temperature, including humidity, what
- 16 the actual effective temperature is on a human being.
- Right? 17

18

- A. I would have to look at the log.
- 19 Q. Okay. All right. Well, assume with me that
- 20 there are documents that indicate, in the week
- 21 preceding Mr. McCollum's death at the Hutchins Unit, 22 that the temperature logs reflected temperatures of --
- 23 and I'm talking about apparent temperatures -- of
- 24 149 degrees on more than one occasion. What should
- 25 Warden Pringle have done when he saw this?

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

APPENDIX147

29 (Pages 113-116)

115

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

113

MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.

2 A. Well, again, I think the -- the efforts that 3 are put forth, the conversations that, as the warden.

4 that I would have with my staff on the particular

5 facility, Facilities Division staff, Health Service

6 staff, Risk Management staff, we would have been

7 discussing any options we had available to mitigate

8 the circumstances.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) All right. At a minimum, 10 seeing temperatures like that, 120 degrees, 130

11 degrees, 140 degrees on temperature logs, it ought to

12 ring a bell in the warden's mind, I need to get with

13 my people and take whatever steps I can to mitigate

14 this heat?

A. Again, as a past unit administrator, for 15 16 sure the actions that I would take.

Q. Okay. And that would include getting with 17 18 risk management to find out if inmates are in danger,

19 talking to correctional officers about recognizing the

20 signs and symptoms of heat stroke and making sure

21 everybody is prepared if the unfortunate event of a

22 heat stroke happens. Right?

23 A. Surely increasing our vigilance, yes, sir.

Q. Okay. One of the things in the heat

25 precaution list is personal fans, or at least I think

1 coming in, but again, I would rely on health service 2 staff to assist me in that process.

Q. Well, lots of these deaths are happening at

4 these transfer facilities. Right?

5 A. There are some that have occurred at the transfer facilities. 6

7 Q. Gurney Unit, the Hutchins Unit, the

Garza Unit? 8

9

11

15

16

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Those are all transfer units. Right? 10

A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. All those deaths -- most of those

13 deaths at those units happened before there was time

14 for an intake physical. Right?

MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.

A. Again, I would have to review the documents.

17 I - the intake physical normally takes place after

18 the initial assessment on the day of arrival. That

19 physical would take place normally -- again, I'm

20 trying to do this from memory -- the third or fourth

21 day of the individual's time that he has arrived on

22 the facility.

23 In the event that any immediate medical 24 needs were identified, of course, at that first

25 triage, health services would take care of any

1 that's correct. Do you recall that?

A. Yes, sir. 2

24

3 Q. Okay. Is that meant to be like an

4 accommodation that helps comfort prisoners, by TDCJ?

A. In some cases, yes, sir. 5

6 Q. Would you agree with me -- well, do you

7 know -- at the Hutchins Unit there are no plugs for --

8 to plug in personal fans so they're not allowed. Is

9 that accurate --

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. So is it fair to say that TDCJ is not making

12 that little accommodation in terms of personal fans

available to people at the Hutchins Unit? 13

14 A. Offenders at the Hutchins Unit do not have 15 the availability of an outlet to use a personal fan.

Q. Which denies them the benefit of a personal 16 17 fan. Right?

A. Well, again, if they have one in their 18 possession, it wouldn't do them any good, so... 19

20 Q. Well, I assume you are, but let me make

21 sure. Are you aware of the increased danger to

22 inmates when they are acclimating to these higher 23

apparent temperatures in transfer facilities? 24 A. Again, I don't know how much general

25 knowledge I have about how that affects the body

1 immediate needs. But the normal physical, I believe,

2 is done on the third or fourth day, but I'm not the

3 expert to testify to that.

Q. Sure. And I'll represent to you that there

5 has been testimony in this case that the intake

6 physical, at least with regard to the Hutchins Unit,

is done in a period seven to ten days --

8 MR. GARCIA: Objection.

9 Mischaracterizes the testimony. 10

MS. COOGAN: Join.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) -- from the time of entry.

A. Again, I'm --

13 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. There is 14 no question on the floor. You represented him some

facts. You have not asked him a question. 15

You do not have to answer his

17 narrative

11

12

16

18 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Is it your understanding

19 that the intake physical could take seven to ten days

at the Hutchins Unit, based on your review of Director

21 Eason and Warden Pringle's depositions?

22 A. I don't remember those statements in the 23 deposition, to be honest with you.

24 Q. If they said that, would that surprise you?

A. Again, normally that physical --

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

25

6

7

8

11

19

20

21

118

30 (Pages 117-120)

119

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

117

MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Whether 2 this inmate -- this witness would be surprised by an 3 event or not is not relevant to any issue in this 4 case. 5

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) You can answer --MS. COOGAN: Join. And I object that 6 that's not even the right deposition. 7

MR. ANASTASIDIS: If you're able to 8 9 answer that, you can go ahead.

A. Well, again, historically, I believe that 10 physical is normally done during those first few days 12 of entering the facility. So I don't know that

"surprise" would be a word that I would use. 13 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Your expectation would be 14 15 these intake physicals are done within four days of an

inmate's arrival at a facility? 16

A. I would -- I would say, surely within the 17 18 first few days, normally, that's what I would believe 19 to be the process.

20 Q. Well, that's fair. Can you think of any 21 reason why it would take seven to ten days to get 22 someone an intake physical?

23 A. I can't -- I can't speak for health services 24 or -- or how long it would take for them to get 25 through the hundred or so each week that show up on 1 I have -- I would have a problem with those taking

2 place a few days after arrival. Q. Well, most inmates, when they're coming to a

Right? 5 A. They are.

Q. Those are air conditioned. Right?

A. Most of the them, probably, I believe.

Q. By -- well, you familiar with that a law 9

4 transfer facility, are coming from county jails.

requires them to be air conditioned? 10

A. I'm somewhat familiar with that jail standard out there, yes, sir.

13 Q. Okay. Can you think of any good reason why county jails would have to be air conditioned, but state jails can house people in temperatures over a hundred degrees?

MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Calls for 17 18 this witness to speculate.

MR. GARCIA: Objection. Argumentative.

A. Again, I can't speculate as to why the county jails adopted that standard.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Well, the county jails 22 adopted -- are you aware that the legislature passed a 23 law requiring the county jails to air condition their 25 facilities?

120

1 the Hutchins facility.

Q. Okay. So about a hundred people come each 3 week? Do you see any problems with not having intake 4 physicals done -- or strike that.

Do you see any problems with having 5 6 intake physicals done, four or five, six, seven, 7 eight, nine, ten days after an inmate arrives?

A. Well, again, from my experience, there is a 9 process that is put in place for initial screening of 10 individuals assigned to any institution. Of course,

11 that first contact would be generated from the entity

12 that is actually transferring the individual to us.

13 So any immediate or urgent medical care needs would

14 normally be passed on from that entity to us and, in

15 many cases, prior to the individual being transferred

16 in to us. So that would be the first measure.

17 And the second measure would be that 18 initial assessment by a health service personnel upon

19 arrival. So health service staff has established that

20 policy and used that triage, in my experience, to

21 determine whether or not an immediate contact with a

22 health care professional was required or that they

23 would be processed through the normal intake process.

24 So, again, assuming those processes are working

25 appropriately, then I don't know that I could say that

A. I'm not personally aware of that law, no,

2 sir.

10

11

Q. Okay. Can you think of any legitimate 3 4 reason why the county jails would have to air

condition, but the state jails -- the state prison

facilities wouldn't have to? 6

MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Asks this witness to form an opinion as to something he has no personal knowledge or ability to formulate.

MR. GARCIA: And argumentative.

A. I can't speak for the legislature as to why 12 they would --

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. I'm not asking you 13 to speak for the legislature. I'm representing to you

that county jails are air conditioned, which is

consistent with your experience. Correct? 16

17 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Okay. Also, you've told me that many prison 18

facilities are not air conditioned, like the

Hutchins Unit. Right?

A. Correct.

22 Q. Can you think of any good reason for that 23 distinction?

24 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Argumentative. 25

A. Well, again, I think that, as you stated, if

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

31 (Pages 121-124)

123

124

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

121

- 1 indeed it's a true statement that there is legislative
- 2 intent for all county jails to be air conditioned.
- 3 then that was mandated by the legislature, so county
- 4 jails follow those instructions.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Any other reason you can 6 think of?
- 7 MS. COOGAN: Objection. Speculation.
- 8 A. No.
 - Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. You don't need
- 10 this -- this high heat in order to effectively punish
- 11 the inmates for the crimes they've committed, do you?
- 12 A. No, sir, not at all.
- 13 Q. Okay. Is there any penal purpose like
- 14 specifically for keeping -- there being no air
- conditioning in the prisons?
- 16 A. No - no - as it relates to not bringing
- 17 conditioned air into systems as a form of punishment,
- 18 absolutely not.
- 19 Q. Okay. Tell me what the EAC system is, sir.
- 20 A. It's the Emergency Action Center. It's a
- 21 hub that collects information from different
- 22 divisional components, different facilities and units,
- on incidents that have occurred throughout the system. 23
- 24 Q. Is it fair to say that the purpose is to
- 25 inform supervisors about problems at the prison?

- 1 implemented.
- Again, those reports are available for
- review, but as far as when they come in from the
- 4 facilities, the EAC department is the depository for
- 5 that information.
- Q. With regards to the McCollum case, I guess,
- would regional -- then Regional Director Eason have
- seen the EAC reports?
- A. Yes. That EAC report, when it leaves the
- 10 facility, funnels through the regional director's
- office prior to forwarding to the EAC center.
- Q. And then would it also get to you and 12
- 13 Mr. Stephens?
- A. Not necessarily in all cases. Again, if it
- 15 required an action plan, then that is something that
- 16 would surely have gone to the appropriate deputy
- 17 director, depending on what the circumstances were of
- 18 the EAC report.

20

- 19 Q. Do you review most reports involving deaths?
 - A. Many -- many of the reports I have reviewed,
- 21 but I can't say that I review every report that
- 22 involves an offender death.
- 23 Q. Okay. Did you review the report involving
- 24 Mr. McCollum?
- 25 A. I have subsequently reviewed that report. I

122

- A. In some cases it disseminates information
- 2 about incidents that have occurred. Some are
- 3 problems, some are just incidents.
- Q. I understand -- I appreciate that. It would
- 5 include prisoner injuries?
- 6 A. To the EAC center, yes, sir. In some cases 7 it would, yes.
- Q. Including prisoners that die? 8
- A. Prisoners that have died in the institution, 9
- 10 there would be an EAC report sent to the Emergency
- 11 Action Center.
- 12 Q. Prisoners who suffer heat stroke and die.
- 13 there would be an EAC report. Right?
- A. There should be an EAC report on any death 14
- 15 in our system.
- Q. Who reviews the reports from the EAC system? 16
- 17 If you know?
- 18 A. Again, depending on the category of the
- 19 report, most reports are filed -- are forwarded to the
- 20 EAC center. They're filed there in the Emergency
- 21 Action Center. If there is an action plan that
- 22 accompanies that report, then that action plan and a
- 23 copy of that report would be forwarded to the
- 24 appropriate, in most cases, deputy director within the
- 25 division to ensure that the -- any action plan was

- 1 can't -- I cannot remember, in 2011, at what point
- 2 that I personally became aware of it or what documents
- 3 I reviewed, but I have reviewed it prior to this
- 4 deposition.
- Q. Okay. Do you believe I mean, I'm trying
- 6 to drill down on it a little bit. Should you have
- 7 reviewed it in 2011 when it came in?
- A. Well, again, there is multiple entities that
- 9 report to me, particularly as it as it relates to
- 10 an offender's death. So in some cases that report is
- 11 actually reviewed personally by me, in other cases
- 12 there are discussions with my appropriate deputy
- 13 director. Potentially, in these cases, discussion
- 14 with the Health Services Division director regarding
- 15 the circumstances surrounding the particular incident.
- 16 So, again, I can't assure you that in all cases I
- 17 review every report.
- 18 Q. But it's important for the people in the
- 19 hierarchical chain to be reviewing these to discover
- 20 patterns. Right?
- A. Well, it's surely important that we discuss 21
- 22 the facts concerning the particular incident and
- 23 determine if there is any modifications to procedures
- 24 or policies that should take place as we move forward.
- 25 yes, sir.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (800) 375-4363 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

32 (Pages 125-128)

127

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

125

- Q. And are you aware of how many heat stroke
- deaths there were in 2011 in the region supervised by
- 3 Director Eason?
- A. There would have been seven. 4
- 5 Q. That is a very high number. Is that
- 6 correct, for one region?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you guys make any changes other than to
- 9 make sure you were following this e-mail that you guys
- send out every May?
- A. Well, again, that's -- that summer in 2011, 11
- 12 is -- we were surely dealing with temperatures that
- 13 arose. Different parts of the state were affected
- 14 differently, again, because it was driven from the
- 15 temperature, So Warden Eason -- or Mr. Eason, I'm
- 16 sorry, there surely were conversations that took place
- 17 about what additional training, what additional
- 18 instruction, what, if any, additional steps were being
- 19 discussed with his unit wardens that needed to take
- 20 place. Again, those deaths in that particular year
- 21 occurred in a -- a relatively short period of time,
- 22 but those conversations took place over that --23 sometime over that two- or three-week period.
- Q. Okay. So over those two or three weeks, you 24
- 25 do recall specifically talking with Director Eason

- 1 the summer of 2011?
 - A. Well, again, deaths of any nature sure do
 - 3 bring some additional attention to -- to any effort.
 - 4 And, obviously, as we discussed what we were currently
 - 5 doing out there to identify any individuals that
 - 6 potentially were were suffering from heat-related
 - illness, I felt, and Doctor Linthicum concurred, that
 - 8 relying on in some cases offenders to self report or
 - 9 in other cases for our staff to identify individuals
 - as they routinely made their rounds was surely
 - something that was in place and had provided some
 - level of identification for many years. We felt that
 - we needed to step up that effort and put an extra
 - 14 burden on staff out there in order to make sure that
 - 15 if health service staff felt that anybody might be
 - 16 susceptible as we move into the seasonal time of the
 - year, no matter what the temperature was, that we
 - would create a -- a checklist whereby the staff member
 - would make face-to-face contact with the individual to
 - check on their well-being throughout the day, so that
 - 21 if they noticed any signs of distress or any initial
 - 22 signs of a potential heat-related illness so that
 - health service staff could be notified immediately and
 - appropriate care could be given.
 - 25 Q. Okay. That sounds like it's an

126

- 1 about these deaths and how important it was to add 1 accommodation or a benefit that the department, TDCJ,
- 2 additional training and instruction?
- A. Well, again, generally, during any formal
- 4 meeting we would have had, I surely would talk
- 5 directly with Mr. Eason. Whether I spoke with
- 6 Mr. Eason or Mr. Stephens spoke with Mr. Eason, I
- cannot tell you for sure.
- Q. Is heat illness or heat stroke a specific
- 9 type of problem type or -- and if that's not the right
- 10 word, tell me -- that's delineated by the report?
- 11 Like is there assault, heat stroke, and stuff like
- 12 that? Is it separated out to make it easier for you
- 13 guys to spot patterns?
- 14 A. It -- I'm trying to remember. I believe
- 15 it's broken out by staff and offender, but I'm not
- 16 sure whether -- whether specific details on the
- 17 summary report of the EAC, how they break that out as
- 18 it relates to heat-related issues.
- Q. I believe you told me that -- that after the 19
- 20 deaths occurred in 2011, that you implemented a
- 21 wellness check policy or a heat list. Did I -- am I
- 22 recalling that accurately?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that kind of a change that you guys made 24 25 specifically because of the high number of deaths in

- - 2 is now providing to people who with I don't know,
 - 3 heat vulnerable propensities. Is that fair?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. And those I want to go through
 - 6 that list of kind of people with heat vulnerable
- propensities or disabilities. And what I mean by that
- is, it affects the way they live and function in the
- environment. We're talking about depression. That's
- one of them. Right?
 - A. Well --

11

- 12 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Compound.
- 13 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Is it one of them?
- A. Well, again, what -- what we rely on is the
- expertise of the health service professionals. So
- when we talk about the totality of individuals that
- are included in that list, I would surely, through
- general conversations with health service staff, say
- that several of those groups that we talked about
- earlier, particularly those that were on psychotropic
- medications, potentially, those that had hypertension
- 22 issues, would surely be on that list.
- 23 Q. What about diabetics?
- A. I would again make the assumption that most 25 diabetics, because their medical condition would be on

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (800) 375-4363 (512) 474-4363 c4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

33 (Pages 129-132)

131

132

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

129

- 1 there, but again, health service professionals would2 be the experts.
- 3 Q. And the health service professionals that
- 4 you talk about, that is -- is medical providers at the
- 5 University of Texas Medical Branch, or the Health
- 6 Services Division of TDCJ?
- 7 A. In this case, it would be the individual 8 providers at the facility that identify those
- 9 individuals on their facilities.
- 10 Q. Are they employed by UTMB or are they11 employed by TDCJ?
- 12 A. To my knowledge, they're -- would be 13 employed by UTMB.
- 14 Q. Okay. So this licensed vocational nurse who
- 15 might look at somebody when they get off the bus into
- 16 the system and do that initial, is there an immediate
- 17 need, that would be a UTMB person. Right?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. Okay. And TDCJ is relying on UTMB to assess
- 20 their heat-sensitive vulnerabilities. Right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. So we went through psychotropic --
- 23 people on psychotropic drugs, people with
- 24 hypertension, diabetics. What about mentally ill
- 25 persons or people with depression that have to take

- Q. Okay. And was that developed in
- 2 coordination with UTMB or was that just developed by
- 3 TDCJ out the concern for its inmate population?
- A. Well, I work with --
- Q. If you know?
- 6 A. I work with Doctor Linthicum, so her
- 7 interaction with our health care providers, I could
- not speak to the degree that -- that they worked
- 9 together on it. But it was something that
- 10 Doctor Linthicum and -- and I myself discussed.
- 11 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Is Doctor Linthicum -- and
- 12 I apologize, I probably should know this -- is she
- 13 employed by UTMB or is she employed by TDCJ?
- 14 A. She is employed by TDCJ as the health15 service director.
- Q. Okay. So other than Doctor Linthicum, did
- 17 you discuss this with anyone at UTMB?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Okay. All right. Now, when that initial --
- 20 I don't know if you call it assessment, or kind of
- 21 when they come in, assessing immediate needs, if the
- 22 UTMB person doing that were to say, this person needs
- 23 to be housed in an air conditioned environment, would
- 24 TDCJ follow that recommendation?
- 25 A. Yes.

130

- 1 medications where the heat affects them?
- 2 A. Again, I would say that list would be
- 3 inclusive of those that take medications that would
- 4 increase their propensity to heat-related illness.
- 5 But, again, I'm not the subject matter expert in
- 6 there, so I don't want to tell you anything for
- 7 definite that I'm not sure of.
- Q. Okay. What about obesity, is that somethingthat TDCJ teaches people, that people who are obese
- 10 are vulnerable to extreme heat as well?
- 11 A. Again, surely they can be. At what level 12 that individual is placed on that list, again, I'm --
- 13 I cannot tell you.
- 14 Q. All right. But after the summer of 2011,
- 15 the policy changed at TDCJ to rely on UTMB to identify
- 16 these people who were vulnerable to extreme heat and
- 17 actually place them on a list where your officers
- 18 would conduct wellness checks on them throughout the 19 day?
- 20 A. Right. Prior to 2011, we've always relied
- 21 on UTMB to ensure that the individuals could be 22 appropriately housed on any of our facilities. But
- 23 subsequent to the incidents in 2011, that additional
- 24 measure of development of that checklist was
- 25 developed.

- Q. That's like a hundred times out of a
- 2 hundred. You're not providing medical care, they are.
- 3 Right?
- 4 A. Well, again, any medical restrictions that
- 5 were placed on an offender, we surely -- medical
- 6 surely has the autonomy to make those decisions and we
- 7 would have to abide by them.
- 8 Q. Okay. Now, in a situation where UTMB said,
- 9 look, this person needs to be housed in an air
- 10 conditioned environment, would you be able to say,
- 11 whoa, huh-uh, that's too expensive. Or would you have
- 12 to follow their recommendation?
- 13 A. Again, if that were to happen today, then
- 14 the normal process would be that UTMB would notify the
- 15 unit administrator, the unit classification process.
- 16 We would have to find appropriate housing for that
- 17 offender. If it was potentially possible to put that
- 18 individual in a -- in a portion of the facility that
- 19 had controlled air on that particular facility, at
- 20 least temporarily, that would be the first action.
- 21 And then, subsequent to that,
- 22 classification would find an appropriate facility that
- 23 had conditioned air and that transfer would be
- 24 initiated for that individual.
 - Q. Okay. And there are, in fact, facilities

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

25

34 (Pages 133-136)

135

136

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

133

1 that do have conditioned air?

- A. There are some.
- Q. Okay. Were you familiar with the Blackmon
- 4 lawsuit during your time at TDCJ?
- A. I want to say that might have occurred as I
- 6 was -- I didn't have any involvement in it. And just
- 7 my recollection is that that is something that might
- 8 have occurred when I was in my other role in the
- 9 Manufacturing and Logistics Division, I'm not sure.
- 10 Q. Okay. When did you -- I mean, have you been
- 11 made aware of deaths at the Byrd Unit due to heat?
- A. Again ---12
- 13 Q. In 2007?
- A. Through discovery of this lawsuit, yes, sir. 14
- Q. Do you know how long Director Livingston has 15
- 16 been in his position?
- 17 A. The current executive director's position, I
- 18 want to say that -- maybe eight or nine years. But,
- again, I'm not sure on that date, so ... 19
- Q. Okay. Is he made aware of inmate deaths at 20
- 21 facilities?
- MR. GARCIA: Objection. Asked and 22
- 23 answered.
- 24 A. Again, in some cases there would be some
- 25 discussion, but not in every case on every death, no,

- 1 place through Doctor Linthicum. And -- although there
- 2 are occasional times when we would meet, those are
- 3 very seldom directly. Doctor Linthicum manages that
- part of the process.
- Q. If you were to talk with people at UTMB, do
- you recall who it would be? Would it be Doctor
- Murray, would it be someone else?
 - A. Again, over my tenure with -- with the
- department, and particularly in my Correctional
- 10 Institutions Division director's job, there were
- 11 subject matter that related to a hospital in Galveston
- 12 where Doctor Murray might have been present. But --
- 13 but routinely, again, unit-based issues in my case
- 14 were mostly taken directly to Doctor Linthicum for
- discussion with our contracting partners.
- Q. Okay. Now, you've told me that you had been 17 in the Hutchins Unit in the summer. I'm going to ask
- you questions about other units. Okay?
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. Have you been in the Gurney Unit in the
- 21 summer during your tenure from '09 to 2012 -- or 2013?
- 22 A. I've been on Gurney Unit, but I don't
- 23 know -- I can't remember what time of the year that
- 24 was.
- 25 Q. What about the Hodge Unit?

1 sir.

- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Have you had discussions
- 3 with Doctor Linthicum or anyone at UTMB about the
- 4 problem of acclimation from air conditioned county
- 5 facilities to transfer facilities that aren't air
- 6 conditioned?
- MS. COOGAN: Objection.
- 8 Doctor Linthicum, as he testified, works for TDCJ, so
- your question is vague and misleading because you
- 10 represented you said, have you had discussions with
- 11 Linthicum or anybody else that works at UTMB.
- 12 MR. EDWARDS: Well, we'll break it up.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Have you had any 13
- 14 conversations with Doctor Linthicum about acclamation
- 15 and the problems that ensue from coming from air
- 16 conditioned facilities to non-air conditioned
- 17 facilities?
- A. Again, I can't remember any specific 18
- 19 conversations about acclimation. We have had repeated
- 20 conversations about individuals in-taking into our
- 21 system and appropriate care for those offenders.
- Q. Okay. Same question as to anybody from 22
- 23 UTMB?
- 24 A. No, sir, I -- most communications, direct
- 25 communications with UTMB at the leadership level take

- A. No. sir.
- Q. What about the Garza West Unit?
- A. I have been on Garza East and West, but,
- 4 again, I have been down -- I've been down there a
- 5 couple of times. I want to say one time might have
- 6 been during the summer or spring, the other time I
- 7 believe was in the fall.
- Q. What about the Michael Unit?
- A. No. sir.
- Q. What about the Huntsville Unit? 10
- 11 A. Yes. sir.
- Q. Okay. Do you know why the Walker Sayle Unit 12
- 13 is air conditioned?
- 14 A. No, sir, I do not.
- 15 Q. Do you know why Skyview or Montford are air
- 16 conditioned?
- A. Skyview and Montford are inpatient mental
- 18 health facilities, and those are conditioned air.
- 19 Q. Did UTMB or -- did UTMB ever tell you that
- 20 these facilities should have air conditioning?
- 21 A. Again, when --
- 22 Q. Or does it predate you?
- 23 A. Yeah. Again, when those facilities were
- 24 constructed, to my knowledge, surely at Montford --
- 25 Skyview is somewhat older -- they were constructed for

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

APPENDIX153

35 (Pages 137-140)

139

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

137

- 1 that purpose, I believe, and similar to Jester IV.
- 2 have conditioned air.
- Q. Okay. Do you know when Montford or Skyview
- 4 were constructed?
- A. I can't -- I cannot speak to Skyview. I
- 6 want to say the Montford facility would have been in
- the mid '90s. 7
- 8 Q. Mid '90s? Okay.
- 9 (Deposition Exhibit No. 51 marked.)
- 10 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Sir, I'm going to hand you
- 11 the inclement weather policy. Could you confirm that
- that is, in fact, the inclement weather policy for
- 13 TDCJ?
- 14 MR. EDWARDS: Demetri, there you go. 15
 - MR. ANASTASIDIS: Thank you.
- 16 A. This is security memorandum 6.07.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And is the subject line 17
- 18 Inclement Weather?

1 recreation and outdoor labor?

9 opposed to an agency policy?

- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 Q. And does inclement weather, according to
- this policy, include temperatures, hot or cold, that 21
- may pose safety, health, or security risks?
- 23

4 signature on it?

22 to all divisions.

2

3

10

23

24 Q. Why does this -- well, I guess, would you

Q. Do you know why this policy has your

Q. Help me - explain that to me in a little

8 more -- what does that mean, a divisional policy as

11 it's a policy that affects multiple divisions and is

13 in an administrative directive form. It is a policy

15 in some cases to the division or activities within

19 deal with security matters within the Correctional

20 Institutions Division specifically, so it wouldn't --

Q. Okay. Is there any -- it looks like a

24 determination whether or not the conditions are hot

25 and pose a danger would be made by the warden. Do I

16 that division, then that might be signed by the

17 division director. In this case, a security

A. Meaning again, as I explained earlier, if

12 sent out by the executive leadership, then it would be

14 that in some cases supplements agency policy, specific

18 memorandum is generated and in most cases issued to

21 would not be the policy that would go across the board

A. Because it is a divisional inclement weather

policy as opposed to agency inclement weather policy.

25 agree with me that this policy only addresses outdoor

- 1 have that -- is that accurate?
- A. As it relates to a continuation of
- 3 recreation, the warden would have the final call, yes,
- 4

9

- 5 Q. And if he decides that there is inclement
- 6 weather, hot or cold conditions that pose a danger,
- according to this, he is supposed to bring the outdoor
- people -- outdoor labor, recreation inside. Correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Did anyone have to approve this besides 10
- 11 yourself before it became final?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- 13 Q. Okay. At least -- or I guess I'll ask, for
- 14 you and for TDCJ, do you agree that the National
- 15 Weather Service is a reliable source of information
- 16 about weather?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Okay. And is there any more specific
- 19 guidance as to at what temperature this policy should
- be implemented by a warden? 90 degrees, 95 degrees,
- 21 97 degrees, 101 degrees?
- 22 A. There is no specific temperature that I see.
- 23 Q. Do you provide any guidance to the wardens
- 24 as to when they should do this?
- 25 A. No, sir. Again, as a warden, when I made

138

- 1 this determination, I made it in conjunction with my
 - 2 administrative review and risk manager officer on the
 - 3 facility and my Health Services Division.
 - Q. Who would have been your risk management
 - 5 person? Would that have been Sharon Howell?
 - A. No. I'm talking about other -- the old term
 - would have been the fire and safety officer, the risk
 - management officer on the facility. 8
 - 9 Q. Okay.
 - 10 A. So each facility has a fire and safety
 - 11 officer, or a risk management officer on their
 - 12 facility that assists them in safety and
 - 13 training-related issues, in fire and safety issues on
 - 14 a facility.

17

- 15 Q. You may not know this, but would that be
- 16 Mr. Story as to the Hutchins Unit?
 - A. Yes. I believe it is Mr. Story, yes.
- Q. So would Mr. Story and Mr. Pringle get
- 19 together and decide, look, it's -- these conditions
- are getting pretty hot, we need to bring people in?
- A. Again, I can't dictate exactly how 21
- 22 Warden Pringle made this determination as his case.
- 23 But as a warden, that's the individuals that I
- 24 involved when I made that decision.
- 25 Q. Okay. Are there any checks to see if

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

36 (Pages 141-144)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

141

- 1 wardens are, in fact, following this inclement weather 2 security memorandum?
- A. Just, again, besides visual checks by the
- 4 regional director, if the warden is not following in 5 the recommendations, could be notified, the regional
- 6 director. If there is an offender complaint filed.
- particularly at the second level, those complaints
- 8 would go to the regional director for addressing. So
- 9 other than that, as far as a team going out and
- 10 checking to make sure he is complying with this, I
- 11 don't know of any formal check process.
- Q. Fair enough. Basically what I'm trying to 12
- 13 figure out is, if the temperature is 100 degrees ten
- 14 days in a row, do they -- is there any document that
- 15 gets sent from the warden up where you could say,
- 16 whoa, that seven days in a row, guys, we need to
- 17 make sure that you're bringing people in from the rec
- 18 yard or from the -- anything formal like that?
- A. I don't know of any formal document in that 19 20 case that would be forwarded up the chain, no, sir.
- Q. Okay. All right. Your understanding as one 21
- 22 of the policy makers well, is it fair that you're
- 23 one of the policy makers for the Texas Department of
- 24 Criminal Justice, at least in your role as director of
- 25 the criminal institution division?

1 final decision, no, sir.

- Q. We would have to ask him that? You can't
- 3 help us in with regard?
- A. Well, again, no, sir. I don't know directly
- 5 who makes the final call.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have any suspicions as to who
- 7 makes the final call?
 - A. Again, I don't I don't know directly -
- Q. I understand you don't know and this is a
- 10 very difficult question and they can object,
- speculation. I totally I'm just trying to help
- 12 me out a little bit. Do you have any idea?
- A. There would surely be some coordination
- 14 between Doctor Linthicum and the health service
- 15 providers who -- who determine the resources needed
- 16 for appropriate health care on our facilities. That
- surely would be discussed with executive
- administration, the same as I would discuss any issues
- 19 with executive administration. But as far as who made
- 20 the final determination and the decision and who is
- 21 informed of it, I cannot speak to that. I'm not -- I
- 22 don't have -- I'm not an expert on that subject
- 23 matter.
- 24 Q. All right. If you don't know, you don't
- 25 know.

142

A. There are some policies that I surely play a 2 role in developing, yes, sir.

- Q. Based on your experience, at least at the
- 4 facilities that UTMB manages health care, does TDCJ
- 5 and UTMB work together to make sure that prisoners get
- 6 access to health care? At least, is that the way it's
- 7 supposed to work?
- A. Yes, sir. I would say that the two entities
- 9 work together to ensure access to health care, yes, 10 sir.
- 11
- Q. Okay. Does TDCJ rely on UTMB to make sure 12 that prisoners are safe and healthy?
- MS. COOGAN: Objection. Vague. 13
- A. Again, we surely rely on that contract 14 entity to provide those services to our offender 15
- population, yes, sir. 16
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Decisions relating 17
- 18 to the time that UTMB is on a facility. For instance,
- 19 whether there is eight hours of UTMB care or 24-hour
- 20 care, who makes that call? Let's start, do you make
- 21 that decision?
- A. No. sir. 22
- Q. Okay. Do you know if Director Livingston is 23
- 24 involved in that decision?
 - A. I cannot directly tell you who makes the

- A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if there was ever 24-hour
- 3 care, medical care at the Hutchins Unit?
- A. Again, my first direct interactions with
- 5 Hutchins Unit was when I came into the directorship in
- 6 2009. I do not believe there was ever 24-hour care
- there. But, again, I'm not the expert --
- Q. We'll ask somebody else.
- A. -- don't take my word for it.
- Q. Okay. What about at Gurney, do you know if 10
- 11 there was 24-hour care at Gurney?
- 12 A. No, sir. I do not whether that --
- 13 Q. And then the period I'm asking you about,
- 14 let's say, is '09 to 2012.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. Or 2013, excuse me. You don't know?
- A. As far as I remember, I do not remember
- 18 24-hour care at those two facilities during my
- 19 tenure --
- Q. Okay. And I suspect I know the answer, so I
- 21 apologize in advance for asking this, but let's go
- 22 through the list. What about Garza West?
- 23 A. Again, I do not know.
- 24 Q. Michael Unit?
 - A. And is this during --

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

143

37 (Pages 145-148)

147

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

145

- Q. From '09 to 2013. 1
- 2 A. '09 through 2013, again, Michael Unit has 3 24-hour care.
 - Q. Okay. What about Huntsville?
- A. Huntsville -- I believe it does, but I'm not
- 6 sure. Because we have a transit population there, I believe it does.
- Q. And all those units that I mentioned, UTMB
- assists in the provision of medical care except for
- 10 Hodge? Oh, I'm -- strike that.
- 11 A. All of them, yes.
- 12 Q. I may not have asked you this and -- does
- 13 Hodge have 24-hour care?
- A. Again, we're co-located up there, Hodge and
- 15 Skyview, so I'm not sure on the number of hours of
- 16 care. I could have told you that several months ago,
- 17 but I'm not sure right now.
- Q. All right. Well, we'll ask 18
- 19 Director Stephens.
- 20 Fair to say that not having 24-hour
- 21 medical care at a facility denies -- well, strike
- 22 that,
- Fair to say that not having 24-hour 23
- medical care would delay a prisoner's access to care? 24
- 25 MS. COOGAN: Objection. Calls for

- 1 are authorized to diagnose illness?
- A. No, sir, I do not.
- Q. Okay. Now, if a prisoner at the
- 4 Hutchins Unit needs medical care after hours, is it
- true that the only way to get it there is to call 911?
- A. The only way to get a physical individual 7 there to provide the care?
 - Q. Yes.

6

8

- A. Again, transportation -- well, depending on
- 10 the circumstances. Again, as we mentioned before, in
- 11 some cases, a -- a minor medical issue that requires
- 12 them to be seen from a -- a health service provider
- 13 could require transport -- transportation by security
- 14 staff. All emergency medical treatment of individuals
- 15 would be by 911 from the local community responding.
- Q. Okay. So the only way to get actual medical
- 17 care in the event of an emergency would be to call
- 18 911. Right?
- 19 MS. COOGAN: Objection. Misstates his
- 20 testimony.
- 21 MR. EDWARDS: No, it doesn't, but --
- 22 MS. COOGAN: Well, then it's
- 23
- 24 A. Again, there is some access to medical care 25 through the telemedicine system that we talked about.

146

- 1 speculation.
- 2 A. Again, I don't know what you would consider
- 3 delay. There are mechanisms put in place for
- 4 offenders to access care even during the hours after
- 5 medical staff is not on -- on the facility. How long
- 6 that takes to walk the individual to the infirmary, to
- 7 call the medical personnel that's on call, if you're 8 considering that a delay, I don't know how many extra
- 9 minutes you add there. But, again, there is not
- 10 direct access after hours, if that's what you're
- 11
- 12 Q. Okay. Well, let's walk through that. We've
- 13 got places with 24-hour medical care on-site. Right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Okay. That -- then you could get the inmate
- 16 to the medical provider quickly. Correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. If you don't have that and you have 18
- 19 to do some sort of telemedicine accommodation, it's
- 20 going to delay things, who knows exactly how much, but
- 21 it's not going to get the -- a medical provider there
- 22 as quickly. Is that fair?

25

- 23 A. Again, there surely could be a minimal delay
- 24 in accessing that care, yes, sir.
 - Q. Do you know if licensed vocational nurses

- 1 In minor situations that surely is an option. But for
- 2 emergent medical care, 911 would be the appropriate
- 3 mechanism.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Well, it's the only
- 5 mechanism, right, to get actual emergent care to the
- 6 facility. Right?
- 7 A. Emergent care, yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Yeah. Okay. I mean, an officer can't make
- 9 a diagnosis. Right?
 - A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. Now, were you ever aware of a practice at
- 12 the Hutchins Unit of not contacting 911 in the event
- 13 of medical emergencies and instead contacting
- 14 supervisors?

10

- 15 A. No, sir, I was not.
- Q. Would that be a dangerous practice to have 16
- 17 at any prison facility, if it existed?
- 18 A. When you say, not contacting emergency
- medical care, contact the supervisor, can you specify? 19
- 20 Q. Sure. Let's say somebody is having a
- 21 seizure right there.
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. And is convulsing and is nonresponsive and
- 24 unable to communicate with you.
- 25 A. Right.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

38 (Pages 149-152)

151

152

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

149

- Q. You'd agree, that's an emergency situation
- 2 you've got to deal with. Right?
- A. Seizure is a serious medical situation.
 - Q. Of course. Should they, A, call their
- 5 supervisor and wait ten to 15 minutes for them to come
- 6 down and evaluate the situation; or, B, institute an
- 7 emergency call to 911?
- A. Well, again, when you say, was I aware of a
- 9 practice, I guess I will answer it this way. In any
- 10 emergent situation in our institutions, supervisory
- 11 staff should always be available to immediately
- 12 respond to the area. And when I say immediately
- 13 respond, with the supervisory coverage we have on our
- 14 facilities, that should --
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me. 15
- 16 Somebody's phone is ringing.
- A. With the supervisory staffing that we have 17
- 18 in our facility, that should occur in an emergency
- 19 situation, in -- immediately. Okay? As it relates to
- 20 the staff member making the 911 call, there is no
- 21 staff member inside the facility that has the
- 22 capabilities of -- of accessing 911. As you're
- 23 probably aware, they have in some cases they have
- 24 telephone access, in some cases they will be equipped
- 25 with a radio where they could immediately contact an

- 1 that from occurring, no, sir.
 - Q. If there was a -- not a policy, but just a
- 3 practice, a way of doing things of just waiting until
- 4 your supervisor shows up before initiating the 911
- 5 process, would you agree with me that that could delay
- 6 potentially lifesaving care?
- A. Again, in an emergency situation, I would
- 8 hope the supervisor would respond immediately. But,
- 9 indeed, if that was not happening, then -- then surely
- that would be a situation of concern.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of the time delay in 11
- 12 this particular case between recognizing Mr. McCollum
- having seizures and being nonresponsive and contacting
- 15 A. I'm aware of the time, by reviewing the
- 16 report and discussing it with others, yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. It's an hour delay. Right?
- 18 A. Just short of an hour, I believe.
- 19 50-something minutes, yes, sir.
- 20 Q. Somewhere between 50 minutes and a little
- 21 more than an hour, somewhere like that. Right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You would agree that's off the charts 23
- unacceptable in this situation. Right?
 - A. Again, in -- in reviewing the facts as they

22

25

- 1 entity and say, I need the supervisor, or I need
- 2 emergency care. But that individual themselves would
- 3 have no capabilities of dialing 911.
- Q. Sure. Let's take my example. Okay. A
- 5 person, seizure, nonresponsive. We've agreed he needs
- 6 immediate medical care. Right?
- A. Right.
- Q. Okay. In that situation, a correctional
- 9 officer is there, should they initiate the 911
- 10 process, or contact their supervisor, wait ten,
- 11 15 minutes for the supervisor to come and not initiate
- 12 the 911 process?
- A. And, again, in the explanation that I gave 13
- 14 you, their first contact would be their supervisor as
- 15 they initiate our emergency incident response process.
- 16 Okay? That supervisor should immediately go to there.
- 17 If for some reason there is delay, that correctional
- 18 officer surely has the opportunity to inform that
- 19 supervisor by radio, we need 911, this is what I have,
- 20 and that process could be initiated.
- Q. Okay. So nothing prevents, at least to your 21
- 22 knowledge, a correctional officer from initiating a
- 23 911 process if people -- if there is a delay in 24 getting people to help him?
- 25 A. There is no policy out there that prohibits

- 1 were there, again, the encouragement and the direction
- 2 to the field is, when in doubt, seek -- seek treatment
- 3 for for the offender.
- Q. Sure.
- A. So in retrospect, not knowing all that the
- 6 staff was dealing with there, I would surely say that
- 7 it would have been appropriate to initiate that 911
- call earlier, yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Inappropriate to not initiate that
- 10 911 call sooner. Right?
- A. Well, again, looking at the circumstances as
- 12 I can see them now, surely would have initiated it
- 13 earlier, yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Okay. That happened in July of 2011, I
- 15 think July 22nd, 2011. Is that your understanding as
- 16 well?
- 17 A. I believe that was the date of the
- 18 occurrence, yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Okay. And you were notified about - and
- 20 you received an EAC report and there was an
- 21 administrative review in which all of this situation
- 22 was discussed and described. Right?
- 23 A. Again, I don't know exactly what documents I
- 24 reviewed. At some point I would have been notified of
- 25 that, but I cannot recall exactly when that

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

39 (Pages 153-156)

155

156

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

153 1 notification took place. 1 instructions given, the same decisions could be made. 2 Q. Do you know if these officers have been 2 yes, sir. 3 disciplined for the delay in contacting 911? Q. This -- after this e-mail is sent out, I A. I do not know whether they have been 4 believe there has been previous testimony that --5 disciplined, no, sir. well, strike that, 6 Q. Should they be? 6 Are you responsible for sending out 7 A. Well, again, it's a determination that is 7 Exhibit 50 or is somebody else responsible for it? 8 made through the review process of the warden and the 8 And I'm talking about that '09 to before you retired 9 regional director, and in some cases as they review 9 time period. 10 the administrative review, looking at the officers' 10 A. Yeah. In most cases that would come from my 11 actions afterward, they surely -- surely can criticize 11 office. In some cases it might have been disseminated 12 the fact that there was a delay in the call. I don't 12 from Mr. Stephens' office. 13 know that -- where any level of responsibility lies in 13 Q. Okay. There has been testimony in this case 14 that entire process, but there is officers out there 14 that a circular, a risk management circular was read 15 that, as you know, make difficult decisions every day 15 aloud to officers about recognizing the signs and 16 dealing with the offender population, and as to 16 symptoms of heat stroke and heat exhaustion. Were you 17 whether or not formal disciplinary should have been 17 aware that that was going on in -- at the 18 taken, at what level, again --Hutchins facility? Q. Well, let's change the question. Should 19 19 A. Not -- not directly aware, but heat 20 formal retraining have been done? 20 preparedness training, as I mentioned before, is A. I surely believe that retraining, not only 21 21 required to be conducted on each facility, in addition 22 to the training that the correctional staff receive as

22 for that particular - those particular staff members,

23 but for staff on that facility to ensure that the

24 correct message was out there to the staff.

25 Q. You don't want this to happen again. Right?

1 you started your job as director of Correctional

2 Institutions Division. Right?

3 those officers in and said, look, guys, in all 3 4 circumstances initiate 911 when someone is seizing and

154

24

25

training.

5 nonresponsive. Right? Whether he disciplined them or 5 heat deaths in 2011, was it?

6 not, he should have had that conversation. Right? 7

Q. I mean, Warden Pringle ought to have had

MR. GARCIA: Objection. Compound. 8 Speculation.

9 A. Again, as a warden, that's something that 10 surely I would have done.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And if you were that 11 12 warden, of course you would have done that. Fair?

A. Again, as a warden, I surely would have 13 14 reviewed those circumstances with staff involved.

Q. Do you know if Warden Pringle has done that?

A. No, sir, I do not.

A. That's correct.

1

2

15

16

25

17 Q. Would you be critical of Warden Pringle if 18 he had not had those conversations with his officers?

19 A. Again, I would -- I would hope that subject

20 matter relating to those incidents and dealing with

21 those incidents was covered with all staff, to include those officers, by Warden Pringle.

23 Q. Okay. Otherwise, nothing changes and you're 24 destined to repeat your failures. Right?

A. Surely if there is not additional

23 they go through their pre-service and in-service

Q. And this training was going on from the time

A. As far as I know, training -- yes.

Q. It wasn't in response to this epidemic of

6 A. No, sir.

Q. Okay. So you would expect that your 8 officers to be well versed in what the signs and

symptoms of recognizing heat stroke are. Right?

10 A. We surely try to ensure that they are 11 appropriately trained, and an additional step that is 12 taken is, they are provided a card that they carry on

person which would identify those signs for them.

14 Q. Certainly you would expect Warden Pringle to 15 understand what the signs and symptoms of heat stroke 16 are. Right?

17 A. Generally, yes, sir.

18 Q. If he had no idea what they were, would that 19 trouble you?

A. Well, again, I would think he would have 20 21 some general idea, but -- because --

22 Q. You certainly would hope that he would have

23 a general idea. Right? 24

A. Sure. 25

Q. Okay. I agree. If he didn't, would that

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

APPENDIX158

40 (Pages 157-160)

159

160

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

157

1 trouble you, since he is running a prison with

2 extremely hot temperatures inside?

A. Again, it would surely be a training

opportunity forward, yes, sir.

Q. That's a bit of an understatement. Correct?

6 A. It's a statement, yes, sir.

Q. Okay. It's -- fair enough.

3 Who is responsible for making sure that

9 correctional officers receive this training at the

10 individual facilities? We'll start with Hutchins?

11 A. Again, the administrator on the facility is 12 ultimately responsible for ensuring that the training

13 is conducted. And he would utilize his department

14 heads and his security supervisors to provide that

15 training in conjunction, in many cases, with health

16 service staff.

7

17

Q. There has been testimony that this circular,

18 I think it's like three or four pages, was read

19 aloud -- you may have noticed this in one of the

20 depositions -- was simply read aloud to the officers.

21 Do you think that that is effective training?

22 A. Well, again, there are different kind of

23 training techniques. Getting up in front of a -- an

24 audience and with the information dissemination surely

25 is one way. I would hope that we supplement that with

.

These wellness checks that you

2 instituted after the summer of 2011, what is an --

3 what is your understanding of what an officer is

4 supposed to look for?

A. Again, that was just an additional step that

6 was put in place as -- as, in general, through the

7 seasonal period as they made their rounds, the process

8 that was in place prior to the wellness check was,

9 basically, they did a general overview. If indeed10 they happened to be making their rounds and saw

11 somebody in distress or somebody symptomatic of

12 heat-related illness, then they surely would bring

13 that to the attention of medical staff for appropriate

14 treatment.

15 The contact – the direct contact with

16 these individuals just ensured if an individual was

17 lying on his bunk, if an individual was in distress

18 and might not notice by an idle round through the

19 dorm, that the officer would make some contact with

20 that individual, get some response, and -- and have

21 some opportunity, just as an - an additional stopgap

measure to identify anybody that might be struggling.

Q. Okay, Is that an accommodation that TDCJ

24 has chosen to provide for its population that is

25 vulnerable to heat illness?

158

1 situational and example training. I'm hoping that

2 since that subject matter was covered repeatedly

3 throughout the seasonal months that that wasn't the

4 only opportunity for shift supervisors to discuss

5 heat-related illness issues with their staff and signs6 and symptoms of such.

Q. Okay. This was such a pervasive issue and

8 such a concern of yours as the director of the

9 Correctional Institutions Division that you made sure

10 that there was lots of training and lots of

11 opportunities for people to understand, heat stroke is

12 a problem and you need to be able to recognize it

13 right away?

14

15

A. Again --

Q. True?

16 A. We put that information out there to ensure

17 that we could respond appropriately, yes, sir.

18 Q. And we'll go through some -- the minutes

19 from these regional director meetings. It appears

20 that heat awareness is something that is discussed

21 consistently throughout the course of your tenure. Is

22 that your memory as well?

23 A. Surely during those seasonal months, yes,

24 sir.

25

Q. Okay. Fair enough.

1 A. Again, that's a -- a procedure that we have

2 put in place to assist those individuals that might be

3 vulnerable to heat-related illnesses, and in many

4 cases those contacts are made repeatedly throughout

5 the seasonal period -- or the majority without any --

6 any indication of issue. But, again, it's an extra

7 opportunity for us to ensure that we're making some

8 communication with the population, giving them an

9 opportunity to indicate to us should they be

0 struggling or having any symptoms.

11 Q. Sure. Anything prevent you from putting

12 that into place in the -- in 2010?

13 A. No, sir.

14 Q. Okay. Why didn't you put it into place in

15 2010?

16 A. Again, as we evaluated the incidents that

17 occurred in 2011, this is a -- an additional step that

18 we're taking to, hopefully, ensure that we're bringing

19 extra awareness to staff's attention out there, making

20 sure that as they make their rounds, although, again,

21 it's repeatedly discussed in shift briefings, that

22 that is constantly on their mind as they make their

23 rounds. As we went through 2010, there was no

24 indication to me or others that our identification

25 process of these illnesses wasn't sufficient to

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

APPENDIX159

161

41 (Pages 161-164)

163

164

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

- 1 address the issue.
- Q. There was no identification process, though,
- 3 was there, sir?
- 4 A. When I say identification process, I'm
- 5 referring to the ability to identify somebody that was
- 6 in distress, not identifying those that might be
- 7 susceptible to heat-related illness. Okay? There was
- 8 no information besides maybe work restrictions on a
- 9 work roster that would allow a dorm officer to know10 who had any of those illnesses. So our attempt, after
- who had any of those innesses. So our attempt, an
- 11 2011, was to, again, use the resources that I had
- 12 immediately available to me, which is our staff that
- 13 patrols those areas, to work with Doctor Linthicum to
- 14 ensure that we put forth that extra effort and made
- 15 contact with these individuals as they made their
- 16 security rounds.
- 17 Q. Okay. And while I guess you hadn't suffered
- 18 through ten deaths in one summer, you had had people
- 19 die from heat stroke in your custody due to -- with
- 20 heat-sensitive vulnerabilities. Correct?
- 21 A. Well, again, I -- I don't -- I'm not purview
- 22 to that information. Surely there are individuals in
- 23 our system that -- that die every year for a multitude
- 24 of health reasons. But, again, those -- to my
- 25 knowledge, those deaths were not related to this

- MR. GARCIA: Objection. Asked and
- 2 answered.
- 3 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Right?
 - A. Again, the -
- 5 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Asked and
- 6 answered.

4

- 7 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Right? Of course.
- A. Again, the --
- Q. Was that, yes?
- 10 A. No. I said, again, surely was aware that
- 11 through health service direction they had identified
- 12 certain illnesses that were more susceptible to
- 13 heat-related illnesses, yes, sir.
- 14 Q. Okay. Do you recall any -- any discussion
- 15 about speeding up the process of getting prisoners on
- 16 this wellness checklist during the summer of 2011?
- 17 A. Again, discussions concerning the wellness
- 18 checklists took place, the best of my recollection --
- 19 and, again, I could be off -- would have been towards
- 20 the end of 2000 -- the summer of 2011. And most of
- 21 those discussions and surely the decision to implement
- 22 that checklist was implemented as we rolled into the
- 23 seasonal period in 2012.
- Q. Just so I'm clear. Is that decision made toput someone on that heat checklist made by the initial

162

- particular issue.
- Q. Okay. Well, do you know the circumstances
- 3 between the death of James Shriver at the Byrd Unit,
- 4 on August 8th, 2007?
 - A. No, sir, I do not.
- Q. Do you know the circumstances of Dioncio
- 7 Robles's death at the Byrd Unit on August 13th, 2007?
- 8 A. No, I do not.
- 9 Q. Okay. You haven't -- well, so you don't
- 10 know whether or not those are heat-related
- 11 hyperthermia deaths?
- 12 A. Well, again, from the discovery there, I --
- 13 | see --
- 14 Q. Prior to today, you didn't know that?
- 15 A. Well, when I reviewed that document.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 **A. So...**
- 18 Q. But when you say you didn't have access,
- 19 certainly, as the director of the criminal institution
- 20 division, certainly you had the ability to find out,
- 21 didn't you?
- 22 A. Sure. Sure.
- Q. Okay. And certainly you were aware of the
- 24 dangers that heat posed to people with particular
- 25 illnesses or disabilities. Right?

- 1 UTMB nurse or licensed vocational nurse seeing the
- 2 inmate the first time, or by the person who does the
- 3 intake physical, whenever that happens, three, four,
- 4 seven, ten days later?
- A. Again, as I discussed with Doctor Linthicum,
- 6 anybody that the health service wants to place on that
- 7 list, can be placed on that list. So it was my intent
- 8 that anybody on the facility that Health Services
- 9 Division determined might be susceptible to
- 10 heat-related illness would be on that list.
- 11 Q. Immediately upon entry into the facility or
- 12 after they get their intake physical?
- 13 A. Well, again, if there were individuals that
- 14 need to be placed on that list because of the triage
- 15 initially into the facility, then -- then surely there
- 16 was nothing that I know that prohibited health
- 17 services from putting them on that list for -- to be
- 18 checked on.
- 19 Q. Do you know if the LVNs were instructed 20 about this?
- 21 A. I can't --
- 22 Q. Putting people on the list right away?
- 23 A. I cannot speak to that.
- Q. Do you know if Rodney Adams was put on thislist right away?

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

42 (Pages 165-168)

167

168

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al. Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

165

- A. I cannot speak to that.
- Q. Do you know if Albert Hinojosa was put on this list?
- A. No, sir, I do not know.
- 5 Q. Would you acknowledge that there would be a
- 6 problem if you didn't put people with heat-sensitive
- 7 disabilities or vulnerabilities on a heat list right
- 8 away, and waited the three, four, or seven days before
- 9 they get an intake physical?
 - MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.
- 11 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) From a correctional
- 12 standpoint?

10

- 13 A. Well, again, we would be relying on the
- 14 system that was in place prior to the implementation
- 15 of the wellness checklist, and that would be relying
- 16 on the correctional staff working that area to
- 17 identify an offender that would be -- was in distress
- 18 or having problems. As I mentioned earlier, one of
- 19 the struggles with the developing the wellness
- 20 checklist was to encourage our staff or train our
- 21 staff not to just focus on the wellness checklist,
- 22 because -- just because an individual might not be
- 23 susceptible or have a condition that would make them
- 24 susceptible to heat-related illness, it does not
- 25 prevent them from experiencing a heat-related illness.

- 1 identified, but adding that individual to the list
- 2 would surely allow for additional scrutiny by security
- 3 staff as they make their rounds.
- Q. Better to identify it right away, because
- 5 there is no more protection and the benefit of
- 6 identifying and protecting the inmate actually occurs?
 - A. Well, again, it sure would be -- give us an
 - opportunity to interact with that inmate --
 - Q. Sure.
- 10 A. -- directly each time that we made our11 rounds.
- 12 Q. And you're not saying that you need this
- 3 wellness checklist in order for officers to
- 14 appropriately respond to people who are showing signs
- 15 and symptoms of heat illness. Right? That's the
- whole point of all your training that you do. Right?MR. GARCIA: Objection. Compound.
 - A. Again, the officers are trained to identify
- 19 those illnesses. This wellness checklist was put in
- 20 place just as an additional measure to -- to identify
- 21 those struggling that might have a higher propensity 22 to those illnesses.
 - Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) If Mr. McCollum was, in
- 24 fact, struggling for a period of days, and inmates
- 25 told officers about this, should they have gotten him

166

18

- 1 So, again, what we would have had to rely on, if that
- 2 indeed occurred, was for the staff member to identify
- 3 that individual through their normal rounds, in
- 4 addition to those that they were identifying through
- 5 the wellness checklist.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 MR. EDWARDS: Let me object as
- 8 nonresponsive.
- 9 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And my question is a
- 10 little different, sir. It's -- if there -- if the
- 11 person is not put on a wellness checklist right away
- 12 by that initial nurse, okay, and instead, they're put
- 13 on it later, when they have an intake physical,
- 14 however many days, four, five, six, whatever days
- 15 away, do you see a problem from trying to manage this
- 16 pro -- this situation, do you see a problem that could
- 17 occur?
- 18 A. Sure. And the intent was to place the
- 19 individual on the list so they receive the
- 20 additional -- the additional checks and additional
- 21 scrutiny. Now, again, although we put that wellness
- 22 checklist in place here within the last year, we
- 23 functioned with our correctional staff identifying
 24 those issues for many years prior to that. So, again,
- 25 there would still be a mechanism there for that to be

- 1 to see the medical provider at the facility?
 - 2 A. Surely if any staff member received
 - 3 information that any offender needed medical
 - 4 attention, they should have checked on that offender
 - 5 and referred that individual to -- to the health
 - 6 services department.
 - Q. Okay.
 - MR. EDWARDS: All right. We've got two
 - 9 minutes left on the tape. Let's take a short break
 - 10 and then we'll dive into your director meetings.
- 11 MR. GARCIA: How much more have you
- 12 got?

18

- 13 MR. EDWARDS: The 12 director meetings.
- 14 About an hour, I think.
- 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Let's go off the
- 16 record. We're off the record at 1:10 p.m.
- 17 (LUNCH RECESS)
 - THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
- 19 record. The time is 2:16 p.m.
- 20 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Sir, we've taken a short
- 21 break for lunch. Are you ready to continue?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Okay. We were talking a little bit about
- 24 the wellness checklist that I believe you told me was
- 25 implemented towards the end or at least after the

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

43 (Pages 169-172)

171

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

summer of 2011?

- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Regional Director Eason testified, I
- 4 believe, that that was a policy that you, in fact,
- 5 began. Is that correct? That's how I understood it
- 6 as well.
- A. Again, that was in consultation with
- 8 Doctor Linthicum, but that was surely something that
- 9 began during my tenure, yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Did you discuss that with Executive Director
- 11 Livingston as well?
- 12 A. I'm sure that I briefed him on steps that we
- 13 were taking to address the issues as we moved forward.
- 14 The wellness checklist was one of those items that was 15 outlined.
- 16 Q. Okay. So fair to say that you may have had
- 17 primary responsibility for dealing with the issues in
- 18 the prison, but you would brief Director Livingston
- 19 and -- and coordinate a response?
- 20 A. Surely would brief Mr. Livingston on what
- 21 actions were taking place to address particular
- 22 issues, yes, sir.
- 23 Q. I guess what I'm -- did he let you do
- 24 whatever you wanted with regards to how you ran the
- 25 Correctional Institutions Division or did he -- or

1 I'll ask one more question.

2 A. No, sir, not to my recollection. Not

3 directly.

Q. What about just cooling mechanisms, just

- 5 getting the temperature down to kind of a livable
- 6 level?
 - A. Again, in general, we had discussions about
- 8 actions that were being initiated. I don't recall
- 9 specific conversations about cooling mechanisms in the
- 10 dormitories or in the housing areas.
- 11 Q. Okay. To your knowledge, is anything done
- 12 to make sure that prisoners on the list for wellness.
- 13 checks get to go to an air conditioned part of the
- 14 prison to cool off?
- 15 A. Again, that's a -- a subject that was
- 16 discussed generally that has not been included in the
- 17 e-mail dissemination to this point. But many
- 18 facilities use that as an avenue to provide an area
- 19 for those individuals that showed any signs of
- 20 heat-related illness. In most cases, surely during
- 21 the hours of operation of the infirmary, the infirmary
- 22 would have been the preferred place to take that
- 23 individual so that he could have been evaluated by
- 24 health service staff. And then, again, if it was
- 25 necessary to allow that individual some relief through

170

- 1 would he -- or could he say, you know what, I don't
- 2 think we should do that?
- 3 A. Surely as executive director, he had the 4 ability to instruct me to go a certain direction.
- 5 Q. Okay. Certainly he had the ability to
- 6 implement policies along with you. Correct? A. He has the policy making ability, yes, sir. 7
- Q. Okay. He could have instituted security 8
- 9 memorandums along with you, or had you do that.
- 10 Correct?
- 11 A. Again, he -- those memorandums would have
- 12 been generated out of my office as the division
- 13 director over the Correctional Institutions Division,
- 14 but if -- felt there was a need and that was pointed
- 15 out by Mr. Livingston, it surely would have been
- 16 looked at and addressed.
- Q. Okay. And he certainly could have ordered a 17
- 18 study as to how much air conditioning or cooling a
- 19 unit would cost. Right?
- A. He could have ordered that, yes, sir. 20
- Q. Okay. Did you guys ever discuss whether you 21
- 22 should do that?
- 23 A. A study particularly dealing with air
- conditioning in all of the rest of the facilities? 24
- 25 Q. Let's start with air conditioning, and then

- 1 that method, then the infirmary would have been an
- 2 appropriate place to leave that offender for whatever
- 3 period of time, in a holding area more than likely.
- Q. Okay. As I listen to you talk, it sounds
- 5 like there is no formal instruction that you need to
- 6 get people on this wellness list into areas that are
- 7 air conditioned for at least a couple of hours a day.
- 8 Is that fair?
- A. To this point to my knowledge there is no
- 10 formal instruction about a requirement to get all
- 11 individuals on that list into a specific area in
- 12 any -- during any particular day, yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Now, one consequence of there not being any
- 14 formal instruction would be, does that leave it to the
- discretion of the wardens how to deal with whether or
- 16 not inmates are going to be allowed to go to cooler
- 17 places?
- 18 A. Well, again, besides general instructions
- out to the field that it does allow the
- decision-making process at the warden level as to what
- is appropriate for their particular facility, yes,
- 22 sir. it does.
- 23 Q. Okay. Is there any -- is there any reason
- 24 why let's use the Hutchins Unit -- couldn't use
- 25 some of the spaces that are air conditioned in the

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

APPENDIX162

44 (Pages 173-176)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

173

1 housing areas as -- call them respite areas or to get2 out of the heat?

A. Again, on some facilities there are some

- 4 areas that could be used for respite areas. That 5 would be more difficult on other facilities. Again,
- 6 there is nothing that restricts any warden from
- there is nothing that restricts any warden norm
- 7 utilizing whatever resources they have available.
- 8 On -- on most facilities there are no respite areas
- 9 immediately in the housing area vicinity, but on
- 10 Hutchins, there -- there I believe are -- there are a 11 couple.
- Q. There are some rooms, if I'm remembering myvisit there.
- A. I believe in testimony there was a
 multi-purpose room that was referenced.
- 16 Q. Okay. It certainly would be reasonable to 17 let an inmate have access to that room, in your
- 18 opinion?
- A. That is a -- surely a mechanism by which unit administrations can help, again, mitigate the heat factors during the summer months.
- 22 Q. Certainly that would have been an option
- 23 back in 2011 when Mr. McCollum was at the
- 24 Hutchins Unit, if made known to him. Correct?
- 25 A. Well, yeah. Again, as it relates to respite

- 1 Executive Director.
- Q. And it is my understanding that you wrote
 this letter.
- 4 A. No, sir, I did not.
- Q. Okay. Did Mr. Livingston write this letter,
- 6 then, to the best of your knowledge?
- A. I could not speak to who wrote this letter.
 - Q. Is that his signature?
- A. I would assume it's his signature.
 - Q. Have you ever seen his signature before?
- A. I have, but to be honest with you, I don't
 - recall what it looks like.
- 13 Q. All right. Okay. Well, at least in this
- 14 letter it looks like Mr. Livingston is talking about
- 15 system-wide protocols and what the -- the system is
- 16 doing to protect inmates from the dangers of heat. Is
- 7 that your -- is that a fair reading of this document?
- 18 A. I'm reading over it.

19 That appears to be what is being 20 addressed.

- Q. Since you did not author this, I guess would
- 22 it be better for us to ask questions of Director
- 23 Livingston about this letter?
- A. I don't know who would be better to offer -- 25 to ask the questions of, but I can't tell you who

174

5

- 1 areas, at that time, most of those offenders would
- 2 have been taken to the unit infirmary, but it surely
- 3 could have been available, yes, sir.
- 4 Q. Would you agree that one important part of
- 5 having any sort of, I don't know, respite area would
- 6 be communicating to the inmates that if they're
- 7 feeling symptoms of exhaustion or being overcome by
- 8 heat that they could go there?
- A. Communicating general information as it
 relates to signs and symptoms of heat-related illness,
- 11 in addition to any mechanisms they had to address
- 12 those issues would be appropriate.
- 13 Q. Okay. It is true, though, that the -- the
- 14 ability go to respite areas with air conditioning was
- 15 never communicated to prisoners at the Hutchins Unit
- 16 prior to the end of the summer of 2011. Correct?
- 17 A. I don't have any knowledge as to whether 18 that was or not.
- Q. Okay. Sir, let me show you Exhibit 52.(Deposition Exhibit No. 52 marked.)
- 21 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Would you identify that for
- 22 the jury, please.
- 23 A. This is a communication dated August 16th,
- 24 2011, addressed to the Honorable Sylvester Turner,
- 25 Texas State Representative, signed by Brad Livingston,

- 1 authored this.
- Q. In order for him to have written this, he
- 3 would have had to have gathered this information.
- 4 Would you agree with me?
 - A. lagree.
- Q. And do you know who he would have gathered
- 7 this information from, if not you?
- 8 A. Well, I would -- I would say that part of
- this information relating to processes we had in place
- 10 and procedures that we had in place appear to come
- 11 directly from -- from the communication that we sent
- 12 out annually. I know that there have been occasions
- 13 when I have discussed the issue with Mr. Livingston's
- 14 chief of staff, heat-related issues, should we get any
- 15 inquiry from the legislature. So that very well could
- 16 have occurred that I spoke with Mr. Baldwin concerning
- 17 this subject matter, but as to who drafted this
- 18 letter, I can't speak to that.
- 19 Q. Tell me about the conversations you've had
- 20 with Mr. Baldwin concerning heat-related questions or
- 21 issues?
- 22 A. Again, to the best of my recollection, I
- 23 seem to recall having a -- a discussion in reference
- 24 to questions from Mr. Turner's office. Those general
- 25 discussions would have been similar to most

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83



4

5

7

11

45 (Pages 177-180)

179

180

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

1 discussions that I had with Mr. Baldwin when he called 2 and asked for a description of practices in place or 3 policies in place within my division, and I would have 4 supplied him a general overview or, in some cases, a 5 copy of the -- a copy of the appropriate document that 6 covered that subject matter. Q. Which would have been what? A. Well, from reading this document, I -- I

9 don't remember, but it appears that a lot of this 10 information would have come from that initial memo. 11 not all. Mr. Baldwin could have gathered some of this 12 information from other entities if he was the one that 13 would have drafted this.

14 Q. Okay. Do you have any idea what he is 15 talking about -- and I'm presuming Mr. Livingston 16 wrote this, but in the document that he signed, 17 working with the Facilities Division to maximize all 18 ventilation systems. 19 If you don't know what he is talking 20 about, please just tell me you don't know. 21 A. Again, I don't know directly what he is 22 referencing.

Q. Sure.

2 MR. EDWARDS: Would you actually repeat 3 the exact question so I don't ...

(The reporter read back requested text.)

MR. GARCIA: Objection, speculation.

6 Objection, vague as to "cooling mechanisms."

A. Again, the decision to place, as you 8 referenced, cooling mechanisms in all housing areas within the Texas Department of Criminal Justice would surely have to be discussed to include resource availability to ensure that that could be done.

12 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. If it could be 13 done?

14 A. It's mechanically possible to install 15 cooling mechanisms, I would assume, but I'm not a facilities engineer in those housing areas.

Q. You would assume that you could eliminate 18 extreme heat inside. Right?

19 A. I would assume that you could affect the temperature inside housing areas by installation of cooling systems.

22 Q. Sure. I mean, the warden's office doesn't 23 suffer from extreme heat, does it, in any of your 24 facilities?

25 A. Not to my knowledge.

178

1 letter to the Honorable Sylvester Turner, have

2 absolutely nothing to do with lowering the temperature

Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the

system-wide protocols that were and will continue to 25 remain in place, at least listed in Mr. Livingston's

23

24

3 in the housing areas? A. The subject matter in this letter, from 5 briefly reading over it, appears to cover those issues

6 that we have discussed concerning efforts to ensure 7 the offender population is hydrated, to ensure that

8 they're offered showers, to ensure that we are working 9 with the Facilities Division. And also talks about

10 the -- some efforts that are made to ensure safe

11 transfer of offenders.

12 Q. Okay. It deals with the mitigation of this 13 extreme heat, not the elimination of the extreme heat. 14 Right?

15 A. It is with the mitigation factors, yes, sir. 16

Q. And not the elimination. Right?

17 A. I don't see anywhere where elimination is 18 mentioned in this document.

19 Q. Okay. Well, I mean, I just want to -- we

20 could -- strike that. 21 The Texas Department of Criminal

22 Justice could elimination extreme heat in the housing

23 areas by placing cooling mechanisms inside the housing

25 A. Can you repeat your question? Q. Your office doesn't suffer from extreme

2 heat, does it?

3 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. It's

4 argumentative and irrelevant.

MR. GARCIA: And asked and answered.

6 A. And I don't have an office, but...

7 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) When did you, your office

when you were the Correctional Institutions Division

director, it never suffered from extreme heat, did it? 10

MR. GARCIA: Objection ---

11 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Renew the objection 12 for relevancy.

13 MR. GARCIA: Asked and answered.

14 A. Again, no. sir.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. The armory at the 15

16 Hutchins Unit where the guns and bullets are stored,

that never suffered from extreme heat because it's air

conditioned. Right?

A. It is.

20 Q. I would assume that you would tell me that

21 the guns and the bullets are not as important as

protecting the inmates. Is that fair?

23 A. I would surely say that the safety of the offender population takes top priority in our system.

25 I would also say that ensuring that the armory weapons

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (800) 375-4363 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

19

APPENDIX164

15

16

17

20

22

24

46 (Pages 181-184)

183

184

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

181

1 are functioning properly is important to providing the

2 safety and well-being of the citizens of Texas.

Q. Sure. Air conditioning the armory may very

4 well be important, but if you're evaluating it, you

5 would tell the jury that it's not as important as

6 protecting the safety and the welfare of the inmate

population which is in your custody. Fair?

MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.

A. Again --9

8

15

16

20

22

1

6

7

8

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Your opinion? 10

A. Worded as that, the welfare of the offender 11

12 population, no, weapons are not as important. Again,

13 separate question from air conditioning.

Q. I appreciate that. 14

A. Okay.

Q. And in the letter from Mr. Livingston, he

17 writes that the TDCJ understands its responsibility

and is committing to ensuring the safety and welfare

of our staff and offenders. 19

Do you agree with that?

21 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Do you think any reasonable and responsible

23 policy maker at the Texas Department of Criminal

24 Justice -- and I include you, Director Stephens and

25 Director Livingston -- ought to adhere to that?

A. Again, I would --1

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) In your opinion?

A. I would assume that Mr. Livingston had

4 continued conversations with the legislative officials

5 and wouldn't assume that this is the only discussion

that he had.

Q. All right. Well, that's a good point.

Based on your knowledge of Director Livingston and

policy making functioning at the department, with the

legislature, fair to say that this is not the -- this

is not the only discussion that Director Livingston

and his staff would have had with members of the

legislature about heat-related issues?

14 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.

A. Again, I would --

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Based on your knowledge?

A. I would be speculating. I don't know of any

specific other discussion that any individual had, but

I would presume that there might have been some.

Q. You would expect there to be continuing

discussion about this issue because of its import? 21

MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.

A. Again, that would have to be an assumption. 23

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Did you have

25 ongoing conversations with members of the legislature

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And he writes, after discussing, the agency

3 has taken a number of actions to mitigate the impact

4 of extreme heat. That it will continue to examine any

5 means that may provide further assistance.

Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. Okay. Even after 14 deaths since 2007,

you're still not aware of an examination of air

conditioning these housing areas?

A. I'm not aware of a study to determine the 12 probability of air conditioning all housing areas, no,

13 sir.

Q. Anywhere in this letter to Representative 14

15 Turner does it discuss the knowledge on the part of

16 TDCJ that ten people died -- excuse me -- as of this

17 date, nine people died of hyperthermia while in your

18 custody, any mention of that?

A. It's not mentioned in this letter, no, sir. 19

Q. Do you think that that would be important 20

for a legislator or a policy maker to know when

22 evaluating whether or not the department is doing

enough to protect the inmates from the dangers of 23

24 extreme heat?

25

MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation.

1 or Mr. Livingston and staff about the -- about

2 heat-related issues in the system?

A. Yes, sir, I did. As outlined earlier, yes,

4 sir.

5 Q. Okay. Thank you.

Thank you, sir. Would you tell the 6

7 jury briefly -- and, again, I do apologize, you may

8 have discussed this for a moment before -- but the

9 purpose of the directors meetings that you earlier

10 talked about that you had on a monthly basis?

11 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Asked and

12 answered.

A. Again, it was common practice for me as a

14 division director to hold a monthly meeting. That

15 monthly meeting would have been attended by the deputy

directors within the Correctional Institutions

17 Division, the regional directors within the

18 institutional -- Correctional Institutions Division,

training director, department heads, and some division

directors, at least for portions of that meeting, to

discuss subject matter at that particular time, and in

22 some cases give them an opportunity to discuss issues. Q. Were attendance lists kept at these

24 meetings?

25 A. I don't know that there is an attendance

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

23

3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 (800) 375-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

47 (Pages 185-188)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

185 187 1 roster out there. A. No, sir, it's not. Q. Okay. Is there any way that you can think 2 Q. All right. 3 of where I could determine who was at the particular MR. EDWARDS: Well, let's go off the 3 4 meetings other than, you know, the list of the agenda 4 record for a second. 5 of who may be speaking? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the video? 5 6 A. I don't know that there is. There is a --6 MR. EDWARDS: Yes, if that's all right 7 in many cases, a few division directors or department 7 with everyone. 8 heads on the agenda, and in most cases we had 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record 9 representation from most all divisions at the 9 at 2:42 p.m. 10 meetings. So unless they played a role in delivering 10 (OFF THE RECORD.) 11 a -- some particular subject matter that month, their THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 11 12 name necessarily would not appear on that agenda. And 12 record at 2:43 p.m. 13 then I don't know of any -- any roster -- surely there MR. EDWARDS: And just so the record is 13 14 wasn't one in any of my possession that would have 14 clear, Director Thaler has identified that there are outlined exactly who was there at those meetings. 15 additional records that he would have authored, and 16 Q. Okav. you know, myself and Mr. Medlock will go back and see 17 (Deposition Exhibit No. 53 marked.) 17 if they're in the documents that have already been 18 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Is Exhibit 53 a copy of the 18 produced. And if not, we will confer with Demetri --19 agenda for the regional directors meeting from 19 I would be more respectful, but I'll butcher your last 20 July 16th, 2010? 20 name -- and arrange for -- and arrange for those 21 A. This is a copy of Mr. Stephens' portion of 21 documents to be produced at a later time. Fair 22 the regional directors meeting, yes, sir. 22 enough? 23 23 MR. ANASTASIDIS: We'll be happy to 24 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Do y'all have an 24 look for them. 25 extra copy of that? 25 MR. EDWARDS: Okay. 186 188 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Take a look at the first 1 MR. EDWARDS: Oh, yeah. Sorry. 2 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Would you have 2 page there, sir, of these directors meeting notes. 3 another portion of -- I mean, Mr. Stephens was your 3 A. Okay. 4 subordinate at the time? Q. Do you recall, in July 16th, 2010, what A. Correct. would have been discussed about heat issues? 5 5 Q. Would there be a different set of notes or 6 6 A. Again, specifically what issues were documents pertaining to you, sir? 7 discussed in July of 2010 --A. There would in most cases be a secondary 8 Q. You don't know? 9 agenda of topics that I would have produced. 9 A. -- I wouldn't have any recollection as to 10 Q. Okay. Would you flip to page two of that. 10 specifics. 11 Is that -- is that the secondary agenda or is that 11 Q. Take a look at -- it's page 469 on that 12 still --12 document 13 A. No, sir. No, sir. These are actually just 13 A. Right. 14 notes from -- from this agenda. 14 Q. And there is a discussion where it says, 15 Q. Okay. So in addition to - at least with 15 heat issues? 16 Exhibit 53, the notes on these agenda, were these, to 16 A. Yes, sir. the best of your knowledge, crafted by Mr. Stephens? 17 17 Q. It says, make sure you're covering in 18 A. To my knowledge, I -- I could not tell you wardens meetings. 18 19 who crafted these notes. 19 Does that refresh your recollection at 20 Q. Okay. Is that your signature -- or is that 20 all? your handwriting, I'm sorry, sir? 21 21 A. Again, I don't know if I -- I covered this 22 A. My handwriting? 22 agenda or Mr. Stephens covered this agenda, but Q. Yeah, on the --23 23 that's -- that would surely be the intent of covering 24 A. No. 24 subject matter at regional directors and CID meetings 25 Q. No? 25 to be -- to ensure that the information was

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

48 (Pages 189-192)

191

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

189

- 1 disseminated back to staff on the facilities.
- Q. Sure. This doesn't help you -- help
- 3 transport you back to July 16th, 2010, but your
- 4 understanding, based on your experience and doing this
- 5 for a while, you fully expect that you discussed it,
- 6 you made it clear to your regional directors, get with
- your wardens, make sure you're on top of this, that
- 8 heat is a danger, take steps to help the inmates.
- Fair? 9
- A. It surely appears from this document that 10
- there was a similar discussion to that, yes, sir. 11
- Q. Okay. Now, I want you to take a look at the 12 next section. It says, medical after hours coverage. 13
- But before I get there, sir, and I apologize. 14
- In that section, Heat Issues, where it 15
- 16 says, the wardens meetings, are those the meetings
- 17 that -- that the regional director has with his
- 18 particular wardens?
- A. That's what that would be referencing, I 19
- 20 would assume.
- Q. So Director Eason would have meetings with 21
- the wardens in his region? 22
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. All right. So this medical after 24
- 25 hours coverage says, e-mail sent to RD slash wardens.

- 1 been held with the department heads. If I was in the 2 central office, I would have been in attendance. And
- 3 I'm not saying in this case that I wasn't in July of
- 4 2010, but if I would have been out of the office,
- 5 Mr. Stephens and the deputies would have held the
- 6 meeting, and I normally followed up the following day
- with a meeting directly with the regional directors.
 - Q. Okay. What is DMS, if you know?
- A. That's the -- as you referred earlier, the
- 10 telemedicine system that is used to provide access to
- a medical personnel off-site.
- 12 Q. Okay. And it says, use 911 where needed.
- You just don't know what that is in reference to?
- 14 A. I could not tell in what context that was
- discussed. Again, not knowing who -- who made these
- 16 notes, I'm not sure what that was referenced.
 - Q. Okav.

17

19

190

- 18 (Deposition Exhibit No. 54 marked.)
 - Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Sir, was that 53?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You can keep that for a little while. Let 21
- 22 me hand you this one. This looks like -- would you
- identify that for the jury?
- 24 A. It appears to be an agenda for the
- 25 Correctional Institutions Division directors meeting.

192

- 1 Do you know who RD would be?
- A. It appears to say, regional directors and 2 3 wardens.
- Q. And wardens. Okay. Thank you. And it
- 5 says, continue to use DMS on units with UTMB coverage.
- 6 Use 911 where needed. Tum machine on for offender
- 7 and step out of room.
- What does that mean? 8
- A. Again, I didn't make these notes nor do I 9
- 10 remember that conversation, so I -- I can't answer 11 that for you.
- Q. Okay. Now, you -- well, you would have been 12
- 13 present during this meeting. Correct?
- 14 A. I may have been.
- 15 Q. You may have been?
- 16 A. Yeah.
- 17 Q. Okay. How --
- 18 A. Again --
- Q. How would we find out if you actually were? 19
- 20 A. Again, I don't know that there is an
- 21 attendance to show whether I was actually at this
- 22 meeting or not. In most all cases, our CID meetings
- were a -- conducted on two days. 23
- Q. Uh-huh. 24
- A. So in some cases that CID meeting might have 25

- 1 It looks like it's dated 8-12-2010.
- Q. And it looks like you're giving the opening
- 3 remarks there, so is it safe to say that you were
- 4 there?

8

- A. If I was in attendance, I would have given 5
- 6 opening remarks. That's commonly placed on all the
- 7 agendas.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Again, in most cases I tried to attend. And
- 10 if I was there, I surely would have opened the meeting
- 11 and I would have conducted the meeting. In my
- 12 absence, my deputy director would have done that.
- Q. All right. Would you turn to page 473 of 13
- 14 that document, sir.
- 15 A. Okay.
- Q. It looks like under the section, after hours 16
- 17 on call system.
- 18 A. Right.
- Q. That 296 UTMB personnel were laid off. Do
- 20 you recall that?
- A. I recall that there was a reduction in force
- 22 with the University of Texas Medical Branch staff, and
- 23 I believe that did occur in 2010.
- 24 Q. Did that impact UTMB's ability to provide
- 25 medical care to the inmate population, laying off 296

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 (800) 375-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

49 (Pages 193-196)

195

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

193

6

7

1 medical providers?

- A. Again, it reduced the number of individuals that were available for use by UTMB.
- Q. Is it fair to assume that that means thatless medical care would have been provided to the
- A. Again, not knowing which 296 individuals were laid off, I can't answer that question.
- 9 Q. Okay. It looks like -- and then it goes on
- 10 to say, modification to unit clinic hours.
- 11 Is that -- did I read that correctly?
- 12 A. Yes.

inmates?

- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. That's what it appears to say.
- 15 Q. Yeah. And it says, one RN on unit eight 16 hours.
- 17 Did I read that correctly?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. What does that mean?
- 20 A. Again, interpreting these notes, it
- 21 references, I would assume, the RN on -- I don't know
- 22 what unit it's referencing -- for eight hours. So
- 23 other than what it says there, one RN on unit eight
- 24 hours, I'm not sure which facility it's referencing or
- 25 what facilities it's referencing.

1 Q. Okay. Then it says, 79 units have 12-hour

- 2 coverage. And I can't read the next word, which says,
- 3 only eight hours? Could you help me?
- 4 A. It appears to say, Keegan only eight hours, 5 which is a facility in downtown Houston.
 - Q. Gotcha. Then it says, after that, call 911.
 - Do you have any idea what that means?
- 8 A. Again, reading the document and trying to
- 9 interpret it, I would assume that the indication is,
- 10 after that eight-hour coverage period, should there be
- any issues on that facility, 911 is the recourse formedical attention.
- Q. Okay. With EAC reports or when inmates
- 14 suffer serious injuries, is it generally the practice
- 15 of TDCJ to video the scene and actually use a video
- 16 camera?

21

- A. As an -- did you say in an accident, when an offender has a serious injury? Not in most cases. I
- 19 don't know that that would be a situation where a
- 20 video camera would be...
 - Q. I've just seen documents where it says, you
- 22 know, bring video camera, and there never ever is a
- 23 video, it seems.
- A. Well, again, that's standard protocol. Any time there is any type of an emergency, rather than

194

- 1 Q. Okay. So you don't know if that's just a 2 policy that's throughout all -- all units?
- A. Again, I'm not sure, on these particular notes, what it's referencing.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- A. As -- again, not making any assumptions, I'm
 not sure exactly what it's referencing.
- Q. Okay. It looks like to the right it says,
- 9 Doctor Lincicum -- or Doctor Linthicum, excuse me --
- 10 and there is, in parentheses, the word "handout." Do
- 11 you see that? Look directly next to the after hours
- 12 on call system, sir.
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. Do you think that it would be better to ask
- 15 questions of her as to this?
- A. Again, whether she can answer questions as it relates to these specific notes, I don't know. But
- 18 it does reference her in reference to this subject
- 19 matter.
- 20 Q. Okay. Well, it says, one LVN and CMA after
- 21 hours on call. Do you have any idea what that means?
- 22 A. Again, I'm assuming it's referencing a
- 23 facility or facilities. I would assume that that
- 24 statement is referencing staff that is available on
- 25 that facility or facilities.

1 respond, a supervisor would be responding, what we

- 2 would refer to initially as our A Responders,
- 3 additional staff would be responding to the scene.
- 4 One of those individuals in any emergency response
- 5 would have the responsibility of retrieving a video
- 6 camera. If they arrived on the scene and it was a use
- of force situation, something that required a -- a
- 8 videotape by policy to be conducted, then that would
- be initiated upon arrival on the scene.

In other cases, there would be

- 11 situations where a video camera would not be used in
- 12 those particular situations. So in some you might
- 13 have. I'm not saying there has never been a
- 14 situation --

10

16

15 Q. No, no. That's fine.

Would you have expected the McCollum

- 17 incident where, you know, he was there for, you know,
- 18 50 minutes, an hour, to be a situation where someone
- 19 would have video'd that?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. Okay. Okay. Take a look at number seven on
- 22 this exhibit. And just for the jury, that's
- 23 Exhibit 54. Would you read the section under
- 24 Discussion?
- 25 A. It says, share with wardens to watch for

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

50 (Pages 197-200)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

197

- 1 issues and make sure we are taking steps necessary to
- 2 control. Pass out water, do not confiscate fans, make
- 3 sure staff are provided for as well.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have a copy of the handout
- 5 that was provided at that meeting? Or at least it
- 6 appears that was provided?
- A. Which handout? I'm sorry, which handout are
- Q. Under number seven, where it says, heat
- 10 precautions, et cetera, and then there is, in
- 11 parentheses, handout. Do you have any idea what was
- 12 in that handout? If you don't, you don't.
- A. No, I can't recall. I was trying to 13
- 14 determine, but I...
- Q. All right. Do you recall ever talking about 15
- 16 temperate air flow?
- A. Again, specifically, temperate air flow, no, 17
- 18 sir, I do not. And I don't know what would have been
- 19 referenced in there, nor can I discern from reading
- 20 the notes what might have been referenced.
- Q. Well, it's in that main like, you know, 21
- 22 heading section, so --
- 23 A. Right.
- Q. That's not your word, though, temperate air 24
- 25 flow?

199

- 1 heat-related issues like passing out or stroking?
- A. Again, that's -- I would be making an
- 3 assumption as to what that is referencing, but I would
- 4 say that could be short based or broad based about any
- 5 issues that might even relate to instructions that
- were given to the field.
- Q. You would just be speculating on that point, 7
- 8 but --
- 9 A. Right.
- Q. -- based on your experience, you probably 10
- could, but that's what -- you don't have any personal
- knowledge of that?
- 13 A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Now, passing out ice water, I just
- want to be clear, that is absolutely one of the things
- that TDCJ has decided, this is something -- this is a
- benefit that we're going to provide to the inmate
- population to help mitigate this heat is actually ice
- water passed out in jugs. Right?
- 20 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Asked and
- 21 answered.
- 22 A. Again, that is one of the instructions that
- we give to our wardens, to ensure ice water is
- available on the dorms.
- 25 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. So if ice water,

198

200

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. All right. Do not confiscate fans.
- 3 Do you recall there being an issue with correctional
- 4 officers confiscating inmate fans?
- A. I don't recall a specific issue. That's a
- 6 reminder we put out routinely. I'm not, again, saying
- 7 that there might not have been an incident in some
- 8 facility in the state where that occurred or
- 9 detained -- or we became aware of it and addressed it
- 10 to all of the regional directors to ensure that that
- was reemphasized. So, again -- but a specific 11
- 12 situation, I cannot recall a specific situation.
- 13 Q. The important thing -- well, is it fair for 14 us to glean from this that at least in August of 2010,
- 15 you're having meetings discussing the dangers of
- 16 extreme heat, and you're making sure your regional
- 17 directors are communicating to the wardens, you need
- 18 to take steps to protect the inmates?
- 19 A. Surely disseminating information to ensure
- 20 that we're working closely with the divisions on --
- 21 for providing any mitigation or following through on
- 22 any mitigation efforts and working closely with health
- services and fire and safety on particular facilities
- to ensure that's done. 24

25

Q. It says to watch for issues. Is that

- 1 you know, water with blocks of ice in it or ice cubes
- 2 in it, isn't being passed out, the correctional
- 3 officers responsible for that or the warden
- 4 responsible for that wouldn't be doing their job.
- Correct?
- MR. GARCIA: Objection. Asked and 6
- 7 answered.
- A. Again, that's one of those precautions that
- 9 we take during summer months and, as was mentioned
- 10 earlier in my response, we instruct our wardens to
- ensure that it's happening.
- 12 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) And if they don't do this
- and they don't make sure that's happening, they're
- effectively endangering the lives of the inmate
- population. Right? 15
 - MR. GARCIA: Objection. Asked and
- 16 17 answered. Objection. Speculation.
 - MS. COOGAN: Join.
- 19 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Right?
- 20 A. And, again, I believe I answered that
- 21 earlier.

18

- Q. Okay. Well, humor me. Is the answer, yes? 22
 - A. The answer is, again, that's not the only
- 24 source of hydration, but it surely is an additional
- 25 effort that we make available to the offender

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 (800) 375-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

51 (Pages 201-204)

203

204

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

population to mitigate the circumstances in the
housing areas.
Q. Would you go to 482, sir. Who is Eileen
Kennedy?
A. She was a regional director in Region IV.

6 Q. Okay. Who is Diane Kukua?

7 A. Diane Kukua, again, at this time I believe8 was a warden at the Ellis Unit.

9 Q. Okay. If you would, turn to 484. It says, 10 Mr. Thaler's agenda. Do you have any idea what that 11 is?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. What is it?

14 A. This would be a copy of my -- of my agenda.

15 Q. Okay. So as I look at this, it appears you

16 wouldn't have been talking about heat, heat would have

17 been talked about by somebody else, at least at this

18 meeting?

A. In some cases. There are -- in some cases,as you review my agenda and Mr. Stephen's agenda,

21 there will be duplicate subject matter. So you might

22 very well see something on Mr. Stephens' agenda that

23 also shows up on my agenda. In other cases, it would

24 be covered on one of the two.

Q. Okey-doke.

1

2

3

1 Q. I mean, it appears to me, based on these

2 documents, you're personally involved in making sure

3 that precautions are taken at each of these

4 facilities, including the Hutchins facility. Is that

5 fair?

6 A. It surely would have been discussed at this

7 meeting with the regional directors.

Q. Okay. Would you flip to the next page, sir.

9 Do you recall discussing heat precautions at this

10 meeting?

11 A. Again, heat precautions was a topic that I

12 left on my agenda, and we discussed each time that we

13 met specific topics that were discussed in -- in June

14 of -- or May -- I'm sorry. May of 2011, I could not

15 detail or recall.

16 Q. Okay. You just know you talked about them?

A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. Okay. Take a look at the number three,

19 where it says, offender access to medical care.

20 A. Uh-huh.

Q. And then the person's name is Sharon Howell.

A. Yes, sir.

Q. As of May 12th, 2011, what position was --

24 did Sharon Howell hold with the department? If you

25 know?

17

22

202

(Deposition Exhibit No. 55 marked.)

MR. EDWARDS: Demetri, do you want one?

MR. ANASTASIDIS: Please. If you have

4 an extra copy, yes. Thank you.

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) I'm handing you

6 Exhibit 55. Is that a copy of your May 2011

7 Correctional Institutions Division directors meeting?

8 A. It is titled Correctional Institutions

9 Division directors meeting and dated 5-12-2011.
 10 Q. Okay. It appear that you gave the opening

11 remarks at that meeting. Correct?

12 A. Again, if I was present, yes, I did.

13 Q. If you weren't present, who would have given

14 the opening remarks?

A. In most cases that would have been one of mydeputies, again.

17 Q. It does say, though, that the speaker was

18 yourself. Correct?

19 A. Yes, it does.

20 Q. Okay. All right. Why don't you flip over

21 to page 595.

22 A. Okay.

23 Q. And it looks like, in May of 2011, one of

24 your agenda topics is, again, heat precautions.

25 A. Yes, sir.

1 A. I'm not sure of her title. She worked in

2 the general counsel's office.

Q. Okay. Would you read the discussion

4 section, please? Beginning with lawsuit, dash?

A. The notes say, lawsuit, dash, security left

offender unresponsive. It looks like, six H --

representing six hours, I'm assuming -- security -- it

8 says, security make medical do it. TDCJ slash UTMB

9 both dropped ball in this situation. Ultimately

10 warden's responsibility.

11 Q. Do you recall that discussion?

12 A. I do not recall that discussion or what

13 incident in this case is being referenced. I'm trying

14 to recall, but I don't -- I do not -- I do not recall

15 which incident is being referenced.

Q. Regardless, a security officer, based on

17 this document, left an offender unresponsive for a

18 significant period of time. Correct?

A. That's -- again, that's what the note says.

Q. Okay. And it looks like an acknowledgment

21 that the department, TDCJ, and UTMB, at least in

22 Ms. Howell's opinion, both dropped the ball. Is that

23 accurate?

24 A. That's also the notes that are stated here.

Q. And then ultimately it's the warden's

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

25

19

52 (Pages 205-208)

207

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

205

1 responsibility when TDCJ and UTMB drop the ball at a2 particular facility?

- 3 A. That's what the note says here.
- 4 Q. Do you agree with that sentiment?
- 5 A. I agree that the warden surely burdens --
- 6 holds the burden of responsibility on many issues that
- 7 occur on his facility. He does not have, or she does
- 8 not have total autonomy on all operations on the
- 9 facility, so there surely would be some shared
- 10 responsibility in some areas.
- 11 Q. Okay. If you would, flip to page 603, sir.
- 12 As I read that, it looks like there is a breakout
- 13 session with RT. I'm assuming that that is you?
- 14 A. That appears to be so, yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Okay. Where you're talking about heat
- 16 precautions?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And that an officer, correctional officer
- 19 actually died in Beeville. Is that a fair
- 20 characterization?
- 21 A. Two different subject matters.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. Heat precautions were surely talked about.
- 24 It was on my agenda and I would have covered that.
- 25 Q. Okay.

..

16

17

23

24 25

206

- A. The second agenda item there talks about the physical agility test that is required for all staff
- 3 within our department, and references a -- a situation
- 4 where an officer passed away while taking this test at
- 5 one of our training facilities. So it's two different
- 6 subjects.
- Q. Okay. Did heat play a role in that
- 8 officer's death, to your knowledge?
- 9 A. Not to my knowledge, no, sir.
- 10 Q. When you say, not to -- could have, it might
- 11 have, it might not have. Is that fair?
- 12 A. I don't believe it had anything to do with
- 13 it. I believe that it was a, to the best of my
- 14 recollection, cardiac arrest. And I don't even -- I'm
- 15 not even sure whether they were outdoors when they
- 16 were taking the physical agility test. But it had
- 17 more to do with the condition of the staff member and
- 18 the stress on the test.
- 19 Q. Let's talk about that. Does the Texas
- 20 Department of Criminal Justice consider deaths by
- 21 cardiac arrest that occur in indoor temperatures above
- 22 90 degrees to be heat related or not?
- 23 A. I can't respond to that question.
- 24 Q. You don't know?
- 25 A. I don't know.

Q. Okay. I guess -- it says, take appropriate precautions, employer -- employee ease, plus offenders.

What does that reference?

- A. Again, not being my notes --
- Q. If you don't know, that's okay. I just --
- 7 you know, because you seemed to know how the -- the
- 8 officer died during the PAT test.
- 9 A. So that was an incident that happened very 10 infrequently, unfortunately. So, again, I'm not
 - sure -- I'm not sure what that is referencing.
- Q. Okay. Now, I understand that employee
- 13 deaths during PAT tests occur infrequently, but
- 14 heat-related illnesses don't occur infrequently in the
- 15 department. Is that --
 - MS. COOGAN: Objection. Argumentative.
 - MR. GARCIA: Speculation and vague.
- 18 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Sir, I mean, do you get a
- 19 lot of heat-related illness -- illnesses at TDCJ? You20 know, people suffering heat exhaustion, fainting,
- 20 Know, people suffering near exhaustion, fairting
- 21 throwing up, vomiting, you know, amongst your
- 22 correctional staff?

MR. GARCIA: Objection. Compound.

MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Vague.

MS. COOGAN: And vague as to the term

208

- 1 "a lot."
- 2 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Is it -- you tell me. I
- 3 mean -
- 4 A. There are occurrences throughout the
- 5 seasonal period, but I surely wouldn't qualify that as
- 6 a lot. In most cases, those are individuals that are
- 7 performing work functions, staff, or in some cases our
- 8 offenders that work in various areas at our
- 9 facilities.
- 10 Q. Okay. During the summer months do you find
- 11 that employees are absent from work more often than
- 12 the other months of the year?
- 13 A. Not -- not necessarily. Our -- our
- 14 shortages for staff are -- are fairly consistent.
- 15 There are probably a slight rise in employee absences
- 16 during the summer months.
- 17 Q. Do you think that might be due to the fact
- 18 that the indoor housing areas are not air conditioned
- 19 and that your workers have to work in that --
 - MS. COOGAN: Objection. Speculation.
- A. Again, that would be speculation. But in my years of experience, I would say it has more to do
- 23 with kids being out of school.
- 24 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Okay. So if
- 25 correctional officers testify at trial that their

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

53 (Pages 209-212)

211

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

209

- 1 experience is that more people are absent from work
- 2 and miss shifts during the summer due to the heat,
- 3 would you disagree with that?
- A. Again, I have no evidence to indicate that.
- 5 I'm not saying that there hasn't been somebody that
- 6 testified to that. But as a warden on a facility for
- 7 12 years, as a regional director, there were a
- 8 multitude of reasons why individuals did not report
- 9 for duty. I would have not -- not -- would have not
- 10 listed the conditions of the working environment due
- 11 to heat during the summer as one of those causal
- 12 factors for employee absences, in my professional
- 13 opinion.

15

- 14 Q. Okay. All right.
 - (Deposition Exhibit No. 56 marked.)
- 16 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Take a look at July 14th,
- 17 2011. It's Exhibit 56, sir. Again, this is another
- 18 one of these monthly meetings. Right?
- 19 A. It appears to be so, yes, sir.
- 20 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Do you have an extra
- 21 copy for me, Jeff?
- 22 MR. EDWARDS: I do. 1 do.
- 23 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Oh, you know what? I gave
- 24 you the wrong copy, Mr. Thaler. Do you mind if I take
- 25 that back and switch that out? Sorry about that.

- 1 passed out.
- Q. Okay. Well, it appears to say that the
- 3 incidents have increased with hot weather, and that
- 4 there have been ten offender and 20 employee
- 5 heat-related issues as of July 14th, 2011. Did I read
- 6 that correctly?
- 7 A. Yes, you did.
 - Q. Okay. I mean, to me, that would indicate
- 9 that all of the regional directors, Director Stephens
- 10 and yourself, are being made aware by the EAC people
- 11 that, look, the incidents with heat are rising, and
- 12 that there have been ten offender and 20 employee
- 13 heat-related issues to date, this year. Fair?
 - A. It indicates that many has occurred, yes.
 - Q. Okay. That's a -- that's 30 incidents.
- 16 Correct?

14

15

22

- 17 A. That's 30 incidents, ten offenders out of 18 156,000.
- 19 Q. Okay. Is that how you look at it? Ten
- 20 offenders out of 156,000?
- 21 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Argumentative.
 - MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection.
- 23 Argumentative.
- A. Again, we have to look at measure the number of incidents that we're having across the

210

- A. The highlighted portions were helping me.
- 2 Q. Well, unfortunately, they help me more than
- 3 they might help you.
- At the risk of an asked and answered
- 5 objection, this is the July 14th, 2011 directors
- 6 meeting notes and agenda?
- 7 A. It's dated and it appears to be such, yes,
- 8 sir.

1

- 9 Q. Okay. All right. Why don't you flip to
- 10 page 618.
- 11 A. Okay
- 12 Q. Under the heading, EAC Update, again, there
- 13 is a handout. Do you have a copy of that handout?
- 14 A. Again, not to my knowledge. I'm not sure
- 15 what handout is referenced here.
- 16 Q. Okay.
- 17 A. The -- in most cases, this individual whom
- 18 was working in executive services at the time covered
- 19 a monthly EAC report and provided a handout to all
- 20 regional directors, that EAC report, if it's the one
- 21 referenced here, dealt with appropriate time reporting
- 22 graphs for the regional director to ensure that they
- 23 were processing their EAC reports in a timely manner.
- 24 So not knowing for sure what that handout is, I would
- 25 maybe make an assumption that that is exactly what was

- 1 board. If it's a larger number, it surely would be of
 - 2 greater concern. 20 employees out of 35,000, surely
 - 3 something we would also have to look at. If that
 - 4 number spikes from year to year at any particular
 - time, it's surely an indicator that we need to ensure
 that we're taking appropriate measures to increase our
 - 7 focus on the issue and make sure that we're doing
 - 8 everything that we say we're doing.
 - 9 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. And that's what --
 - 10 I mean, to me, that suggests, look, that's a number
 - 11 that is significant enough for a person in your
 - 12 position at the time to say, hey, we need to make sure
 - 13 we're doing what we're saying we're doing. Right?
 - A. Well, again --
 - MR. GARCIA: Objection. Is that a
 - 16 question?

14

17

- MR. EDWARDS: You bet it is.
- 18 A. Well, again, as covered when we started this
- 19 agenda, the topic of heat-related precautions was
- 20 covered repeatedly.
- 21 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Uh-huh.
- 22 A. Those ten offenders would cover the array of
- 23 everything that's -- we're required to do on a monthly
- 24 basis inside our institutions. This takes us into the
- 25 middle of the summer. As I said, most heat-related

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

54 (Pages 213-216)

215

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

213

- 1 instances that I recall, particularly as it dealt with
- 2 the offenders and employees were work-related issues.
- 3 So there surely were additional discussions about
- 4 ensuring that we were not taking offenders out in work
- 5 squads or in areas and exposing them to strenuous
- 6 activity during the hotter parts of the day. But we
- 7 worked thousands of offenders every day within our
- 8 institutions, and although, again, one illness or one
- 9 injury is important to us, ten in the perspective of
- 10 156,000 over a month and a half of the summer is -- is
- 11 surely a -- a number that wouldn't cause grave 12 concern.
- 13 Q. Would it cause you any concern?
- 14 A. Again, one incident would --
- 15 Q. This is 30, not one.
- 16 MS. COOGAN: Please let the witness
- 17 finish his answer.
- 18 A. As I mentioned before, incidents, no matter
- 19 what the precautions you take, are going to are
- 20 going to occur. So I would have to look at each
- 21 specific incident here to see whether or not that is
- 22 alarming or not. But just simply referencing the
- 23 number, to the scale of number of staff involved in
- 24 this process, the 35,000 that work out on our
- 25 facilities, those are -- that's a fairly minimal

- 1 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Recall
- 2 what part of the summer of 2011?
 - Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) All parts of it?
 - A. I don't -- I don't --
 - Q. Do you recall July of 2011? Do you have any
- 6 memory of it? Do you have any memory of how hot it
- 7 was here in Texas?
 - MR. GARCIA: Objection, argumentative.
- 9 Objection, compound. Relate it to one question,
- 10 Counsel.
- 11 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Do you recall how hot it
- 12 was in Texas in 2011, during July?
- 13 A. I remember that in 2007 there were hot days
- 14 in -- during the summer months in July and August,
- 15 **yes.**

18

21

23

24

10

- Q. Now, I may have misheard you, but I believe
- 7 you might have said 2007. You probably meant 2011 --
 - A. I'm sorry. 2011.
- 19 Q. I just want the record to be clear, so let
- 20 me ask it one more time.
 - Do you recall how hot it was in the
- 22 summer of 2011, particularly in July of 2011?
 - MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Vague.
 - Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay.
- 25 MR. ANASTASIDIS: If you're able to

214

- 1 number for the job we're required to do day in, day
- 2 out, inside and outside our institutions.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Ten people died the summer
- 4 of 2011 from hyperthermia. Is that a minimal number
- 5 in the context of the amount of prisoners that TDCJ
- 6 houses?
- 7 A. Again, as was referenced earlier, one death
- 8 is too many. So we surely make all efforts to look at
- 9 every situation that we have to determine whether or
- 10 not policies and procedures were followed in each
- 11 particular incident, and make sure that we're meeting
- 12 our obligations to staff and the offender population
- 13 in -- as it relates to that particular subject matter.
- 14 Q. Well, my question is just, you've got --
- 15 you're on notice of -- at least as of July 14th, 2011,
- 16 and at this point, at least, in most of Texas, it's
- 17 starting to get really hot. Is that correct?
- 18 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection to the
- 19 phrase "really hot."
- 20 MR. GARCIA: Objection to the compound
- 21 nature of the question.
- 22 A. Again, I don't recall what the temperatures
- 23 were --
- 24 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Do you recall the summer 25 of 2011, sir?

- 1 answer it, go ahead. And if you're not, don't --
- 2 A. Again, I don't specifically remember how hot
- 3 it was in July. I do remember that, as most Texas
- 4 summers are, July was hot in 2011.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Let me ask it a little
- 6 different way.
- Do you recall that it was even hotter
- 8 than previous Texas summers?
 - MR. GARCIA: Objection. Vague.
- A. Again, I don't know how -- how to compare.
- 11 I have gone through several hot summers in my career
- 12 with TDCJ, so comparatively, depending on what year
- 13 you're talking about, there were a number of hot days
- 13 you're talking about, there were a number of not day 14 in 2011.
- 15 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. All right. Well,
- 16 given -- you know, we'll go to the actual temperatures
- 17 for the heat. But given the number of incidents and
- 18 the heat, do you recall doing anything in particular
- 19 in terms of instructing the regional directors or the
- 20 wardens, hey, this is really hot. We've already had
- 21 30 incidents as of July 14th, 2011. If you don't
- 22 recall, that's fine.
- 23 A. Again, I do not recall.
- 24 Q. Okay. Would you flip to page 620.
 - I'm sorry. Page 619. I apologize,

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

25

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83



55 (Pages 217-220)

219

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

1 sir. Under section five, Ag Issues, it looks like a MR. ANASTASIDIS: Thank you. 1 2 drought is being experienced. Do you have any 2 MR. EDWARDS: And you can give this to knowledge of that? your director. 3 A. Again, I'm -- I would not know -- this would 4 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Thank you. 5 have been covered by Matt Demny, the agricultural Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) In fact, Douglas Hudson 5 6 supervisor. I assume by these notes that he was had died of hyperthermia. Isn't that correct? 6 7 referring to a drought being experienced in portions 7 A. I don't have the list in front of me, but if 8 or a portion of the state resulting -- affecting the 8 9 agricultural operation. 9 Q. A 62-year old man, no memory of that? Q. And he is the one who we should talk to 10 10 A. Generally, I don't remember the specific 11 about the pigs. Right? 11 date. 12 A. Again, the swine program is underneath his 12 Q. Okay. That's fine. You gave the opening 13 remarks, or at least it appears that you gave the 13 purview as Agricultural Division director. Q. Okay. Do you recall any specific mention of 14 14 opening remarks --15 not enough hay due to the drought? A. If I was present I would have, yes, sir. 15 16 A. Again, I'm not sure whether it was 2011 if Q. Okay. And under the section Opening 17 he mentioned it. I'm sure there were, but on years 17 Remarks, would you -- would you read the discussion 18 where there is a lack of rainfall, he does cover that 18 points? 19 subject matter because he is required to keep up the A. It says -- it appears to say, heat, take 19 20 livestock. 20 precautions, slash, monitor. 21 Q. Okay. With regards to those 30 heat-related 21 Q. Okay. What does that mean? 22 issue incidents that were discussed on July 14th, 22 A. Again, I'm not -- I'm not sure. 2011, do you recall the specifics of any one of them? 23 23 Q. Okay. Well, you gave the remarks and I A. No. And in most cases, being reported out 24 guess this is my one chance to ask you about it. What 24 25 by the individual that's stated here, again, assuming 25 do you recall specifically telling your -- you know,

1 that this individual was there and not an employee of 2 this individual, she would have referenced those 3 numbers similar to -- total numbers similar to she 4 would on any other type of incident. And in most 5 cases, there wasn't discussion about specific 6 incidents, just general numbers. MR. EDWARDS: Let me object as 8 nonresponsive. Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Sir, my question is 9 10 just -- it's a more basic one. 11 Do you recall any specific talk of

12 specific incidents, any one of the 30 mentioned there, 13 where specific detail was given? A. No.

14 15

16

17

Q. Do you recall -- okay. Thank you. (Deposition Exhibit No. 57 marked.)

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Let's move on to

18 August 2011. By this time, people have started dying 19 of heat stroke in the prison system. Right?

20 A. There were several incidents that had 21 occurred, yes, sir.

22 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Jeff, may I trouble

23 you for a copy?

MR. EDWARDS: Oh, yeah. I'm sorry.

25 Demetri. Of course.

1 your regional directors and your staff?

A. Again, from this document I cannot assure 3 you that I gave the opening remarks, so...

Q. If you didn't give the opening remarks, who would it have been? Would it have been Director

Stephens? 6

7 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Asked and 8 answered.

MR. EDWARDS: Not for this meeting.

10 A. It would have been one of my deputy 11 directors. It could have been Mr. Stephens or one of the other two deputies would have held the meeting. 13

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. What is, central,

14 all offenders have been removed, mean?

15 A. This was the year that the legislature closed the central facility and the offenders were all moved out of that facility. 17

18 Q. Would you flip to page 634, sir. Do you see 19 the section labeled Heat?

20 A. Yes, sir.

21 Q. Am I reading this right? Do not turn water

22 off in cells, make sure passing out ice water?

23 A. Yes, sir.

24 Q. Was there an issue with -- were people

25 turning off the water in cells?

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (800) 375-4363 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

APPENDIX174

56 (Pages 221-224)

223

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

221

- A. I do not personally remember an issue with 2 that.
- Q. It says, Stephens. Is that -- should we ask 3 Mr. Stephens --4
 - A. It appears Mr. Stephens covered that topic.
- Q. Okay. Can you think of a reason why staff 6
- would turn off water in the cells? 7
- A. No, sir.

5

- Q. Okay. All right. If you flip to the next
- 10 page, it looks like there is a heat awareness
- discussion and that Mr. Stephens is giving that. Is
- 12 that a fair characterization of page 635?
- A. It's listed as an item, yes, sir. 13
- Q. Okay. Let me ask you about the water in the 14
- 15 cells. In a facility like Hutchins, there aren't
- 16 really cells, there are just dorm units.
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. Would that refer to like water like in the 18
- 19 sinks and stuff like that?
- A. No. Again, I would have to be speculating 20
- on what this was actually referencing. 21
- Q. Okay. 22
- A. But because it references cells, I think 23
- 24 that would be talking about a cellblock, not a
- 25 dormitory housing area.

- 1 extended career in the agency, I don't know that I
- 2 ever received a complaint from an offender as a warden
- 3 through the grievance process that they did not have
- 4 some mechanism to be able to retrieve water and drink
- 5 water, whatever that happened to be. But in most
- 6 cases, also, should that offender not have anything,
- 7 if he were to ask a staff member, I would assume that
- staff member would assist him with a cone cup or some
- device, or some item so that the individual could have
- something to drink out of.
- MR. EDWARDS: Okay. Let me object as 11
- 12 nonresponsive.
- 13 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) But I appreciate that,
- 14 sir.
- 15 A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. Would you flip to page 638. And I'm 16
- afraid I know the answer but --17
- A. Okay. 18
- Q. where it says, heat awareness done, do 19
- 20 you recall anything about that -- that talk or that
- agenda item?
- 22 A. No. sir.
- Q. Okay. Would you turn to page 639, sir. 23
- 24 A. Okav.
- Q. There appears to be a UTMB handout for 25

222

3

10

12

15

16

- Q. Okay. Do you know if prisoners, upon 2 entering the Hutchins facility or, frankly, any
- 3 transfer facility at TDCJ were issued a cup upon
- arrival?
- A. I don't know that it was standard policy to 5 6 issue a cup, no, sir.
- Q. Do you think that having a cup makes it
- 8 easier to drink water in a prison facility?
- A. Having -- having a drinking device would make it easier to drink water, yes, sir. 10
- Q. Is a cup a drinking device? 11
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Has -- my understanding, through the 13
- 14 testimony here, is that at Hutchins, no cups were
- 15 passed out to offenders immediately upon entry, at
- 16 least when Mr. McCollum entered the facility. Is that
- 17 consistent with your memory?
- A. That's consistent with my memory, yes, sir. 18
- Q. Has that been changed? 19
- A. I believe it has at Hutchins. And I believe 20
- 21 that -- again, I'm no longer with the system, but
- 22 instruction has been to ensure that individuals that
- 23 are accessing, particularly the ice water that is
- 24 passed out, have the availability of a cup to drink
- 25 out of. I -- I do have to also say that in my

- 1 eight-hour facilities.
 - A. Yes, sir. 2
 - Q. It doesn't appear that Hutchins is on this
 - 4 list. Do you know why?
 - A. No, I don't know why. 5
 - Q. Okay. Tell me what KOP means. 6
 - 7 A. Carry on person.
 - Q. Carry on person. At this time, were other 8
 - 9 non-24-hour facilities already KOP?
 - MS. COOGAN: Objection. Vague.
 - A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? 11
 - Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Yeah. Were other
 - 13 non-24-hour facilities, excluding the Hutchins Unit,
 - KOP facilities, if you know?
 - MS. COOGAN: Objection. Vague.
 - A. Again, my general knowledge is, carry on
 - 17 person medication was used throughout the system for
 - 18 dispensing some medications.
 - Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. If you would turn 19
 - 20 to 642, sir. It looks like, again, you're talking
 - 21 about heat precautions here, or at least the people at
 - 22 this meeting are?
 - 23 A. Right.
 - Q. And it says, make sure every warden is
 - 25 directly involved and we are taking care of offenders

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(512) 474-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (800) 375-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83







57 (Pages 225-228)

227

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

225

1 and staff.

2 Do you know what precipitated that?

A. I'm sure, as we moved into the month of

4 August and dealt with situations, again, as I

5 mentioned through the -- throughout the deposition.

6 our discussions with unit staff, our discussions with

7 division heads increased. There was the initial

8 discussions with the Health Services Division about

providing an even more directed focus on individuals 9

10 that fell in certain sub groups, and I'm sure

11 that's -- that's what it's referencing, in addition

12 to, again, ensuring that the -- wardens were --

13 regional directors were ensuring that the wardens were

14 directly involved in working with those entities to

15 get that accomplished.

Q. These precautions you're talking about in

17 August, are these the same types of precautions and

18 instructions and warnings you would have provided in

19 May and June and July?

A. Again, when we reference this note, as I'm 20

21 referencing this note, in -- in item number six on

22 this -- this handout that we're reviewing, it would

23 have surely covered those items that we require every

24 facility and have required every facility to

25 participate in.

16

1 facility, when they were to identify an individual 2 with the symptoms of a heat-related illness, were

contacting health service providers for -- for care.

Q. Prior to this time, should they have been

5 doing this prior to this time or was that new?

A. Sure. They have always been required to do

7 that. This again was just talking about stressing the

8 importance of it to staff and, again, ensuring that we

were following through with ensuring that was 10 happening.

11 Q. Okay. Under number six it says, rotate

12 staff assignments where heat is an issue.

Do you see that? Down at the bottom?

14 A. I'm sorry. Oh, I'm sorry. Down -- okay. I 15 was looking at number six up top. I'm sorry.

Q. That's okay.

17 A. Yes, sir.

13

16

18

What was the question? I'm sorry.

19 Q. Yeah. Just what is meant by that, rotate 20 staff assignments where heat is an issue, if you know?

21 A. Again, I would conclude that that is a --

determining that particularly staff that's assigned to

outside areas are rotated and not put on 12-hour

24 shifts, but could -- could apply to, in some cases,

25 those areas where you have staff that are working

It appears also to notate the

2 additional steps, the beginning of the formation of 3 that wellness checklist and identifying individuals

4 that -- that we need to focus our attention on, or

5 give a higher degree of attention to and cooperation

6 with the Health Services Department.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Mr. Edwards.

8 MR. EDWARDS: Sure.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record

10 at 3:37 p.m.

7

9

11

(RECESS.)

12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the

record at 3:38 p.m. 13

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Sir, do you have any idea

14

what is meant by "management training"? Does it have

16 anything to do with the heat precautions?

17 A. This appears to reference a training topic.

18 It appears to reference the training of midline

supervisors. It appears to reference a discussion

20 about wardens training for the next two years.

21 Q. Okay. With regard to the heat precautions 22 up in number six, where it says, make referrals to

health services as needed, what is that referencing?

A. Again, from reading these notes, I would

25 conclude that that was ensuring that staff on the

1 throughout the facility. That, again, wouldn't be

2 applicable on many of our facilities because most of

3 our facilities, particularly those that were built

prior to the late '90s, working assignments in either

5 condition are the same -- in either position are the

same. Sorry.

7 Q. Do you know if Warden Pringle was instructed

to rotate staff assignments for individuals working

inside the Hutchins Unit?

10 A. I don't know specifically if he was

11 instructed.

12 Q. Okay. Here it says, ask question when have

an incident, fan, water, health services contacted, et

cetera. Do you know why that was there, or is that

just more of the same, the exact same thing, it's just

different words here?

A. Again, I'm not sure what that is

18 referencing. That's carrying on through number six,

so I'm sure there was a litany of issues that -- that

had to be covered.

21 Q. Well, those questions should have been asked

22 for every death that occurred. Right?

23 A. These questions should have been asked?

24 Q. Yes.

25 A. Again --

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

58 (Pages 229-232)

231

232

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

229

- Q. There is nothing new about August 11th,
- 2 2011, that says, oh, now we need to ask these
- 3 questions. These are just questions that you should
- 4 have been asking, or your people should have been
- 5 asking with regard to all heat-related illnesses.
- 6 Right?
- 7 A. These are -- as referenced in these notes
- 8 here, these are reiterating our need to stay focused
- 9 on the issue, and one case referencing the beginnings
- 10 of that wellness checklist.
- 11 Q. Are you familiar at all with the
- 12 circumstances behind the death of Kenneth Wayne James?
 - A. Again, generally. I was served with a --
- 14 I'm trying to --

15

19

- Q. We'll keep we'll ask those questions
- 16 later. That's fine.
- 17 If you would flip to 2012, and we'll
- 18 make this the next exhibit, 58.
 - (Deposition Exhibit No. 58 marked.)
- 20 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) There you go. Are these
- 21 the minutes from the March 15th, 2012 meeting?
- MR. ANASTASIDIS: Do you happen to have
- 23 an extra copy of that too, Jeff?
- 24 MR. EDWARDS: 1 do.
- 25 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Thank you.

- 1 Q. The entire thing? Okay. All right. And
 - 2 then if you will look at page 754, that's just more of
 - 3 the same. Heat-related illnesses, make sure that you
 - 4 talk about it every month, make sure that the wardens
 - 5 are on notice of this. That type of instruction?
 - A. General discussion, yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall talking about the --
 - 8 the high number of deaths the previous summer due to
 - 9 hyperthermia?
 - 10 A. In general. I surely remember speaking
 - about that at a regional directors meeting. Whether
 - 12 it was this one or one prior to this, I'm not real
 - 13 **sure**.
 - 14 Q. Okay. Well, let me flip -- flip to page
 - 15 760. And why don't you take a look at heat-related
 - 16 illness training, and if you could read the first
 - 17 sentence in the discussion portion of that, please,
 - 18 sir?
 - 19 A. It says, ten deaths last year relating to
 - 20 heat.
 - 21 Q. Okay. So certainly you were aware by this
 - 22 time that ten people had died related to the heat in
 - 23 the summer of 2011. Right?
 - 24 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Asked and
 - 25 answered.

230

8

16

18

- MR. EDWARDS: You're welcome.
- 2 A. Yes, sir. It appears to be dated
- 3 March 15th, 2012.
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Would you take a
- 5 look at page 757, sir. Does that mean that -- at the
- 6 top corner, it looks like it says, B. Livingston. Is
- 7 that correct?
- 8 A. It does.
- 9 Q. Does that mean that Brad Livingston attended
- 10 this meeting?
- 11 A. He could have, yes, sir.
- 12 Q. I understand that he could have. Does that
- 13 likely mean that he probably did?
- 14 A. I would say that he made an appearance at
- 15 the meeting, yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now in your experience would he have
- 17 just made an appearance and then left after giving his
- 18 presentation or would he be privy to all of this
- 19 information?
- 20 A. In most cases, Mr. Livingston would show up
- 21 and address the -- address the staff attending the
- 22 meeting and then step out.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 A. I don't recall any -- any meeting where
- 25 Mr. Livingston attended the whole meeting.

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Anybody at that meeting
 - should have been aware that ten people had died
 - 4 related to the heat in TDC custody. Right?
 - 5 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. Calls for
 - speculation.
 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry.
 - MR. ANASTASIDIS: If you can answer
 - 9 that question without speculating, do so, please.
 - 10 A. Again, the subject matter appears to be 11 covered.
 - 12 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Then it says, big
 - 13 star, talk to WS. Do you know what that is?
 - A. That is going to reference a talk to William 5 Stephens.
 - Q. Is that your handwriting?
 - 17 A. No, sir.
 - Q. And do you have any idea who that is, whose
 - 19 handwriting this is?
 - 20 A. No, sir.
 - 21 Q. Okay. And then just more discussion about
 - 22 training and wellness checks like we've talked about
 - 23 before?
 - 24 A. There is discussion about that, yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know if Director Eason was at

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

25

APPENDIX177

59 (Pages 233-236)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

233

- 1 this meeting?
- 2 A. Again, from this document, I -- I can -- I 3 cannot tell.
- Q. Now, on page 765, there is the heading, Heat
- 5 Preparations. Do you have any idea what that is all
- 6 about? If you don't, just let me know.
- 7 A. No, I do not. I'm assuming maybe that that
- 8 might have been a deputy director's agenda item, and
- 9 since it was covered earlier, that it might not have
- 10 been covered there. That would be my assumption.
- 11 Q. You just don't know, though?
- 12 A. I don't know.
 - Q. Okay. Now, take a look at page 766. It
- 14 looks like there are several offender deaths
- 15 discussed. Is that accurate?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

15 incidents?

A. Okav.

Q. Tell me why not.

21 review, no, sir.

- 17 Q. Now, these don't appear to be heat-related
- 18 deaths. Correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. My question is, did you ever have any
- 21 discussions at your directors meetings about all of
- 22 the people that died of heat-related deaths, like you
- 23 appear to be having about these particular people on

1 way: Surely some of the subject matter relating to

2 those heat-related deaths was talked about. What you

4 occurred that at the time required a serious incident

5 review be conducted. And what this outlines is those

6 four serious incident review incidents that were

7 discussed at the regional directors meeting, and to

particular regional directors that either conducted

10 these serious incident reviewed or were responsible

12 And this was our mechanism at the conclusion of the -

Q. What -- were the heat-related deaths serious

A. Any death is a serious incident, but --

Q. Were they serious incidents requiring -

A. Again, that's not a -- an item that the

24 agency has historically listed as a serious incident

25 review issue. And I would -- I would say, in most

A. They did not require a serious incident

Q. That wasn't a loaded question, sir.

for the facilities where these incidents occurred.

- 24 document 766?
- 25 A. Well, again, I guess I can answer it this

3 see on this page here is a list of deaths that

8 finalize the reports and to speak with those

13 meeting to have those discussions.

- 1 cases up until 2011, issues were -- those issues were
- 2 so infrequent that it was just never -- it was never
- 3 addressed.

6

- Q. Is it now considered a serious incident
- 5 which requires review since it's no longer infrequent.
 - MS. COOGAN: Objection --
- 7 A. Again --
- Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Let me ask you, do you
- 9 consider it infrequent, hyperthermia and heat stroke
- 10 in the Texas prison system, as you sit here today,
- 11 currently?
- 12 A. Again, through the month of -- the months of
- 13 July and August of 2010, there were surely numerous
- 14 incidents. I still believe that -- that those
- 15 situations are infrequent, although they do occur.
- 16 Q. Okay. Well --
 - (Deposition Exhibit No. 59 marked.)
- 18 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) -- as you testify here
- 19 today, do you believe that heat-related deaths should
- warrant a serious incident review?
- 21 A. Again, that's something that -- again, I'm
- 2 not in a position to make that decision any longer,
- 23 but --

24

17

- Q. Well, you were.
- 25 A. -- surely would -- would in some cases, I

234

- 1 think, be something that should be discussed.
 - 2 Q. Okay. Let's take a look at April 2012. It
 - 3 looks like ten deaths in 2011. Psychotropic meds need
 - 4 to be considered.
 - Again, is this more of the same?
 - 6 Weren't you already considering the need to -- it's on
 - 7 page 769, sir.
 - B . A. Right.
 - 9 Q. Weren't you already considering people on
 - 10 psychotropic meds to be heat vulnerable and in need of
 - 11 your protection?
 - 12 A. Again, we were, and again, forgive me, but I
 13 don't remember the nature of that conversation.
 - Q. Okay. All right. It says, one incident
 - 15 already this year, in 2012. Do you know what that is
 - 16 about?
 - 17 A. Again, I believe -- I believe this is the
 - 18 subject matter that for some reason Mr. Stephens was
 - 9 covering at the time, so ...
 - 20 Q. Okay. Well, we'll ask him if you don't
 - 21 know. Okay. And, again, it says, make sure we are
 - 22 providing water and watching for signs.
 - 23 And this is -- again, this is stuff
 - 24 you've been saying since 2009. Right?
 - A. Surely stuff that -- the direction we've

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

60 (Pages 237-240)

239

240

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

237

1 been reiterating for a number of years, yes, sir.

Q. Well, do you see any, you know, need to

3 reiterate it differently than telling the - at the

4 regional directors meetings? I mean, did you ever

personally go down to the units and say, guys, enough

6 is enough, no more of this is going to happen, and

give a speech to the correctional officers?

A. Again, in -- in my capacity dealing with

9 35,000 individuals out there, dissemination of

10 information down the chain of command is surely

11 something that I have to rely on. And there was

12 wardens training that was conducted where I had an

13 opportunity to directly address all of the -- all of

14 the wardens. And then - at that opportunity and

15 through my deputy directors through assistant wardens

16 training and majors training, we had an opportunity to

17 address these issues during their training period.

18 So - but the reality of the beast is, although I

19 would love to be able to talk to every correctional

20 officer and every staff member out in the field about

21 every issue, the enormity of the agency makes that

22 quite difficult.

Q. How far is the Gumey Unit from where your 23

24 offices were located?

25 A. A couple of hours. 1 but when you're dealing with the large numbers of

2 offenders and staff and you're dealing with the

3 weather in this state and you're dealing with the

4 environmental condition that -- that we deal with,

5 that number is not a -- not a number I -- out of a --

to a magnitude that --

Q. What is the basis for you saying that? That

that number is not out of the magnitude?

A. Well, again, just from my experience in the system, knowing what we require our staff to do day

in, day out, inside and outside our institutions,

again, not having the data in front of me to see

exactly where these incidents occurred, it's hard for

me to determine whether or not that -- any of those

incidents required additional actions.

Q. Well, okay. And I don't know the

particulars of each incident, I'm just trying to

figure out, you're making -- you're implying that

there is lots of inmates and lots of correctional

officer, therefore, it's fair to expect 23

heat-related illnesses to your employees and to the

people in your custody. And I'm just trying to get a

flavor for how on earth you can make such a statement.

what is your baseline for that? 24

25 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Argumentative.

238

Q. Okay. That -- that unit had multiple deaths

A. Yes, sir. It had two. 3

in the summer of 2011. Correct?

4 Q. All right.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 60 marked.)

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Here you go. We're on to 6

June 2012, sir. 7

5

Okay. June 2012, after all this talk, 8

you still have, if you take a look at 783, 13 offender

10 heat-related incidents and ten employees so far.

Did I read that accurately, under EAC 11

12 Reports and Issues?

13

Q. Okay. It seems similar to the year before.

15 Well, it's in June, so maybe it's even higher than the

16 year before. Is that fair?

17 A. That's earlier in the summer, yes, sir.

18 Q. Okay. I mean, did it ever occur to you that

19 what you were doing wasn't getting through and wasn't

21 A. Again, I think that as you look across the

22 parameters of the entire agency, many of the measures

23 we put in place were working well throughout the

24 majority of our facilities. Again, these are numbers.

25 Any incident is not a -- an incident we want to see,

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) What is your baseline for

2 making a statement that 23 heat-related illnesses

3 during the month of June isn't outside the norm of an

agency of your size and scope?

MR. GARCIA: And I'll object to the

6 characterization as illnesses.

MS. COOGAN: Join.

A. And, again, just from my years of experience

in the agency, my interaction with our other divisions

in dealing with these particular situations, the

nature of our business and the requirement for us to

run operations 24 hours a day inside and outside our

13 institutions and support our facilities, to assume

14 that there would not be any heat-related illnesses

15 for -- on staff or offenders in our system,

particularly those that are performing job functions

for us, I would say would be, in my person, in my

personal opinion, outside the form of what society

deals with.

20 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. And it's just based

21 on your personal experience at the agency?

22 A. Yes. sir.

23 Q. If these numbers were coming out of nursing

24 homes in the state of Texas, do you think that that

25 would be a high incidence rate?

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

61 (Pages 241-244)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

241 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Speculation. MS. COOGAN: Absolutely. Incomplete 2 3 hypothetical, and inappropriate. MR. ANASTASIDIS: Objection. 4 5 Irrelevant. A. I would have no -- no way to determine what 6 the norm would be in nursing homes throughout the Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Are there any comparable 10 industries that you've assessed whether or not your 11 numbers are higher than their numbers? 12 A. Not a formal assessment, no, sir. 13 Q. Anybody ever thought, hey, let's look at 14 other industries where workers are exposed to heat and 15 compare the incidents in the Texas prison system with, 16 I don't know, people smelting ore or doing things like 17 that? Any thought on the -- at the prison system to 18 like look at that and determine whether or not there 19 is an excessive number of heat-related incidents? 20 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Compound. 21 Argumentative. Speculation. Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Sir, I'm not asking you 22 23 for ---24 MR. GARCIA: Multifarious and --25 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) -- a conclusion, I'm

243 1 water in trash cans, what you recall about that. A. What page are you on? Q. I don't know. In the front on the agenda, 4 it says, boiling water in trash cans, and it says that 5 Mr. Stephens will talk about it. If you recall 6 anything about it. A. Again, I would have to defer to Mr. Stephens 7 on that. 8 9 Q. Okay. Okay. It's on page 816. 10 A. Okay. Q. It says, boiling water in trash cans. I 11 12 mean, that's --13 A. Okay. 14 Q. It seems like a dangerous practice. Was 15 that a dangerous practice to be employing at the Texas 16 Department of Criminal Justice? 17 A. From reviewing this note, it appears to 18 me -- and, again, I would defer to Mr. Stephens --19 that in some cases in our food service department 20 areas, there were offenders that were putting hot 21 water into plastic trash cans and moving it from one 22 area of the kitchen to another. And it appears that 23 this -- because that is a dangerous practice, that was 24 covered during this wardens -- or during the regional 25 directors meeting to disseminate to the wardens to

1 asking, have you looked at any other industries to 2 determine whether or not there are a high number of 3 incidents of heat-related injury at the prison system? A. No, I have not. Q. And these aren't -- the incidents we're talking about aren't just to inmates, they're also to your employees. Right? 7 8 A. Yes, sir. Q. Has OSHA, to your knowledge, contacted you 9 10 about the rate of heat-related incidents? A. Not to my knowledge, no. 11 Q. Okay. On page 789, sir, it says that any 12 13 donation above \$500 has to go to the board for 14 approval. Is that just referring to charitable 15 donations or is that something else? 16 A. That's just referring to charitable donations. 17 18 Q. All right. 19 (Deposition Exhibit No. 61 marked.) 20 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Let's move on to 21 August 2012. August 18th. 22 MR. EDWARDS: There you go. 23 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Thank you. 24 MR. EDWARDS: Sure. Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Tell me about the boiling 25

1 their food service managers to make sure that practice 2 wasn't taking place. Q. Okay. It says, potential for danger, tort 4 claims paid, do not use this practice, exclamation point. Right? A. Right. 6 7 Q. Okay. Do you think the fact that the tort claims were paid helped end that practice? A. No. That's a -- that's been a common --10 common discussion in the agency, I would say, by our 11 fire and safety staff. So in this particular 12 situation, I'm not sure what year we're talking about 13 in the tort claim or when this might have occurred, 14 but I'm assuming this was just a reiteration of what 15 should be a good common sense practice in the food 16 service department. Q. Well, I agree that it would be a good common 17

20 A. It wasn't condoned by me, no, sir, nor do I
21 know of anybody that condoned it.
22 Q. Okay. It was a dangerous practice and TDCJ,
23 to the best of your knowledge, has now gotten rid of
24 it?

18 sense practice, but for some -- was it condoned by the

25 A. To my knowledge, yes.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

19

department?

62 (Pages 245-248)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

245

1 Q. All right. If you would take a look at 811, 2 sir, under the EAC Reports and Issues.

- 3 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. It looks like in -- you know, in the summer
- 5 of 2012, at least through August 18th, you had 50
- 6 employee heat incidents?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. And 56 offender or prisoner heat incidents?
- 9 A. Yes. sir.
- 10 Q. And one person died?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Do you recall who that was?
- 13 A. I believe that would -- on 816, that would 14 have been offender Adams, I believe.
- 15 Q. Okay. Do you know if anybody died after 16 offender Adams that summer?
- 17 A. There was one other at the Garza facility. 18 Hinojosa, maybe.
- 19 Q. Then on page 813, it's just more of the
- 20 same, the same type of training recommendations?
- 21 A. Discussing those issues, yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Still no -- still no discussion about air
- 23 conditioning or cooling?
- 24 A. No, sir.
- 25 Q. Okay. Let's talk about 820. At the top,

1 inmates to protect themselves?

- A. It would be the education, recreation
- 3 director, and I'm not sure who that is at this point.
- Q. Okay. Did anyone think to make the chilled
- 5 towels available to inmates, I don't know, back in
- 6 2010, or 2011?
- A. That was not discussed, no, sir.
- Q. Okay. All right. If you could look at page
- 9 822. At the bottom of the page, it says, Health
- 10 Service Issues?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. And then it says your name, Thaler?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. It says, question medical orders that do not
- 15 make good practice. What does that mean?
- 6 A. That was just a reiteration to our staff in
- 17 the field that every division answers to somebody
- 18 higher in that division, and if there was a warden
- 19 that felt that an offender was not getting appropriate
- 20 medical treatment, that they should bump that up the
- 21 chain of command so that it could be addressed by a
- 22 higher level of health service staff or a higher level
- 23 of staff within the Correctional Institutions
- 24 Division.
- 25 Q. Was that a problem that wardens were

246

- 1 under Breakout Agenda?
- 2 A. Yes. sir.
- 3 Q. It says, chill towels.
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What is the point of chilled towels? Is
- 6 that to help cool people?
- 7 A. Again, that's a -- a comfort effort. It's a
- 8 chilled towel that, basically, soaked in water, once
- 9 soaked in water, it would stay fairly cool for a10 period of time.
- 11 Q. It looks like you're shipping them to sell 12 to people?
- 13 A. They were shipped to the unit commissaries,14 yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Okay. So you didn't give them away as a
- 16 precaution, you offered them for sale to the inmates
- 17 if they'd like to buy them?
- 18 A. In this particular case, no, sir, they were
- 19 for sale. They were not issued to the offender 20 population.
- 21 Q. What do you make on chilled towels?
- 22 A. I couldn't speak to that. I don't know.
- 23 The commissary --
- Q. Who would know the profit margin on these neon yellow chilled towels that you're selling to

- 1 noticing, that people weren't getting the care they
- 2 needed from the health service providers?
- 3 A. In this particular case, I'm not sure
- 4 whether that was in reference to a particular
- 5 situation. I would assume there would have been
- 6 something that would have caused that conversation to
- 7 take place. In most cases, those situations are
- 8 resolved between senior level health service staff,
- 9 either within the division or maybe within UTMB, but
- 10 we just want to make sure that wardens, when they felt
- 11 the issue was not appropriately addressed, that they
- 12 continued to pursue that issue.
- 13 Q. So it's not okay for a warden or a
- 14 correctional officer who sees someone in need to just
- 15 say, hey, that's UTMB's problem, not our problem.
- 16 Fair?
- 17 A. We have an obligation to allow those
- 18 individuals to have access to health care
- 19 professionals.
- 20 Q. Okay. And then we've got, on page 823, more
- 21 of the same, do everything we can to ease heat issues.
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you believe the department is doing
- 25 everything it can to help ease heat issues?

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

247

63 (Pages 249-252)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

22

A. The inclusion wellness checklist, and the

discussion of assuring we're working closely with

Health Services Division on every facility to make

25 staff aware of those offenders that are more

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

249 251 A. I believe that we're doing many things to 1 susceptible to heat-related illnesses and we're doing 2 help ease heat issues. I think that the department, 2 our wellness checks. 3 as always, needs to continue to look at whatever is Q. Okay. Now, if you look at page 912, sir. available out there to assist in that process. 4 It says, heat related mediation and a handout. Q. One of the things that's available to assist On page 912, sir. 6 in that process is cooling mechanisms. Right? 6 A. I'm there. 7 Misters Q. Did you participate in that breakout agenda MR. GARCIA: Objection. Vague, cooling 8 and discuss the heat related mediation? 9 mechanisms. 9 A. This -- this -- again, from the document I'm 10 MS. COOGAN: Repetitive. 10 reviewing here and Mr. Stephens' notes here, I'm --11 MR. GARCIA: Oh, asked and answered. again, I'm not sure I was there when those items were 12 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Sir, one of the things 12 covered, because that continues with Mr. Stephens and 13 that's out there are cooling mechanisms like misters 13 Mr. Prasifka. To the best of my recollection, they 14 or air conditioning. Right? 14 might have held even that portion of the meeting. I 15 MR. GARCIA: Objection. Asked and 15 did at some point in time come in, though, because 16 answered. 16 there is agenda items that I covered at the end of 17 A. Again, that is -- a litany of options are 17 this - at the end of this meeting. So, again, what 18 out there. And if the agency were to consider cooling 18 days that agenda was covered, I'm not sure. This was 19 devices, that would be an option, yes. 19 during the legislative session, so there were many 20 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) All right. 20 days when I was not in the Huntsville area. 21 (Deposition Exhibit No. 62 marked.) 21 Q. Okay. Are you aware that there was a 22 (BY MR. EDWARDS) Take a look at --22 mediation in this case, and I assume that that's what 23 MR. ANASTASIDIS: What exhibit are you 23 was handed out. But were you ever made privy to that 24 on, Jeff? 24 document? 25 MR. EDWARDS: Oh, I'm sorry. 25 A. I did see that document, I think, just prior 250 252 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Sir, what exhibit is that? 1 1 to -- just prior to my retirement, I believe. It MR. EDWARDS: Did I give you that, 2 2 might have been the month before. Demetri? 3 Q. Okay. All right. Just give me one second. 4 MR. ANASTASIDIS: No. 4 A. Okay. 5 MR. EDWARDS: 62. 5 Q. When exactly did you retire, sir? Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) April 11th, 2013. 6 6 A. The effective date was May 31st. 7 MR. ANASTASIDIS: Thank you. 7 Q. May 31st? 8 MR. EDWARDS: You're welcome. 8 A. May 31st. 9 Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Okay. Take a look at page 9 Q. Okay. And in terms of effective date, did 906, please, sir. 10 10 you stay a couple of weeks later or did you stay --11 A. Okay. 11 A. No. No. I was gone prior to May 31st, but 12 Q. Is says that there is an April 19th meeting. 12 May 31st was the official date. 13 Do you see that? 13 Q. Okay. So as of May 31 of this year, 2013, 14 A. Yes. 14 any questions in terms of running the criminal --15 Q. E-mail will come out system-wide after 15 excuse me -- the Correctional Institutions Division 16 should be directed to Mr. Stephens. Is that fair? 16 meeting. 17 17 Is that different than the e-mail that A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. All right. 18 18 had previously been sent out? MR. ANASTASIDIS: Excuse me. Could you A. This one in 2013 would have been slightly 19 19 20 different, yes, sir. 20 update us when you get a chance on how much time has 21 Q. What would have been different about it? 21 been used in this deposition.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

22 23 sir.

24

25

A. Okay.

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

Q. (BY MR. EDWARDS) Just a few more questions,

Q. And I understand - I'm tired, too, so I

64 (Pages 253-256)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

253

1 appreciate that. Not as tired as I'm sure you're

- 2 going to be after this, but did you ever review the
- 3 autopsy reports of the individuals that your agency
- 4 concluded died heat-related deaths while inside the
- 5 housing areas?

6

- MR. GARCIA: Objection.
- 7 Mischaracterizes the evidence.
- 8 A. Again, of those ten incidents that were
- 9 in -- occurred in 2011, whether I reviewed the entire
- 10 autopsies or not I can't say for sure. But I would
- 11 say that I have seen most of them and surely had
- 12 discussions with the health service department in
- 13 reference to the issues and the findings.
- 14 Q. And I apologize. Let me just ask it one
- 15 more time to just deal with the objection.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 Q. Of the deaths that on your internal
- 18 documents are described as heat-related deaths that
- 19 you were talking about during your directors
- 20 meetings --
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. -- have you reviewed the autopsies for those
- 23 incidents?
- 24 A. In most all situations, yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Sir, you'll have a chance to review

- 1 ultimately lead to their death if they're placed in
- 2 extremely hot temperatures. Right?
- 3 A. Sure. And I feel that the system and
- 4 policies that are in place now allow for, again, as I
- 5 mentioned earlier, that review prior to their
- 6 transportation into any of our facilities, and then
- 7 the subsequent review from the health service provider
- 8 upon intake that I believe, if functioning properly,
- 9 allows us to properly classify and house that
- 10 offender, whatever those restrictions might be.
 - Q. Okay.

11

17

- 12 MR. EDWARDS: And let me just object as
- 13 nonresponsive after the word "sure." But I very much
- 14 appreciate your time, sir, and it has been long
- 15 enough. But thank you very much. Okay?
- 16 We'll pass the witness.
 - MR. ANASTASIDIS: No questions.
- 18 MS. COOGAN: I have a couple, but I
- 19 promise I'll go fast, Mr. Thaler.
- 20 EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MS. COOGAN:
- 22 Q. Mr. Thaler, I'm going to preface these
- 23 questions with, do you know the answer, because you
- 24 might not.
- 25 A. Okay.

254

- 1 your deposition and read it, I'm letting you know.
- 2 But as you testify here today, is there anything that
- 3 you just feel, look, I need to change an answer or
- 4 anything like that?
- 5 A. Again, I am sure as I read my deposition I
- 6 will be -- be aware of a statement that I made
- 7 unintendedly, but nothing jumps out at me at this
- 8 point right now that I would need to correct at this
- 9 time.
- 10 Q. Okay. You certainly don't think there is
- 11 anything right now that you'd say, hey, look,
- 12 Mr. Edwards, I misspoke here? You'll have an
- 13 opportunity to read it to double check, but nothing is
- 14 jumping out right now?
- 15 A. No. The only -- the only one issue that
- 16 I -- again, I'll have to go back and review my
- 17 statement that I can think of right now is the -- the
- 18 issue of the -- the formal -- formalization of the
- 19 policy and whether or not that is a -- a hole in the
- 20 current system. But, again, I'd have to review my
- 21 testimony and -- and see if I said what I meant to 22 say.
- 23 Q. Sure. Certainly you would agree that when
- 24 inmates arrive at TDCJ jails that they need to be
- 25 assessed for serious medical conditions that can

- 1 Q. And that's fine.
 - A. Okay.Q. Do you know how many new inmates come into
 - 4 the system from county jail each week, system-wide,
 - 5 approximately?
 - A. Intake for the system, I don't know that I
 - 7 have a weekly figure, but intake for the system on an
 - annual basis is approximately 72,000.
 - 9 Q. So in addition to the 150,000 that you have
 - 10 on a regular basis, some of those people leave and
 - 1 then approximately 72,000 new ones come in each year?
 - 12 A. That was -- that was the figure that held
 - over the last few years when I was in office.
 Q. I want to ask you about the -- the policy
 - 15 that came out in 2011 or the beginning of 2012 related
 - 16 to the heat lists or the wellness checklists. Is that
 - 17 different or -- from the work restrictions that come
 - 18 off of the HSM 18?
 - 19 A. Again, the mechanisms that health services
 - 20 utilizes to -- to notify staff, I believe they use the
- 21 same system in order to generate that list, but I
- 22 would -- I'm not for sure.
- 23 Q. Okav.
- 24 MR. EDWARDS: Let me object to the
- 25 extent that calls for speculation.

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

255



65 (Pages 257-260)

259

260

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

257

Q. (BY MS. COOGAN) And let me preface the question with, if you know.

A. Okay. 3

6

4 Q. And this is something I don't know, and so

5 I'm just trying to understand it, who would know.

Isn't it right that when -- that the 7 medical personnel from UTMB either participate in or

8 complete the HSM 18, the document that has the work

restrictions on it, do you know what I'm referring to? A. I know what document you're referring to,

10 11 yes, ma'am.

12 Q. Okay. And do you know if that HSM 18 then 13 goes either to classification or the count room or

14 somewhere and a work roster is generated that tells

15 sergeants who has a work restriction and who doesn't? 16 Do you know if that's right?

17 MR. EDWARDS: Objection. Foundation.

18 A. Again, I am not sure. I can't answer that 19 question.

Q. (BY MS. COOGAN) Okay. That's fine, I'll 20 21 ask somebody else.

22 And then my real question is, when 23 y'all -- I know -- when y'all came up with this policy

24 for the wellness check in 2011, 2012, do you know if 25 that was something that was the same as or different 1 air conditioned cell, that y'all, TDCJ, could make

2 that happen?

A. Yes, ma'am, we would have to make that

Q. And my question for you is, do you know if

6 there are even enough air conditioned cells to

7 accommodate all of the people, inmates, who would be

8 on this list?

A. Again, not knowing the -- that number, or 10 those that University of Texas Medical Branch would

11 designate as a necessary housing restriction, I cannot

12 answer that question.

Q. And so can you even say for sure that --

14 that you would have enough air conditioned cells or

15 beds to accommodate UTMB if they made such a request?

16 A. Again, not knowing what that request would 17 be, I can't answer that question.

Q. Okay. Do you know who decides, between UTMB 18

19 or TDCJ or Correctional Managed Health Care Committee 20 or the legislature, do you know who decides how many

air conditioned cells there will be? 21

A. I guess in that case I'm going to have to 22 23 again respond, no, I do not.

Q. That's okay. I've been trying to find out 25 for a long time. You're not the first one who doesn't

258

1 from this list that came off the HSM 18, do you know?

A. I do not.

2

9

21

- Q. Okay. Do you know how many air conditioned 3 4 beds there are system-wide?
- A. Specific number of beds, I would have to 6 research that. I could not tell you the specific number of beds. 7
 - Q. Okay. Or air conditioned cells?
- A. Again, the same response.
- 10 Q. Do you know how many inmates system-wide
- 11 would go on this wellness checklist because they have
- 12 psychotropic medications, high blood pressure.
- 13 depression, diabetes, or obesity, do you know
- 14 system-wide if -- how many people would be on that 15 list?

MR. EDWARDS: I've got to object to the 16 17 foundation of that, but --

MS. COOGAN: That's the "do you know" 18 19 part.

20 MR. EDWARDS: Not really.

Q. (BY MS. COOGAN) Do you know?

- 22 A. No, ma'am, I do not know.
- Q. Okay. And so this is not a loaded question
- 24 or a trick question or anything like that. You said
- 25 earlier that if UTMB said somebody needs to be in an

1 know.

5

6

7

8

2 And do you know if -- how the number of 3 air conditioned beds, how it's decided? If it's a

percentage or if it's --

A. No, I do not.

Q. Okay. Let me just show you this.

MS. COOGAN: Can I have a sticker?

(Deposition Exhibit No. 63 marked.)

Q. (BY MS. COOGAN) What has been marked as

10 Exhibit 63, which is a mediated proposal update, and

11 ask you if you have just ever seen that before?

A. This is the document I believe that I saw a 13 copy of prior -- just prior to leaving the

organization.

Q. Do you know if anybody from UTMB

16 participated in the negotiation of that document or

17 creation of that document?

18 A. No, I do not.

19 Q. And do you know if TDCJ, while you were

20 there, changed or made any policies based on that

21 proposal?

22 A. Again, you referenced policies, so that

policy revision or development would take a while.

24 So, no, while I was still there, I don't know of any

25 policies that were redrafted and finalized prior to my

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

APPENDIX184

66 (Pages 261-264)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

261

1 departure.

- 2 MR. EDWARDS: Let me object as 3 nonresponsive.
- Q. (BY MS. COOGAN) Do you know if anything at 5 TDCJ got changed because of that? The same question
- 6 but I'm getting around his objection.
- Do you know if any policy, procedure, 7
- 8 AD, anything got changed or added or modified or an
- 9 e-mail went out because of that policy? Excuse me,
- that proposal? 10
- MR. EDWARDS: Let me object to the 11
- 12 characterization. That mediation agreement I believe
- 13 is what you showed him. Right?
- MS. COOGAN: Well, I'm going to say 14
- 15 Exhibit 63. But it says "proposal" on it, and I
- 16 didn't see your signature, so I didn't assume it was
- 17 an agreement. But if you're telling me it is. Is it?
- MR. EDWARDS: I need to look at it. 18
- There is an agreement. I thought that's what you 19
- handed him, but is that not true?
- MS. COOGAN: Let me make a request for 21
- 22 production for that.
- MR. EDWARDS: Sure. 23
- 24 Q. (BY MS. COOGAN) If you know. It's not a
- 25 trick question.

1 opinion that those officers could benefit from some

- 2 retraining. Did I characterize that correctly, sir?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And I would assume by those officers --
- 5 well, if you could tell me, which officers you are
- referring to in regards to that answer specifically, 7 sir?
 - A. In response to that question, it would have
- been the -- the staff that initially responded to the

8

15

17

- Q. Would that be the CO, the first CO who 11
- 12 arrived on the scene as one of those individuals,
- possibly?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, it would be.
 - Q. Would that be the sergeant who then
- 16 responded to the scene at the request of the CO?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And then would that also include the
- 19 lieutenant who responded at the request of the
- 20 sergeant?
- 21 A. Again, as a unit administrator, as I
- addressed that, not judging the performance of any of
- those individuals, I think it would be essential and
- imperative that we ensured that we emphasized to staff
- 25 the immediate need to not -- to request assistance

- A. Again, some of these actions were
- 2 continuous. As far as the development of a formal
- 3 policy that was drafted and disseminated, it did not
- happen prior to me leaving the agency in May.
- 5 MS. COOGAN: That's all the questions I
- have. Thank you. 6
 - **EXAMINATION**
- BY MR. GARCIA: 8

7

16

- Q. Director, my name is Bruce Garcia and I 9
- represent the regional director, and the officers off
- 11 the Hutchins Unit in this facility -- I mean, this
- 12 incident. And I wanted to ask you just a few
- questions about your review of the McCollum incident
- report. Okay? Do you remember the EAC report you
- testified to earlier regarding offender McCollum? 15
- - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you gave some testimony in that -- in 17
- 18 that area regarding the actions of the correctional
- 19 staff on the Hutchins facility. Do you recall that
- 20 testimony?
- A. Yes, sir. 21
- Q. And I believe your testimony -- and if sum 22
- it up incorrectly, please tell me so -- essentially
- 24 was that in hindsight, looking back at their actions
- 25 on that evening of July 22nd of 2011, that it was your

- 1 from a health service professional and not -- not
- 2 carry that load on their shoulders.
- Q. So it's your belief that they should have
- 4 simply just made the medical call quicker and not
- waited as long as they did. Is that correct?
- A. Again, I'm -- I wasn't at the -- at the
- 7 scene, so I don't know what circumstances those
- 8 individuals were dealing with. But in hindsight, after evaluating the facts, I'm sure in most cases
- they might come to the same conclusion.
- Q. And in regards to your conclusion, I want to
- 12 make sure I understand what your conclusion is in
- 13 this -- in the McCollum incident. Okay? Is it your
- 14 conclusion that if the officers had responded quicker
- 15 that Mr. McCollum would be alive today, or is it
- 16 simply your conclusion that they needed to take that
- 17 chance to maybe see if he would be alive today?
 - A. Again, I don't -- I can't speak to the fact
- of actually the results of the medical evaluation of
- 20 the offender, so I can't speak as to the fact of
- whether or not that individual would have suffered any
- 22 different end result because of any actions or
- 23 inactions of the staff.
- 24 Q. Okay.
 - MR. GARCIA: Thank you. No further

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

25

(800) 375-4363 3307 Northland Dr., Ste. 185 Austin, TX 78731-4946 (512) 474-4363 e4b80f89-fea3-48f6-8dfc-0e54f7ce7f83

263

67 (Pages 265-268)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

Г	245	т—	
	265		267
1	<i>.</i>	1	SIGNATURE
2	MR. EDWARDS: Nothing here.	2	
3	MR. ANASTASIDIS: I don't have any more	3	I, RICHARD C. THALER, have read the
4	questions.	4	foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature
5	Do you want to go on to Mr. Stephens?	5	that same is true and correct, except as noted above.
6	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That concludes the	6	•
7	deposition. The time right now is 4:35 p.m.	7	
8	and the second s	8	
9			RICHARD C. THALER
10		9	
11		10	
l	•	11	JOB NO. 131018BJW
12		12	
13		13	
14		14	
15		15	
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		ı	
23		22	
24		23	
25		24 25	
ļ		25	
	266		268
1	CHANGES AND CORRECTIONS	1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	RICHARD C. THALER - October 18, 2013 VOLUME 1	2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION
3	[DISREGARD IF WAIVED]	3	STEPHEN McCOLLUM, §
4		4	STEPHANIE KINGREY, AND § SANDRA McCOLLU, §
	Reason Codes: (1) to clarify the record; (2) to	_	INDIVIDUALLY AND AS §
5	conform to the facts; (3) to correct a transcription	5	HEIRS AT LAW TO THE § ESTATE OF LARRY GENE §
	error; (4) other (please explain).	6	McCOLLUM, § CIVIL ACTION NO.
6	PAGE/LINE CHANGE REASON CODE	7	Plaintiffs, § 3:12-CV-02037 §
7			vs. ⁹ §
8		8	§ BRAD LIVINGSTON, JEFF §
9		9	PRINGLE, RICHARD CLARK, §
10		10	KAREN TATE, SANDREA § SANDERS, ROBERT EASON, §
11			THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS §
12		11	MEDICAL BRANCH AND THE § TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF §
13		12	CRIMINAL JUSTICE, §
14		13	Defendants. §
15			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
16		14	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
17		15	RICHARD C. THALER
18		16	VOLUME 1 October 18, 2013
19			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
20		17 18	I, BRENDA J. WRIGHT, Certified Shorthand
21		19	Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the following:
22		20	That the witness, RICHARD C. THALER was duly
23		22	sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the oral deposition is a true record of the testimony
24		23	given by the witness;
~~		24	I further certify that pursuant to Federal
	JOB NO. 131018BJW	25	Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 30(e)(1)(A) and (B) as

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES

68 (Pages 269-271)

Stephen McCollum, et al. v. Brad Livingston, et al.

Richard C. Thaler October 18, 2013

```
271
                                                                      269
 1 well as Rule 30(e)(2) that the signature of the
                                                                              1 the action.
                                                                                      Certified to by me this 29TH day of OCTOBER
 2 deponent:
                 was requested by the deponent and/or a
                                                                                2013.
 4 party before completion of the deposition and is to be
                                                                             4
 5 returned within 30 days from date of receipt of the
                                                                                              BRENDA J. WRIGHT, Texas CSR No. 1780
 6 transcript. If returned, the attached Changes and
                                                                                              Expiration Date: 12-31-14
                                                                              5
 7 Corrections and Signature pages contain any changes
                                                                                              WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES
 8 and the reasons therefor;
                                                                              6
                                                                                              Firm Registration No. 225
                was not requested by the deponent and/or a
                                                                                              Expiration Date: 12-31-13
10 party before the completion of the deposition.
                                                                              7
                                                                                              3307 Northland Drive
                                       is the deposition
         That $
11
                                                                                              Suite 185
12 officer's charges for preparing the original
                                                                              8
                                                                                              Austin, Texas 78731
13 deposition transcript and any copies of exhibits,
                                                                                              512-474-4363/51-474-8802 (fax)
14 charged to PLAINTIFFS;
                                                                                              www.wrightwatson.com
         That pursuant to information given to the
                                                                                 JOB NO. 131018BJW
16 deposition officer at the time said testimony as
                                                                             10
17 taken, the following includes all parties of record:
18 For the Plaintiffs:
                                                                             12
         Mr. Jeff Edwards
                                                                             13
          THE EDWARDS LAW FIRM
19
                                                                             14
          The Haehnel Building
                                                                             15
          1101 East 11th Street
20
                                                                             16
          Austin, Texas 78702
                                                                             17
          512-623-7727/512-623-7729 (fax)
21
                                                                             18
         jeff@edwards-law.com
                                                                             19
22
              -and-
                                                                             20
          Mr. Scott Medlock
                                                                            21
          TEXAS CIVIL RIGHTS PROJECT
23
          1405 Montopolis Drive
                                                                             22
                                                                             23
24
          Austin, Texas 78741
                                                                             24
          512-474-5073/512-474-0726 (fax)
25
                                                                             25
                                                                      270
 1 For the Defendants Jeff Pringle, Richard Clark, Karen
     Tate, Sandrea Sanders, Robert Eason and Texas
 2 Department of Criminal Justice:
        Mr. Bruce R. Garcia
 3
        Assistant Attorney General OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
        Law Enforcement Defense Division 012
Post Office Box 12548
 5
        300 West 15th Street
Austin, Texas 78711-2548
  6
        512-463-2080/512-495-9139 (fax)
        bruce.garcia@texasattomeygeneral.gov
    For the Defendants Brad Livingston, William Stephens
  8 and Richard Thaler:
        Mr. Demetri Anastasidis
Assistant Attorney General
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
 9
 10
        Law Enforcement Defense Division 012
        Post Office Box 12548
 11
        300 West 15th Street
Austin, Texas 78711-2548
 12
        512-463-2153/ 512-495-9139 (fax) demitri.anastasidis@texasattorneygeneral.gov
 13
     For the Defendant University of Texas Medical Branch:
 14
        Ms. Kim Coogan
        Assistant Attorney General
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS
 15
        Law Enforcement Defense Division
Post Office Box 12548
 16
        Austin, Texas 78711-2548
512-463-2080/512-495-9139 (fax)
 17
         kim.coogan@texasattorneygeneral.gov
        I further certify that I am neither attorney
    nor counsel for nor related to nor employed by any of
the parties to the action in which this deposition is
         Further, I am not a relative nor an employee of
     any attorney of record in this cause, nor am
    financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of
```

WRIGHT WATSON & ASSOCIATES